# **BRUCE R. OLIVER**

Revilo Hill Associates, Inc. 7103 Laketree Drive Fairfax Station, Virginia 22039 (703) 569-6480

# **EXPERIENCE**

Over 45 years of experience specializing in the areas of utility rates, energy, and regulatory policy. Offers unusual depth and breadth in his understanding of energy and utility industries which leads to creative and effective resolution of rate issues. Has presented expert testimony in regulatory proceedings in more than 300 proceedings before regulatory commissions in 26 jurisdictions, and has served a diverse group of clients on issues encompassing a wide range of energy and utility-related activities. Assists clients in the assessment of competitive energy markets for retail services and in the negotiation of contracts for the purchase of such services. Clients have included commercial and industrial energy users, hospitals and universities, state regulatory commissions, utilities, consumer advocates, municipal governments, federal agencies, and suppliers of equipment and services to utility markets.

- 1985- Revilo Hill Associates, Inc.
- Present President and CEO

Directs the firm's consulting practice, with specialization in the areas of industrial economics, energy, utilities and regulatory policy. Provides expert testimony in regulatory proceedings. Assists individual commercial and institutional customers in the competitive procurement of energy services and resolution of utility service and billing issues. Regulatory work includes participation in electric, gas, water and sewer utility rate and policy matters, with particular specialization in the areas of utility costs of service, rate structure, rate of return, utility planning, and forecasting. Examples of recent projects include:

- Development and presentation of positions regarding the merits of various forms of alternative ratemaking including, but not limited to: multi-year rate plans; performance-based ratemaking concepts; and the merits of proposals for Performance Incentive Mechanisms.
- Assessment of a gas distribution utility's plans for accelerated replacement of aging and leak prone distribution mains by an LDC, as well as the impacts of rising leak rates the utility's gas system safety and rates distribution services.

- Negotiation of settlements to reflect the impacts of the Tax Cut and Jobs Act of 2017 in rates for certain electric and gas distribution utilities.
- Investigation of gas and electric utility merger issues including ringfencing, costs to achieve, estimated merger benefits, and allocation of merger benefits among customers for electric and gas utility mergers.
- Investigation of gas distribution utility system expansion proposals, tariff changes, and proposed ratemaking treatment of costs for gas expansion activities.
- Examination of utility proposals undergrounding overhead electric distribution facilities and the recovery of costs for undergrounding activities.
- Evaluation of utility proposals for the deployment of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and the development of dynamic pricing rates to be implemented using AMI equipment.
- Detailed evaluation of a gas distribution utility's long-range gas supply planning, its evaluation of gas supply alternatives, and the prudence of gas its procurement decisions.
- Investigation of cost of service, rate design, tariff, forecasting and planning issues for island utilities in the U.S. Virgin Islands and Guam.
- Analysis of utility revenue decoupling proposals including assessment of the cost of service and rate impacts of such proposals and the development of appropriate tariff language for such proposals.
- Investigation of matters relating to a utility's outsourcing of significant components of its Administrative and General and Customer Service activities, including the merits of the proposed outsourcing arrangements and appropriate rate treatment of costs incurred to: select providers of outsourced services; negotiate contracts; and achieve the implementation of outsourcing arrangements.
- Strategic analysis and policy guidance for a major commercial consumer group in the development and presentation of positions before legislative and regulatory bodies regarding electric and gas regulatory issues.

- Development of Asset Management incentive programs for natural gas distribution utilities.
- Investigation and preparation of a report on the causes of large heating oil price increases for the Attorney General of a New England state.
- Participation as a member of a three-person panel hearing a gas marketer complaint of anti-competitive behavior by a local gas distribution utility in its provision of unbundled gas transportation services.
- Preparation of cost allocation studies and rate structure proposals for electric, gas, water and wastewater utility regulatory proceedings;
- Analysis of proposals for restructuring and the unbundling of rates for local gas distribution companies, and negotiated terms, conditions, and pricing for restructured utility services.

2000- AOBA Alliance, Inc.

Present Director and Chief Economist

Key technical advisor to one of the nation's largest and most successful customer-based energy aggregation programs. Assists non-residential customers in the Washington, D.C. area in the procurement of competitive retail energy services, including the evaluation and negotiation of contract terms for competitive electricity, natural gas, energy information services. Monitors energy markets and keeps participants informed regarding energy market developments and pricing trends. Focused primarily on the commercial building industry, the AOBA Alliance, Inc. serves more than 11,000 electric and natural gas accounts in twelve states and the District of Columbia. Those participants use over 4.0 billion kWh per year and over 900 MW of electrical peak load.

1981-85 Resource Dynamics Corporation Principal and Vice President

> Responsible for the firm's activities in the areas of energy pricing, utility rates and regulatory policy. Provided expert testimony before utility regulatory commissions on issues relating to costs of service, rate design, load management, load research, fuel price forecasting, utility costing analyses, and cost allocation methods. Evaluated utility fuel procurement practices, fuel price forecasts, and price forecasting methodologies. Contributed to modeling efforts relating to the estimation of national and regional electric utility load curves and coal market prices. Participated in the development handbooks for cogeneration feasibility assessment.

1980-81 Potomac Electric Power Company Manager of Rate Research Department

Directed the development of all rate related programs. Supervised the costing, design and analysis of traditional and innovative rates (including time-of-use, load management and cogeneration tariffs). Also was responsible for corporate revenue forecasting activities, as well as the development of marginal and avoided cost studies.

1979-80 Pacific Gas and Electric Company Rate Experimentation Supervisor

> Responsible for design, implementation and analysis of innovative rate programs for both gas and electric service. Developed programs for curtailable service; cogeneration; conservation; residential load cycling; and commercial, industrial, and agricultural time-of- use rates. Directed analyses of time-of-use and lifeline price elasticities and development of marginal and avoided costing methods.

1973-79 ICF Incorporated Project Manager

Specialized in energy policy and utility regulatory analyses. Performed detailed analysis of U.S. petroleum, natural gas, coal and electric utility industries. Provided expert testimony on utility rate issues. Designed experimental rates for federally funded time-of-use rate and load management programs in North Carolina. Provided technical support to the DOE Regulatory Intervention Program. Contributed to the design and development of the National Coal Model, and prepared forecasts of low sulfur fuel availability for utility markets.

1972-73 U.S. Cost-of-Living Council - Pay Board Labor Economist

> Served in the Office of the Chief Economist. Responsible for macroeconomic analyses of Board decisions, and for the development data systems to support assessments of the impacts of Board decisions and the reporting of aggregate statistics on wage increases granted by the Board.

## **EDUCATION**

- 1972 M.A., Economics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
- 1970 B.A., Economics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

# **RATE CASE PARTICIPATION**

Alberta, Canada

Canadian Western Natural Gas NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. Canadian Western Natural Gas Northwestern Utilities TransAlta Utilities Corp. Alberta Power Ltd.

## Arizona

Southwest Gas Corporation Sun City Water Company Havasu Water Company Arizona Water Company

## California

Pacific Gas & Electric Company

## Connecticut

Southern Connecticut Gas Company Connecticut Light & Power Company

## Delaware

Chesapeake Utilities Corporation Delmarva Power & Light Company Delmarva Power & Light Company **Delaware Electric Cooperative** Delmarva Power & Light Company Delmarva Power & Light Company **Delaware Electric Cooperative** Delmarva Power & Light Company Chesapeake Utilities Corporation Delmarva Power & Light Company Delmarva Power & Light Company Delmarva Power & Light Company **Delaware Electric Cooperative Delaware Electric Cooperative** Delmarva Power & Light Company Delmarva Power & Light Company

1998 General Rate Application 1995 GRA, Phase II Core Market Direct Purchase Core Market Direct Purchase Load Retention Rate Offering 1993 General Rate Application

Docket No. U-1551-93-272 Docket No. U-1656-91-134 Docket No. U-2013-91-133 Docket No. U-1445-91-227

Application No. 58089

Docket No. 89-09-06 Docket No. 87-07-01

Docket No. 95 - 73 Docket No. 94 - 141 Docket No. 94 - 129 Docket No. 94 - 100 Docket No. 92 - 85 Docket No. 92 - 71F Docket No. 91 - 37 Docket No. 91 - 24 Docket No. 91 - 20 Docket No. 90 - 31 Docket No. 90 - 21 Docket No. 89 - 26 Docket No. 88 - 39F Docket No. 88 - 34 Docket No. 88 - 32, Phase 2 Docket No. 88 - 32 Docket No. 87 - 34, Phase 2 Docket No. 87 - 34 Docket No. 87 - 9, Phase 5 Docket No. 87 - 9, Phase 4

Delmarva Power & Light Company Delmarva Power & Light Company

## **District of Columbia**

Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company WGL – AltaGas Merger Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Exelon – Pepco Merger Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power/Conectiv Merger Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company/Baltimore Gas & Electric Company Merger Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company District of Columbia Natural Gas Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company District of Columbia Natural Gas District of Columbia Natural Gas Potomac Electric Power Company

Docket No. 87 - 9, Phase 3 Docket No. 87 - 9, Phase 2 Docket No. 87 - 9 Docket No. 86 - 43 Docket No. 86 - 24

Formal Case No. 1169 Formal Case No. 1162 Formal Case No. 1156 Formal Case No. 1151 Formal Case No. 1150 Formal Case No. 1145 Formal Case No. 1142 Formal Case No. 1139 Formal Case No. 1137 Formal Case No. 1133 Formal Case No. 1130 Formal Case No. 1121 Formal Case No. 1119 Formal Case No. 1116 Formal Case No. 1115 Formal Case No. 1103 Formal Case No. 1093 Formal Case No. 1087 Formal Case No. 1079 Formal Case No. 1076 Formal Case No. 1056 Formal Case No. 1054 Formal Case No. 1053, Phase II Formal Case No. 1053 Formal Case No. 1016 Formal Case No. 1002 Formal Case No. 989 Formal Case No. 951 Formal Case No. 945 Formal Case No. 939 Formal Case No. 934 Formal Case No. 922 Formal Case No. 890 Formal Case No. 889 Formal Case No. 869 Formal Case No. 845 Formal Case No. 840 Formal Case No. 834

Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company

## Guam

Guam Power Authority Guam Power Authority

## Illinois

Commonwealth Edison Company

## Maryland

Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company WGL – AltaGas Merger Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Exelon – Pepco Merger Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company

Formal Case No. 813, Phase II Formal Case No. 813 Formal Case No. 787 Formal Case No. 785 Formal Case No. 759, Phases III Formal Case No. 759, Phases II Formal Case No. 759, Phases I Formal Case No. 758

Docket No. 11-090, Phase II Docket No. 11-090 Docket No. 07-010 Docket No. 98-002 Docket No. 96-004 Docket No. 95-001 Docket No. 94-001 Docket No. 92-002 Docket No. 89-002 A,B,C

## Docket No. 86-0128

Case No. 9655 Case No. 9651 Case No. 9605 Case No. 9602 Case No. 9486 Case No. 9481 Case No. 9473 Case No. 9472 Case No. 9449 Case No. 9443 Case No. 9433 Case No. 9418 Case No. 9361 Case No. 9336 Case No. 9335 Case No. 9322 Case No. 9311 Case No. 9286 Case No. 9267 Case No. 9217 Case No. 9207 Case No. 9158 Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Standard Offer Service Docket Standard Offer Service Docket Standard Offer Service Docket Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Generic Electric Industry Restructuring Potomac Electric Power Company/Baltimore Gas & Electric Company Merger Washington Gas Light Company Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Marvland Natural Gas Potomac Electric Power Company Marvland Natural Gas Potomac Electric Power Company Baltimore Gas & Electric Company Maryland Natural Gas Potomac Electric Power Company Potomac Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company

#### Massachusetts

Investigation of Rate Structures to Promote Efficient Deployment of Demand Management

## North Carolina

Generic Electric Load Management	Docket No. M100, Sub 78
New Jersey	
Public Service Electric and Gas	Docket No. GT93060242
Public Service Electric and Gas	Docket No. ER91111698J

Elizabethtown Gas Company Elizabethtown Gas Company Public Service Electric and Gas Case No. 9104, Phase II Case No. 9104 Case No. 9092, Phase II Case No. 9092 Case No. 9063 Case No. 9056 Case No. 9037 Case No. 8895 Case No. 8991 Case No. 8959 Case No. 8920, Phase II Case No. 8920 Case No. 8895 Case No. 8890 Case No. 8819 Case No. 8791 Case No. 8773 Case No. 8738 Case No. 8725 Case No. 8545 Case No. 8315 Case No. 8251 Case No. 8191 Case No. 8162 Case No. 8119 Case No. 8079 Case No. 8070 Case No. 8060 Case No. 7972 Case No. 7874 Case No. 7649

Docket No. 07-50

Docket No. 8812-1231 Docket No. 8612-1374 Docket No. 8512-1163

Jersey Central Power & Light New Jersey Natural Gas Company South Jersey Gas Company Public Service Electric and Gas New Jersey Natural Gas Company South Jersey Gas Company Atlantic Electric Company New Jersey Natural Gas Company Public Service Electric and Gas Public Service Electric and Gas

## **New Mexico**

Gas Company of New Mexico Gas Company of New Mexico

## New York

Consolidated Edison Company Consolidated Edison Company Brooklyn Union Gas Company

## Ohio

Toledo Edison Company

## Pennsylvania

PECO Energy Company PG Energy, Inc. Philadelphia Electric Company Mechanicsburg Water Company West Penn Power Company Pennsylvania Electric Company North Penn Gas Company Metropolitan Edison Company York Water Company Dauphin Consolidated Water Company Pennsylvania Electric Company **Duquesne Light Company** Pennsylvania American Water Company West Penn Power Company Pennsylvania Gas & Water Co. Water Div. Pennsylvania Power Company Duquesne Light Company

Docket No. 8511-1116 Docket No. 8510-974 Docket No. 850-8858 Docket No. 850-2231 Docket No. 850-7732 Docket No. 843-184, Phase II Docket No. 8310-883, Phase II Docket No. 831-46 Docket No. 837-620 Docket No. 8210-869

Case No. 2353 Case No. 2340 Case No. 2307 Case No. 2183 Case No. 2147 (Remand) Case No. 2147 Case No. 2093

Docket No. 94-E-0334 Docket No. 91-E-0462 Docket No. 90-G-0981

Case No. 78-628-EL-FAC

Docket No. R-20028394 Docket No. R-00061365 Docket No. R-00970258 Docket No. R-00922502 Docket No. R-00922378 Docket No. M-920312 Docket No. R-922276 Docket No. R-922314 Docket No. R-922168 Docket No. R-921000 Docket No. M-920312 Docket No. C-913424 Docket No. R-911909 Docket No. R-901609 Docket No. R-891209 Docket No. R-881112 Docket No. R-870651

Pennsylvania Electric Company Metropolitan Edison Company Western Pennsylvania Water Company Duquesne Light Company Philadelphia Electric Company Pennsylvania Power Company Pennsylvania Power & Light Company Philadelphia Electric Company Western Pennsylvania Water Company Pennsylvania Power Company Pennsylvania Power & Light Company Pennsylvania Electric Company Metropolitan Edison Company Duquesne Light Company UGI Corporation-Gas Utility Division Pennsylvania Power & Light Company Pennsylvania Electric Company Metropolitan Edison Company Pennsylvania Power & Light Company Pennsylvania Gas & Water Co. - Water Div. Columbia Gas Co. of Pennsylvania Pennsylvania Gas & Water Co. - Gas Div. Philadelphia Electric Company

## Philadelphia, City of

Philadelphia Gas Works Philadelphia Water Department Philadelphia Gas Works Philadelphia Water Department Philadelphia Gas Works Philadelphia Gas Works Philadelphia Gas Works Philadelphia Gas Works Philadelphia Water Department

## Rhode Island – Public Utilities Commission

National Grid – Gas Long-Range PlanDocket No. 4872National Grid – Gas GCRDocket No. 4846National Grid – Gas DACDocket No. 4816National Grid – Gas Annual ISR FilingDocket No. 4781National Grid – Gas Base RatesDocket No. 4770National Grid – Gas GCRDocket No. 4719National Grid – Gas DACDocket No. 4708

Docket No. R-870172 Docket No. R-870171 Docket No. R-860397 Docket No. R-860378 Docket No. R-850290 Docket No. R-850267 Docket No. R-850251 Docket No. R-850152 Docket No. R-850096 Docket No. R-842740 Docket No. R-842651 Docket No. R-832550 Docket No. R-832549 Docket No. R-842383 Docket No. R-832331 Docket No. I-830374 Docket No. R-822250 Docket No. R-822249 Docket No. R-822169 Docket No. R-822102 Docket No. R-822042 Docket No. R-821961 Docket No. R-811626

1992 Rate Design Proceeding 1992 Rate Increase Request 1990 Rate Increase Request 1990 Rate Increase Request 1989 Proceeding 1988 Rate Increase Request 1987-88 Operating Budget 1986 Rate Increase Request 1985 Rate Increase Request

National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas DAC National Grid – Gas Long-Range Plan National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas DAC National Grid – Gas Customer Choice National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas DAC National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas DAC National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas DAC National Grid – Gas On-System Margins National Grid – Gas Base Rates National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas DAC National Grid – Electric Backup Service National Grid – Elec & Gas Revenue Decoupling National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas DAC National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas DAC National Grid – Electric National Grid – Gas Portfolio Management National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas DAC National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas Base Rates National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas DAC National Grid – Gas Long-Range Plan National Grid – Gas GCR National Grid – Gas DAC New England Gas Company New England Gas Company Block Island Power Company New England Gas Company New England Gas Company New England Gas Company New England Gas Company Providence Gas Company Narragansett Electric Company Providence Gas Company Providence Gas Company Providence Gas Company Providence Gas Company

Docket No. 4647 Docket No. 4634 Docket No. 4608 Docket No. 4576 Docket No. 4573 Docket No. 4523 Docket No. 4520 Docket No. 4514 Docket No. 4436 Docket No. 4431 Docket No. 4346 Docket No. 4339 Docket No. 4333 Docket No. 4323 Docket No. 4283 Docket No. 4269 Docket No. 4232 Docket No. 4206 Docket No. 4199 Docket No. 4196 Docket No. 4097 Docket No. 4077 Docket No. 4065 Docket No. 4038 Docket No. 3982 Docket No. 3977 Docket No. 3961 Docket No. 3943 Docket No. 3868 Docket No. 3859 Docket No. 3789 Docket No. 3766 Docket No. 3760 Docket No. 3696 Docket No. 3690 Docket No. 3655 Docket No. 3548 Docket No. 3459 Docket No. 3436 Docket No. 3401 Docket No. 3295 Docket No. 2930 Docket No. 2902 Docket No. 2581 Docket No. 2552 Docket No. 2374

Providence Gas Company Valley Gas Company Valley Gas Company Valley Gas Company Providence Gas Company Providence Gas Company Providence Gas Company Valley Gas Company Providence Gas Company Block Island Power Company Providence Gas Company Generic Gas Transportation Valley Gas Company Providence Gas Company Providence Gas Company

## Rhode Island – Division of Public Utilities

Virginia Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company

Virginia Electric Power Company

Virginia Electric Power Company

PPL Acquisition of National Grid's Rhode	
Island Assets	Docket No. D-21-09
National Grid Acquisition of New England Gas Company's Rhode Island Assets	Docket No. D-06-13
And Bristol & Warren Gas Company	Docket No. D-00-02
South Dakota	
Northern States Power Company	Docket No. F-3188
Utah	
Dominion Energy Utah	Docket No. 19-057-02
Vermont	
Department of Public Service	Docket No. 5378
Department of Public Service	Docket No. 5307
Virginia	
Virginia Electric Power Company	Docket No. PUE 2021
Washington Gas Light Company	Docket No. PUR 2018
Virginia Electric Power Company	Docket No. PUE 2018-
AltaGas – WGL Merger	Docket No. PUR 2017
Virginia Electric Power Company	Docket No. PUE 2016
Virginia Electric Power Company	Docket No. PUE 2016
Virginia Electric Power Company	Docket No. PUE 2015

Docket No. 2286

Docket No. 2276

Docket No. 2082

Docket No. 2076

Docket No. 2038

Docket No. 2001 Docket No. 1998

Docket No. 1971

Docket No. 1951

Docket No. 1736

Docket No. 1723

Docket No. 1673

Docket No. 2138, Phase II Docket No. 2138, Phase I

Docket No. 2001, Phase II

-00058 -00080 -00042 -00049 -00021 -00001 Docket No. PUE 2015-00027 Docket No. PUE 2011-00027 Docket No. PUE 2010-00139

Docket No. PUE 2009-00019

Docket No. PUE 2009-00018

Virginia Electric Power Company Virginia Electric Power Company Virginia Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Washington Gas Light Company Virginia Electric Power Company Virginia Electric Power Company Virginia Electric Power Company Virginia Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company Virginia Electric Power Company Virginia Electric Power Company Northern Virginia Natural Gas Northern Virginia Natural Gas Virginia Electric Power Company Washington Gas Light Company

# Virgin Islands

Water and Power Authority – Water Rates Water and Power Authority – Electric Rates Water and Power Authority – Water Rates Water and Power Authority – Electric Rates Water and Power Authority – Electric Rates

## Wisconsin

Gas Transportation - Generic

## Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Weaver's Cove Energy, LLC. Mill River Pipeline, LLC. Columbia Gulf Transmission Co. Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. Columbia Gulf Transmission Co.

# Attachment DIV - 1 Page 13 of 17

Docket No. PUE 2009-00017 Docket No. PUE 2009-00016 Docket No. PUE 2009-00011 Docket No. PUE 2006-00059 Docket No. PUE 2005-00010 Docket No. PUE 2003-00603 Docket No. PUE 2002-00364 Docket No. PUE 000584 Docket No. PUE 980213 Docket No. PUE 980212 Docket No. PUE 960296 Docket No. PUE 940031 Docket No. PUE 920041 Docket No. PUE 910047 Docket No. PUE 900016 Docket No. PUE 880024 Docket No. PUE 830029 Docket No. PUE 830008

Docket No. 613 Docket No. 612 Docket No. 576 Docket No. 575 Docket No. 533

Docket No. 05-GI-102

Docket No. CP04-36-000 Docket No. CP04-41-000 Docket No. RP86-167-000 Docket No. RP86-168-000 Docket No. TC86-021-000

# SELECTED REPORTS, PUBLICATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

"Post-Pandemic Energy Procurement" Presentation to AOBA Utility Committee, April 7, 2022.

"Will Energy Market Developments Drive Government Policy or Will Government Policy Drive Energy Markets," Presentation to AOBA Utility Committee, June 27, 2013.

"Ratemaking for Recovery of Pipeline Safety Investments," Presentation to the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners, February 6, 2013.

"In Comparatively Stable Energy Markets, Legislative and Regulatory Decisions Make Budgeting for Energy Services A Real Challenge," Presentation to AOBA Utility Committee, October 19, 2011.

"Energy Commodities Show Stability; Charges for Utility Services Rise," Presentation to AOBA Utility Committee, April 20, 2011.

"Budgeting for Utilities In the Face of Constantly Changing Rates," Presentation to AOBA Utility Committee, November 10, 2010.

"Electric Utilities Seek Increased Rates to Fund Large Construction Projects," Presentation to AOBA Utility Committee, October 7, 2009.

"Could You Soon Be Paying \$1.00 per kWh for Peak Electricity Supply?" Presentation to AOBA Utility Committee, June 24, 2009.

"Energy Markets in a Tailspin," Presentation to AOBA Utility Committee, March 11, 2009.

"Energy price Outlook for 2009," Presentation to AOBA Utility Committee, December 10, 2008.

"Are You 'Going Green' or Going in the Red," Presentation to AOBA Utility Committee, June 18, 2008.

"Understanding Your Utility Costs and Your Competitive Service Options," Presentation to the Mid-Atlantic Hispanic Chamber of Commerce, July 10, 2006.

"Keeping Your Head Above Water In Volatile Electricity And Natural Gas Markets," Presentation to Legum & Norman Managed Condominiums, February 28, 2006.

"Surviving in Deregulated Energy Markets: *What You Don't Know Will Hurt You*!" Presentation to AOBA Legislative & Regulatory Seminar, May, 18, 2006.

"The Utility Market And Deregulation: *What's In It For You*? Presentation to the Montgomery County, Maryland, Apartment Assistance Program, September 29, 2005.

"Winds of Long-Term Change or Another Short-Term Market Distortion: Post-Katrina and Rita Energy Markets," Keynote Presentation to AOBA Leadership Conference, September 28, 2005.

"These Are Not Your Father's Energy Markets," Presentation to the Institute of Real Estate Management, March 8, 2005.

"Understanding Natural Gas Markets," Prepared for the AOBA Alliance, Inc., August 2004.

"Default Service: Protection or Problem," Prepared for the AOBA Alliance, Inc., April 2004.

<u>Assessment of Winter 2000 Heating Oil Price Increases for Rhode Island</u>, Report Prepared for the Rhode Island Department of Attorney General, September 2001 (with P. Roberti).

"Stranded Costs and Stranded Values," Presentation before the Virginia General Assembly, Joint Subcommittee on Electric Industry Restructuring, Task Force on Stranded and Transition Costs, May, 1998.

"Comments Regarding Restructuring of the Electric Industry in Maryland," Presentation before the Maryland Legislative Task Force on Electric Industry Restructuring, December 1997.

<u>Electric Industry Restructuring And Competition In Virginia</u>, Prepared for the Apartment and Office Building Association of Metropolitan Washington, September 1997.

"Assessment of the Proposed Pepco/BGE Merger," Presentation to the District of Columbia Community Forum on Merger Issues, December 1996.

Assessment of the Agreement Between Delmarva Power & Light Company and the <u>Medical Center of Delaware for the Supply of Electrical Power</u>, Prepared for the Delaware Public Service Commission, Docket No. 94-129, December 1994.

Assessment of the Agreement Between Delmarva Power & Light Company and Ciba-Geigy Corporation for the Supply of Limited Volume Natural Gas, Prepared for the Delaware Public Service Commission, Docket No. 94-141, November 1994.

Assessment of the Natural Gas Service Agreement Between Delmarva Power & Light Company and the Medical Center of Delaware, Prepared for the Delaware Public Service Commission, Docket No. 94-129, November 1994.

Lifeline Rates for Electric Service and Their Potential Application to the Guam Power Authority, Prepared for the Public Utilities Commission of Guam, December 1991.

Review of Additional Information Provided by Delmarva Power & Light Company Regarding the Costs of Gas Supply for Hay Road Combined Cycle Generation; prepared for the Delaware Public Service Commission, Docket No. 87-9, Phase V, June 1991.

Evaluation of Delmarva Power & Light Company's Proposed Near-Term Capacity Additions, prepared for the Delaware Public Service Commission, Docket No. 87-9, Phase V, August, 1990.

Evaluation and Recommendations: Delmarva Power & Light Company's Proposed Commercial and Industrial Indoor Lighting Pilot Program, Prepared for the Delaware Public Service Commission, Docket No. 87-9, Phase V, January, 1990.

<u>Preliminary Evaluation of DP&L's Proposed Long Term Purchase of Capacity and Energy</u> <u>from Duquesne Light Company</u>, Prepared for the Delaware Public Service Commission, Docket No. 87-9, Phase IV, January 1990.

<u>Staff Review and Technical Assessment: Challenge 2000 Supply Side Plan</u>, Prepared for the Delaware Public Service Commission, Docket No. 87-9, Phase II, October 1988 (with N.R. Friedman and J. Byrne).

<u>Review and Preliminary Analysis of Rates for the Bordentown Sewerage Authority</u>, Prepared for the Bordentown Citizens' Committee, August 1988.

Evaluation of the Proposed Load Management Program and Accompanying New Rate Schedule R-LM, Prepared for the Delaware Public Service Commission, Docket No. 87-34, January 1988.

<u>Staff Interim Report to the Hearing Examiner</u>, Prepared for the Delaware Public Service Commission, Docket No. 87-9, January 1988, (with J. Byrne, D. Rich, & Y.D. Wang).

<u>Report for the Attorney General of the State of New Mexico: In the Matter of the Application of Gas Company of New Mexico for a Variance to and a Change in General Order No. 44</u>, February 1987 (with R. LeLash and G. Epler).

<u>Determinants of Capital Costs for Coal-Fired Power Plants</u>, prepared for U.S. Energy Information Administration, March 1985 (with J. P. Price and C. J. Koravik).

<u>Trends in Electric Utility Load Duration Curves</u>, prepared for U.S. Energy Information Administration, December 1984. (with J. P. Price)

"Potential 1984 Strike by United Mine Workers of America," Executive Briefing Paper, prepared for U.S. Energy Information Administration, Sept., 1984.

<u>Coal Market Decision - Making: Description and Modeling Implications</u>, prepared for the U.S. Energy Department Information Administration, May 1984 (with J. P. Price).

Power System Load Management Technologies, Energy Department Paper No. 11, World Bank, November 1983 (with J.P. Price).

"Excess Capacity in U.S. Electric Utilities," <u>Geopolitics of Energy</u>, Volume 5, Issue No. 9, September 1983.

<u>Ohio Cogeneration Handbook</u>, prepared for the Ohio Department of Energy, June 1982 (with N. R. Friedman and J. P. Price).

<u>Cogeneration Engineering Handbook</u>, prepared for the California Energy Commission. January 1982 (with N. R. Friedman and J. P. Price).

<u>Third Annual Report: Time of Use Rates for Very Large Customers</u>, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, March 1980 (with R. Levitan).

<u>Residential Peak Load Reduction Program: Implementation Plan</u>, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, January 1980.

"Marginal Cost Adjustment Mechanisms and Rate Design", paper presented to the California Marginal Cost Pricing Project, August 1979.

<u>Effects of Time-of-Day Pricing Under Alternative Assumptions</u>: Three Case Studies, prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, 1979. (with R. Spann)

Long Run Incremental Cost Analysis and the Development of Time-of-Day Rates for Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corporation, prepared for the North Carolina Utilities Commission, January 1978.

<u>Report on Federally Financed Time-of-Day Rate Experiments for Residential Electric</u> <u>Utility Customers</u>, prepared for the U.S. General Accounting Office, November 1977.

An Empirical Evaluation of the Predatory Theory of Vertical Integration: The Case of <u>Petroleum</u>, (with E. Erickson and R. Spann) prepared for the American Petroleum Institute, October, 1977.

<u>Electric Utility Coal Consumption and Generation Trends, 1976-1985</u>, prepared for the Office of Coal, Federal Energy Administration, October 1976.

<u>Methodology for Improving the Price Sensitivity of the PIES Oil and Gas Supply Curves</u>, prepared for the Federal Energy Administration, February 1976.

<u>Coal Demand for Electricity Generation 1975-1984</u>, prepared for the Office of Coal, Federal Energy Administration, August 1975.

<u>Tanker Requirements for U.S. Waterborne Oil Imports</u>, prepared for the Federal Maritime Administration, September 1973 (with W. Stitt).

#### RIPUC Docket No. 22-42-NG

# **RI and Aquidneck Island Population Projections** *Rhode Island Statewide Planning Program*

#### STATE

	<u>2020</u>	2025	2030	2035	<u>2040</u>
TOTAL	1,049,177	1,061,796	1,070,677	1,073,799	1,070,104
% Change from 2020		1 00/	0.00/	0.20/	0.20/
% Change from 2020		1.2%	0.8%	0.3%	-0.3%

# AQUIDNECK ISLAND

City/Town	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040
Middletown	14,591	14,048	13,464	12,822	12,121
Newport	22,371	21,591	20,743	19,800	18,758
Portsmouth	17,386	17,615	17,779	17,845	17,793
Aquidneck Island	54,348	53,255	51,987	50,467	48,672
% Change from 2020					
Middletown		-3.7%	-7.7%	-12.1%	-16.9%
Newport		-3.5%	-7.3%	-11.5%	-16.1%
Portsmouth		1.3%	2.3%	2.6%	2.3%
Aquidneck Island		-2.0%	-2.4%	-2.9%	-3.6%

# DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WITNESSES

# **BRUCE R. OLIVER**

# AND

# PAUL ROBERTI

# **Climate Action 2030 Report**

# **U.S.** Department of the Navy

# May 25, 2022

https://www.navy.mil/Portals/1/Documents/Department%20of%20the%20Navy%20Clim ate%20Action%202030.pdf

(Last accessed March 13, 2023)



# **CLIMATE ACTION 2030** Department of the Navy



Cover Left: The guided-missile destroyer USS Wayne E. Meyer (DDG 108) transits through a lightning storm in the South China Sea. Wayne E. Meyer is deployed to the U.S. 7th Fleet area of operations to support regional stability, reassure partners and allies, and maintain a presence postured to respond to any crisis ranging from humanitarian assistance to contingency operations, Oct 1, 2019. Cover Top Right: The national ensign flies above the Virginia-class fast attack submarine USS Illinois (SSN 786) during Ice Exercise (ICEX) 2022. ICEX 2022 was a three-week exercise that allowed the Navy to assess its operational readiness in the Arctic, increase experience in the region, advance understanding of the Arctic environment, and continue to develop relationships with other services, allies, and partner organizations, March 6, 2022. Cover Center Right: Soldiers assigned to the 10th Mountain Division stand security at Hamid Karzai International Airport, Kabul, Afghanistan, Soldiers and Barines are supporting the orderly drawdown of designated personnel in Afghanistan, Aug 15, 2021. Cover bottom right: Sailors participate in a search and rescue swimmer exercise in the Persian Gulf, Feb. 23, 2020.

# FORWARD

Climate change is one of the most destabilizing forces of our time, exacerbating other national security concerns and posing serious readiness challenges. Our naval forces, the United States Navy and Marine Corps, are in the crosshairs of the climate crisis: the threat increases instability and demands on our forces while simultaneously impacting our capacity to respond to those demands.

It is because of this direct threat to mission that I chose climate as a focal point for my tenure as Secretary. While this reality is one that the Department of Navy (DON) has faced for some time, it is a reality that we face with new urgency and resolve.

On January 27, 2021, as one of his first acts, President Biden prioritized climate change as an essential element of national security in Executive Order 14008, *Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad.* Secretary Austin has championed that prioritization in the Department of Defense. Partners and allies around the globe, the economy, and the security ecosystem are similarly focused and committed.

For the Department of Navy, this is existential. If we do not act, as sea levels rise, bases like Norfolk Naval Base and Marine Corps Recruit Depot Parris Island will be severely tested in their ability to support their missions. If temperatures continue to rise, the oceans will get warmer, creating more destructive storms requiring our Fleets and Marine Corps forces to increase their operational tempo to respond.

We will see more extreme heat events such as the record-setting heatwaves in the normally temperate Pacific Northwest, and the expansive fires and unprecedented droughts in the West. These events mean more black flag days with temperatures at-or-above 90 degrees Fahrenheit, requiring strenuous activity – including mission-essential training – be curtailed because it is not safe. It means strain on the grid as people compete for energy to cool off, making mission and our people vulnerable to an outage.

If temperatures continue to rise, and disease develops and spreads, our hospital ships and medical personnel will be called on to deploy more in support of nations in need. As we see increased instability in parts of the world strained by climate-driven water and food insecurity or migration, the blue-green Gator Navy team will need to support more of these increasing humanitarian aid and disaster relief missions.

For these reasons and so many others integral to our mission, the Department of Navy will take on the urgency of the climate crisis and harness our power to make change – as an environmental leader and a market driver. For the DON, bold climate action is a mission imperative. In this decisive decade, we have no other alternative.

As a complementary document to this strategy, I am issuing to the Department of the Navy implementation guidance for climate action, directing the development of plans of action and milestones outlining how we will achieve the goals set forward here. My lead for this effort will be the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Energy, Installations, and Environment. It is my expectation that every individual, command, and component will take an active role and clear responsibility for integrating climate action into every aspect of the Department of Navy mission.



Carlos Del Toro Secretary of the Navy

1



# Department of the Navy CLIMATE ACTION 2030



# DEFINITIONS

Adaptation: adjustment in natural or human systems in anticipation of or response to a changing environment in a way that effectively uses beneficial opportunities or reduces negative efforts. *Source: DoD Directive 4715.21, Climate Change Adaptation and Resilience* 

**Carbon Sequestration:** the process of capturing and storing atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Source: U.S. Geological Survey* 

**Climate Change:** variations in average weather conditions that persist over multiple decades or longer that encompass increases and decreases in temperature, shifts in precipitation, and changing risk of certain types of severe weather events. *Source: Office of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, DoD Dictionary of Military and Associated Terms* 

**CO2 Equivalent (CO2e):** the number of metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions with the same global warming potential as one metric ton of another greenhouse gas. *Source: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency* 

**Environmental Justice**: the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people, regardless of race, color, national origin, or income, with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. *Source: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency* 

**Global Warming Potential (GWP):** a measure of how much energy the emissions of one ton of a gas will absorb over a given period, relative to the emissions of one ton of carbon dioxide. GWP is used to convert any greenhouse gas emissions to their CO2e. *Source: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency* 

**Greenhouse Gases:** gases in the Earth's atmosphere that trap heat. Rising levels of greenhouse gases during the industrial era have contributed to an increase in global average temperatures. *Source: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Data Distribution Centre* 

**Mitigation:** measures to reduce the amount and speed of future climate change by reducing emissions of heat-trapping gases or removing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. *Source: DoD Climate Adaptation Plan and U.S. Global Change Research Program* 

**Natural Infrastructure:** naturally occurring landscape features and/or nature-based solutions that promote, use, restore or emulate natural ecological processes. *Source: Environmental Defense Fund* 

**Net-Zero Emissions**: negating the amount of greenhouse gases produced by human activity by reducing emissions and implementing methods of absorbing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. This removal of greenhouse gases could be done through land or natural resource management, and human pollution intervention. *Source: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* 

**Resilience:** the ability to anticipate, prepare for, and adapt to changing conditions and withstand, respond to, and recover rapidly from disruptions. *Source: Office of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, DoD Dictionary of Military and Associated Terms* 

# **OVERVIEW**

Climate change is an existential threat that impacts not only our operations and readiness but also our infrastructure, our forces, and their families. Rising sea levels, recurring flooding, and more frequent and destructive hurricanes threaten our coastal installations. Changes in global climate and other dangerous trans-boundary threats, including pandemics, are only expected to worsen, posing increasing challenges for our forces, platforms, infrastructure, and supporting communities, and driving or intensifying conflict and humanitarian disasters around the world. The DON will adapt to these challenges that are increasingly putting pressure on our force and the systems that support it.

To combat these impacts, the Department of the Navy has an urgent charge: **to build a climate-ready force.** 

To achieve this, the DON must meet two Performance Goals:

- 1. **Build Climate Resilience.** Ensure that our forces, systems, and facilities can continue to operate effectively and achieve the mission in the face of changing climate conditions, and worsening climate impacts.
- 2. **Reduce Climate Threat.** The Department must reduce its greenhouse gas emissions and draw greenhouse gases out of the atmosphere, stabilize ecosystems, and achieve, as an enterprise, the nation's commitment to net-zero emissions by 2050.

The nation has committed to achieve net-zero emissions economy-wide by 2050. The President released several Executive Orders that reiterate this commitment and added specific targets that apply to the DON. In addition to these targets, and further objectives that the Department will establish during implementation planning efforts, the DON commits to drawing down the equivalent of one million cars' worth of CO2e by 2027 on DON-managed lands through nature-based solutions, and to install cyber-secure microgrids or comparable resilience technology to support all critical missions.

These performance goals are absolutely aligned with our mission. *The One Navy-Marine Corps Team Strategic Guidance* priorities of strengthening maritime dominance, empowering our people, and strengthening strategic partnerships will guide Department efforts around achieving these performance goals. Alignment with these priorities, as well as those laid out in the Chief of Naval Operation's Navigation Plan, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps' Planning Guidance will enhance the readiness and capabilities of the DON as a global maritime power.

The Department will organize climate action around five Lines of Effort (LOE), consistent with those laid out in the Department of Defense's (DoD) Climate Adaptation Plan: climate-informed decision making; training and equipping for climate resilience; resilient built and natural infrastructure; supply chain resilience and innovation; and enhanced mitigation and adaptation through collaboration. Our approach will be data-driven, grounded in science, and focused on enhancing mission accomplishment.

# **BUILDING ON A STRONG FOUNDATION**

The United States is a maritime nation, and the Department of the Navy (DON) works with allies and partners to defend freedom, preserve economic prosperity, and keep the seas open and free. The climate crisis directly threatens the ability of the Navy and Marine Corps to execute those missions.

The coming decades will witness climate change that challenges the DON's global strategic laydown and operations. Climate change will drive more severe and more frequent weather events that will stress our systems and platforms, and threaten our installations. Melting Arctic ice will yield more access to resources and navigable sea routes, enabling greater transit of military and commercial vessels alike. Considering these factors and more, the Department has an urgent charge: **to build a climate-ready force**.

A climate-ready force is one that can fight and win around the globe, while anticipating, preparing for, recovering from, and adapting to the evolving climate and security future. It is a force that is manned, trained, and equipped to operate in anticipated future climate conditions, prepared to respond to climate-induced or intensified conflicts and humanitarian disasters. It is a force that makes climate-smart investments, acquisitions, and strategic partnerships. It is a force with resilient installations and infrastructure enabling these missions. It is a force that is knowledgeable about climate impacts, associated mission implications and solution sets, and that

Hurricane Sally brought historic amounts of rain, significantly damaging over 600 facilities on Naval Air Station Pensacola, FL. Navy Region Southeast deferred \$49M in FY2020/2021 sustainment and modernization requirements to fund initial response and repairs to withstand future storms, Sept. 16, 2020.





An aerial photograph showing flooding at Naval Support Activity Mid-South in Millington, TN. Two days of rain dumped more than 14 inches in the area, causing a levee to fail and flooding the base and surrounding community. The flood displaced military families from their homes, caused extensive damage to base auxiliary buildings, impacted critical IT systems, and cost the Navy approximately \$154M, May 2, 2010.

accounts for these considerations in decision-making. And it is a force that does its part to combat the climate threat by reducing its greenhouse gas emissions, and sequestering carbon in terrestrial and coastal ecosystems, leveraging built and natural infrastructure to enhance resilience.

Remaining the world's preeminent naval power while building a climate-ready force is a national security and warfighting imperative: climate success is mission success. We will proactively address the impacts of climate change to make our forces more capable, our systems and installations more resilient, and improve the health, safety, and quality of life of the force.

The climate crisis crosses sectors and geographies, and when we act together, we build more meaningful solutions and strengthen our collective security. The DON will work with a full range of partners from allied nations and international security organizations, to cities, counties, states, and tribes, our sister services, other federal agencies, and the private sector.

Some of the targets we are aiming for in this strategy extend beyond 2030. We are setting this strategic vision from now until 2030 because the scientific community has been clear that this is the decisive decade in which the world must make meaningful progress if we are to avoid the most catastrophic impacts of climate change.



An electric van docks at a new solar-powered electric vehicle charging station on Marine Corps Base Camp Pendleton, CA. The self-contained, portable charging stations are independent from the electric grid, can be installed immediately, fit over a parking spot, and be moved to support mission essential tasks or be used during emergencies as a power bank, increasing overall mission resilience for U.S. forces. The Marine Corps' Southwest Region Fleet Transportation Office recently acquired two of these charging stations to add to their existing permanent electric vehicle charging infrastructure at Camp Pendleton, Jan. 12, 2022.

The Department has a long history that establishes a foundation to succeed against this challenge. Transitioning to cleaner forms of energy is one of the central ways that nations can limit the adverse impacts of climate change; the DON has repeatedly managed energy transitions well. Ship's power evolved from wind to coal, to fossil fuels, to – in some cases – nuclear power, each time combining innovation with efficiency to meet mission requirements.

Over the last decade, the Department has successfully employed propulsion efficiencies and hybridization as well as low carbon fuels, partnering with the Departments of Energy and Agriculture. These innovations expand the strategic availability of fuel to the force while yielding climate benefits.

The Department has established ambitious energy goals and made significant progress towards those goals. The DON's energy consumption has been falling since 2008, and the Department has delivered an additional gigawatt of renewable energy to the commercial grid since 2012.

A wide array of partnerships has been instrumental to the Department's successes in increasing energy resilience, reliability, and efficiency, as well as reducing energy consumption. The Department of Energy has been a major partner, providing science and technology, technical expertise, and acquisition capabilities that enable third-party financing of Energy Savings Performance Contracts and Utility Energy Service Contracts. The DON has awarded over \$3 billion in these contracts, which are reducing energy consumption, greenhouse gas emissions, and increasing energy and water resilience at installations across the United States and overseas.

Installation resilience does not stop at the fence line, and partnerships with states and local communities have been pivotal to increasing resilience. The Department has renewed a memorandum of understanding with the California Energy Commission that will help the Navy, Marine Corps, and the state collaborate on energy and water resilience, greenhouse gas reductions, and alternative-fuel vehicles.

The DON is coordinating across DoD, federal agencies, and industry to ensuring a domestic supply of lithium batteries needed for mission functions as well as our nation's industrial base. The DON is also leading research on advanced batteries, catalyzing the Federal Consortium for Advanced Batteries in partnership with the Departments of State, Energy, Commerce, and others.

The Marine Corps has upgraded one-third of its fleet of Medium Tactical Vehicle Replacement (MTVR) seven-ton trucks to a more fuel-efficient version and expects the remainder to be upgraded by 2024. At bases and installations around the world, the DON has installed advanced meters to track energy usage and to drive a culture of energy efficiency. Pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 2912, the Department has

A Medium Tactical Vehicle Replacement (MTVR) drives off a landing craft during Exercise Cobra Gold, an annual multinational exercise to promote interoperability and training between the U.S. and Thailand. The Marine Corps has upgraded 1/3 of its MTVRs to a higher fuel efficiency engine, which increases mission capability while reducing emissions, Feb. 12, 2014.





An SH-60S Sea Hawk helicopter, assigned to Helicopter Sea Combat Squadron (HSC) 26, flies during degraded visual environment training near Udari Army Air Field in Kuwait. HSC-26, is a Forward Deployed Naval Force (FDNF) asset attached to Commander, Task Force (CTF) 53 to provide combat logistics and search and rescue capability throughout the U.S. 5th Fleet area of operations, Aug. 19, 2015.

recovered \$155 million based on its reduced energy consumption. For savings generated by installations, half of these funds are reinvested into energy conservation, energy resilience and similar programs, while the remaining 50% go back to the installations that generated the savings to fund projects such as morale, welfare and recreation facilities and services. Savings recovered from operational energy activities are reinvested in energy innovation technologies and fuel savings initiatives to enhance mission capabilities and quality of life.

The Department, as a global leader in science and technology, has committed its scientists and researchers to collaborate with colleagues around the world to advance climate data, improve resilience, and bring about transformational low-carbon technologies. The Department is also leading at the nexus of public health and climate. Public health experts have been conducting health surveillance, research, and modeling to better understand how disease vectors and health outcomes are changing with the climate. For example, the Navy and Marine Corps Public Health Center and Navy Entomology Center of Excellence are building global partnerships with governments, militaries, academic institutions, and commercial and private stakeholders from around the world to share training and expertise. These partnerships combat the climate-driven spread of disease and insecticide resistance in nations that suffer disproportionately from climate health threats. The DON has also been a leader in planning for climate change impacts on its built and natural infrastructure through the development of tools like the *Navy's Climate Change Planning Handbook: Installation Adaptation and Resilience.* Working with federal, state, local, and private sector partners, the DON has implemented nature-based climate solutions like restoring wetlands and coastal ecosystems to protect installations and neighboring defense communities from erosion, storm surge, and sea-level rise.

The DON is also building resilience and sequestering carbon through regenerative land management techniques. For example, Naval Air Station Patuxent River stopped mowing the grass on much of the base, allowing it to return to natural forest, saving the base over \$400K annually on grounds maintenance, sequestering carbon dioxide, reducing emissions from mowing equipment, and improving species habitat, stormwater runoff, and quality of life for base employees. Keeping the grass near the airfield taller also reduced bird strike risk, a significant mission benefit.

U.S. Marine Corps Lance Cpl. Jose Martinez Lopez, right, and Lance Cpl. Jerry Garcia Villegas, center, both water support technicians with Combat Logistics Battalion 7, Marine Rotational Force – Darwin (MRF-D), and Australian Army Craftsman Benjamin Flavell, left, set-up a water purification point during exercise Crocodile Response at Point Fawcett, NT, Australia. Exercise Crocodile Response tested the ability of MRF-D and the Australian Defence Force to provide disaster relief in the Indo-Pacific region, May 25, 2021.



Volunteers from Naval Support Activity Hampton Roads, VA, Naval Facilities Engineering Systems Command Atlantic, military families, and volunteers from local conservation groups, participate in an oyster castle installation event at the Lafayette River Annex, Jul. 16 and 17, 2020.

MARKED STREET

The Department has harnessed the proven value of natural infrastructure in bolstering resilience. Naval Weapons Station Yorktown is bounded and protected by a critical fringe of shoreline, wetlands, and piers, which are all degrading significantly, threatening installation operations and increasing maintenance demands. The base received \$2 million in DoD funds and worked collaboratively with the Virginia Institute of Marine Science and other partners to leverage over \$10 million in partner contributions to build living shoreline oyster reefs benefiting the ecological functions of the York River while also enhancing the base's resilience and force protection.

At Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune, the DON partnered with the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration to research wetlands and the potential for carbon sequestration in these ecosystems, and built resilience by stabilizing shorelines and restoring salt marshes. Several southeastern coastal installations are engaged in a regional-scale effort called the South Atlantic Salt Marsh Initiative, which claims to conserve one million acres of salt marsh for coastal resilience from Florida to North Carolina.

# **GOALS AND TARGETS**

It is with this history and standing on this strong foundation that the Department moves forward.

# **Performance Goals**

To achieve a climate-ready force, the DON must realize two Performance Goals:

- Build Climate Resilience. Ensure that our forces, systems, and facilities can continue to operate effectively and achieve the mission in the face of changing climate conditions, and worsening climate impacts.
- 2. **Reduce Climate Threat.** The Department must do its part to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and draw greenhouse gases out of the atmosphere to stabilize ecosystems, and achieve, as an enterprise, the President's commitment to net-zero emissions by 2050, as well as other targets.

# **Specific Targets**

The nation has committed to achieve net-zero emissions economy-wide by 2050. Executive Order (EO) 14057, Catalyzing Clean Energy Industries and Jobs Through Federal Sustainability, reiterated this commitment and added several other specific targets that apply to the DON. The DON will develop plans and initiatives to begin making progress towards implementing all applicable provisions in EO 14057, including:

- Achieving a 65 percent reduction in scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas emissions department-wide by 2030 (measured from a 2008 baseline)
- Achieving 100 percent carbon pollution-free electricity (CFE) by 2030, at least half of which will be locally supplied clean energy to meet 24/7 demand
- Acquiring 100 percent zero-emission vehicles by 2035, including 100 percent zero-emission light-duty vehicle acquisitions by 2027
- Achieving a 50 percent reduction in emissions from buildings by 2032
- Annually diverting at least 50 percent of non-hazardous solid waste from landfills, including food and compostable materials, and construction and demolition waste and debris by 2025

In addition to the EO targets, and further objectives that the Department will establish during implementation planning efforts, the DON commits to achieving the following:

- **Nature-Based Resilience.** Building on Executive Order 14072, Strengthening the Nation's Forests, Communities and Local Economies, the DON will draw down an additional five million metric tons of CO2e per year through nature-based solutions by 2027, roughly the same as removing on million cars off the road, on DON-managed lands or working with partners. The DON will also deploy nature-based solutions to mitigate shoreline erosion, protect mission-critical assets, and improve natural assets that are key to achieving resilient infrastructure and operations.
- **Energy Resilience**. The DON will ensure energy resilience for mission accomplishment by deploying cyber-secure microgrids or comparable resilience technologies to support its most critical missions. These installation microgrids will leverage carbon pollution-free power generation and long-duration battery storage to the greatest extent practicable for continuity of operations of critical missions.

These targets are ambitious, transformational, and only the beginning of what is required. Every part of the organization will have a role to play in making progress towards these targets and the two performance goals needed to achieve a climate-ready force.

The advanced microgrid installation at Marine Corps Air Station Miramar, CA. was completed in March 2021, making the air station one of the most energy-forward defense installations in the nation. The microgrid incorporates power from solar panels, biogas from a nearby landfill, and use other energy-efficient systems. This installation is part of the U.S. Marine Corps' efforts to expand energy resilience and independence, and reduce emissions, Nov. 1, 2016.



# **WAY FORWARD**

Climate change is transforming the context in which the DON operates, and increasingly putting pressure on our force, defense communities, and the systems that support them. The Department's climate efforts will focus on both adapting to changing climate conditions and mitigating climate impacts. The DON will continue and accelerate efforts to build resilience, reduce emissions, and place a new focus on drawing carbon dioxide out of the atmosphere. Goals and gap-closure plans will be data-driven, transparent, grounded in data analytics, and focus on enhancing mission accomplishment. Consistent with the Secretary of the Navy's *One Navy-Marine Corps Team Strategic Guidance*, the Department will pursue climate change efforts that:

**Strengthen maritime dominance.** To remain the world's dominant maritime force, the Department of the Navy must adapt to climate change. Doing our part to reduce the destabilizing threat of climate change and to ensure our ability to operate in an increasingly unstable future is an integral part of our mission and will make us a more capable, agile, and lethal fighting force. Climate solutions can reduce our force's vulnerability, enhance freedom of maneuver, and reduce logistical constraints all while reducing emissions, increasing community, physical, and mental health, improving natural habitats, and saving money. The Department will think holistically to maximize overall value and seek out these multiple wins.

**Empower our people.** Leadership, innovation, and ingenuity are the bedrock of Navy and Marine Corps culture. The Navy and Marine Corps have a deep tradition of navigating transformation, overcoming obstacles, and accomplishing the mission no matter how challenging; climate change is no different. We have seen that drive from our Sailors, Marines and Civilians that contribute to the success of the Department's facilities, educational institutions, cutting-edge research and development capabilities, global alliances, purchasing power, and natural resources, and stewardship of our natural resources. The people of the DON have a breadth and depth of capabilities that is awe-inspiring. The Department will leverage and empower each of these to build a more capable force while addressing the challenges of climate change.

**Strengthen strategic partnerships.** The Department will take every opportunity to work across disciplines, and to reach outside the lifelines to collaborate with our sister services, international partners, other federal agencies, states, tribes, municipalities, non-governmental organizations, academia, the private sector, and all relevant stakeholders. In so doing, the Department can leverage other sources of funding, expertise, capabilities and develop more creative, meaningful, and impactful solutions. The Department will also prioritize environmental justice and ensure that our efforts emphasize community engagement, particularly with disadvantaged communities.

# **LINES OF EFFORT**

The Department's efforts will be organized around and consistent with the five lines of effort (LOEs) in the DoD Climate Adaptation Plan:

# LOE 1: Climate-informed decision-making.

Decision-making processes across the enterprise will consider climate change impacts, risks, and opportunities for adaptation, mitigation, and resilience benefits.

As the climate crisis accelerates, our operations, installations, and defense communities will come under increasing strain, and the individual resilience of Sailors, Marines, our Civilians, and defense families will also be tested. The Department will pursue initiatives to support the physical and mental resilience of our total force, alongside initiatives for the resilience of our platforms and physical infrastructure.

The DON will integrate climate change considerations and track climate investments throughout the planning, programming, budget justification, and ranking processes. Climate change impacts and threats will also be folded into wargames and training exercises. Climate change data will be embedded into the Department's performance management

Japan Maritime Self-Defense Force (JMSDF) Kongo-class guided-missile destroyer JS Kirishima (DDG 174), USS Stockdale (DDG 106), and JMSDF Takanami-class destroyer JS Onami (DD 111) sail in formation during Annual Exercise (ANNUALEX) 2021. Japan is among U.S. partner nations most affected by climate change and is collaborating with the U.S. Navy on strategic approaches for integrated logistics to address humanitarian assistance, disaster relief, and other peacetime operations, Nov. 21, 2021.



An Aerographer's Mate aboard USS Kearsarge (LHD 3) takes wind and environmental readings at sea. More frequent and intense extreme weather events exacerbated by climate change have the long-term potential to undermine training capability and readiness, Aug. 11, 2021.

capabilities to support leadership in understanding progress and risks towards accomplishing defined climate-related outcomes. The Department will develop and execute an analytic agenda to fill data, science, and technology gaps in our understanding of climate impacts to ensure that we identify emerging vulnerabilities and opportunities for future solution sets. The Department will also incorporate meaningful climate related training and education curricula tied to mission objectives into the continuum of learning for the entire force, from enlisted personnel to senior officers and civilians.

## Integrating Climate Considerations into the Budget Process.

The Department identified climate investments as specific line items within its annual budget for the first time in 2021, looking at areas such as adaptation and resilience, exercises and assessments, disaster response, modeling and sensing, and future planning. These investments support the warfighter and align with mission priorities. The DON has prioritized climate investments in the budget review and approval process, including a team dedicated to assessing and prioritizing additional climate change investments. The DON is working with the Office of the Secretary of Defense to refine and standardize this analysis and guidance for climate investments in future budget submissions.

# LOE 2: Train and equip for climate resilience.

The Department will ensure that the total force is trained and equipped to operate effectively and efficiently in evolving and intensifying climate conditions.

Energy usage by the DON's operational platforms (primarily ships and aircraft) accounts for the bulk of the Department's emissions. These platforms are also widely recognized as being the hardest to decarbonize based on the missions they must perform, the amount of energy they require, and the long service life of these capital assets. Enabling mission success will always be the Department's primary concern, and the climate solutions we build will make the force more formidable in a contested logistics and increasingly distributed operating environment.

Consistent with that mission focus, the DON will address its operational emissions, supporting a Department-wide pathway to net-zero by 2050, focusing on initiatives that both increase capability and decrease emissions. Specific focus areas will be identification and implementation of energy efficiency, demand reduction, and operational improvements; analysis of the impact of future force structure growth, asset mix, and fuel types to support future warfighting requirements; and engagement with industry and other partners to ensure that all relevant technologies,

Navy Petty Officer 2nd Class Victoria Witherspoon serves as a ground guide to a Navy dump operator as they assist with road clearing operations during Hurricane Ida disaster relief efforts in Grand Isle, LA, Sept. 16, 2021.



partnerships and contractual structures are rigorously evaluated against the dual goals of combat capability and energy transition.

**Electrification of Tactical Ground Vehicles.** Hybridization and electrification of tactical ground vehicles used in combat yield climate and mission benefits through reduced fuel consumption, increased operational reach, and enhanced on-board capabilities. Critical drivers for hybridization and electrification of tactical vehicles are reduced logistics footprint, extended range and endurance, reduced detectability, enhanced platform mobility, improved on-board and export power capability, potential lifecycle cost savings, reduced maintenance requirements, and increased survivability. Consistent with mission requirements, the DON is developing specifications and strategy for transitioning enhanced tactical vehicles in a way that is forward-looking, coordinated across the Services, and considers key industry trends.

The DON will continue to optimize its force through hybridization, electrification, alternative lower-carbon fuels, and advanced propulsion solutions for both existing and future tactical platforms in all domains — sea, air, and ground. The DON will ensure that energy performance is formally evaluated and optimized for every weapons system in the acquisitions process. The Department will also investigate options that share power between tactical vehicles and ground generation systems to optimize fuel use in combat operations and reduce the footprint of tactical forces. In all of these efforts, the focus will remain on warfighting efficacy, including fuel demand reduction, extending platform range, and improving resilience and survivability.

Beyond energy, the DON will continue to consider and update climate data in operational planning, including weather and health surveillance, and mission assurance assessments. The Department will prepare for rapidly changing and deteriorating climate conditions in developing, acquiring, fielding, and sustaining equipment and services, and further integrate climate-induced threats into our training and exercises. We will begin to measure and evaluate carbon emissions of tactical systems at a platform level in the acquisition process and seek opportunities to reduce emissions where tactically and operationally feasible.

**Integrated and Improved Propulsion for Navy Ships.** As a Department, there are currently five classes of combatant ships and eight classes of logistics ships with varying degrees of improved propulsion and hybridization. The DON will continue to explore hybrid and advanced propulsion options for all ships including future frigates and destroyers, and other classes of ships. Improved propulsion and hybridization will provide significantly increased flexibility for future capability upgrades, enabling them to be integrated in a more cost-effective and timely manner and provide warfare commanders with increased operational flexibility while decreasing demand on the Combat Logistics Fleet.

## LOE 3: Resilient built and natural infrastructure.

Built and natural infrastructure will be resilient to projected climate impacts and continue to support mission requirements, military readiness, and operational success.

The Department will unlock the full mission and resilience value of all its built and natural assets. Dealing with the impacts of water, whether too much or too little, is a central challenge of climate change. The Department will undertake large-scale ecosystem restoration efforts to improve the ability of Department-managed lands and coastlines to face the full range of water-related climate challenges from drought, water insecurity, and wildfire, to erosion, storm surge and sea-level rise. The DON will expand the use of natural infrastructure to build resilience, sequester carbon, and achieve local, landscape, and regional-scale climate solutions.

The Department will continue to work extensively with the DoD Readiness and Environmental Protection Integration (REPI) program which makes installations more resilient to climate change and other encroachment challenges through partnerships. The DON has used this program to collaborate with partners on over 800 conservation and restoration projects, resulting in an additional 230,000 acres of protected land yielding resilience benefits.

Coastal ecosystems are some of the most productive, and also some of the most threatened by climate change. Over 80 percent of the global carbon cycle is circulated through the ocean, and coastal habitats cover less than 2 percent of total ocean area, but they account for half of the total carbon sequestered in oceans. In addition to sequestering carbon, algae, seagrasses, mangroves, and salt marshes provide critical resilience for shoreline environments. Consistent with Executive Order 14072, Strengthening the Nation's Forests, Communities and Local Economies, the DON will expand its efforts to protect and restore blue carbon ecosystems on installations and in neighboring defense communities.

Natural Infrastructure Resilience: Naval Weapons Station Earle, New Jersey. Hurricane Sandy caused \$50 million in damage to Naval Weapons Station Earle, a critical ammunition resupply asset for the Department. In 2020, the base combined \$1.9 million in DoD REPI funds with \$61 million from the local county and the state to pay for beach replenishment, a living shoreline which includes artificial oyster reefs and salt marsh restoration to protect from storm surge and improve wildfire management. The entire project benefits six DoD facilities across 1.6 million acres exemplifying how building resilience through partnerships is cost-effective, expands our impact, and strengthens our mission readiness.



A Sailor with Naval Mobile Construction Battalion 1 (NMCB1) installs a water wash valve atop a containerized living unit at Camp Lemonnier in Djibouti, Africa. NMCB1 installed the arrays to study how the impacts of wind and dust affect the arrays' effectiveness. Solar arrays reduce the base's electrical load and the amount of diesel fuel used to power generators, Sept. 12, 2012.

The DON will continue to leverage its robust mission assurance program to identify climate, energy, water, and control systems cybersecurity risks, and prioritize adaption and mitigation initiatives to address these risks. The Department will expand energy generation and water conservation efforts through third-party financing vehicles and public-private partnerships. The DON will deepen resilience efforts through initiatives like distributed generation, smart grid, microgrids, and control system cyber security, providing efficiency gains and reliable power to enable mission accomplishment during periods of grid instability, including generation to support tactical systems and requirements.

The DON will build on the successes of its microgrid program by incorporating a cyber-secure microgrid or comparable resilience technology to support all critical missions. This technology supports energy resilience by isolating critical missions from grid instabilities and outages whether they are natural or manmade, and can be strengthened through conducting Energy Resilience Readiness Exercises and "black starts" to test installation resilience and continuity of operations during power outages. The DON will tackle emerging electrification requirements holistically to develop scalable and cyber-secure solutions which can rapidly meet adapting mission requirements. The Department will also proactively leverage public and private ventures and third party financing to reduce the greenhouse gas emissions of its non-tactical vehicle fleets and the emissions and traffic impacts of its commuting military, civilian, and contractor workforces.

**Net-Zero Energy: Marine Corps Logistics Base Albany, Georgia.** MCLB Albany became the Department's first installation to generate more energy than it consumes by implementing a range of solutions with a variety of partners. The base partnered with the county to harness landfill gas to power portions of the base, took steam from a private industrial facility off-base to power other parts of the base, and used solar and geothermal power as well. The base also procured fully mobile, solar-powered charging stations. These stations provide rapidly deployable, transportable, off-grid, and resilient electric vehicle (EV) charging, accelerating the integration of EVs into the non-tactical vehicle fleet while contributing to battery storage and emergency power.

The Department will accelerate water and energy conservation measures in buildings and expand the use of low carbon building materials. The DON will continue to leverage opportunities to quantify energy savings and invest those dollars in resilience projects, mission assurance, and programs that benefit Sailors, Marines, and their families. The DON will also modernize the design and materials used in physical infrastructure to reduce damage caused by extreme weather, erosion, and other climate

Naval Base Ventura County (NBVC), CA, employees, contractors, and volunteers plant native salt marsh plants near a newly constructed tidal channel in the central portion of NBVC Point Mugu. Salt marsh plants reduce erosion from storms, provide habitat for birds, fish, and marine invertebrates, while also helping sequester carbon, June 8, 2016.



threats. The DON is investing in updating and developing military design and construction tools, studies, criteria, and resilience project designs to reflect the interdependencies and impacts of climate change and increased cyber threats from broader electrification of the enterprise on facilities and supporting infrastructure. Over the past three years, the DON has updated criteria to reflect extreme weather events, including higher winds from hurricanes, increased seismic activities, storm surge, and flooding, and is now developing new criteria for implementing nature-based climate resilience measures.

**Energy Resilience Initiatives: Marine Corps Air Station Miramar, California.** Marine Corps Air Station Miramar partnered with the city of San Diego to use biogas generated from an on-base landfill as a renewable energy source, providing over three megawatts of energy to the installation, and reducing reliance on the city's electric grid by 45%, while reducing emissions. The base built an advanced microgrid which integrates power from the landfill and on-base solar power with a new power plant that enables installation-wide critical operations to run during a utility grid outage. Miramar will also be installing large-scale battery energy storage and load management strategies to increase resilience capabilities, all while increasing efficiency through upgrading HVAC systems, installing LED bulbs in street lighting, and converting cooling towers to use recycled water.

The BOLT Lifesaver energy device, pictured at U.S. Navy's Wave Energy Test Site in Kaneohe Bay, HI, has so far successfully supplied 900 hours of power stored in a battery bank to support unmanned, undersea vehicle recharge and mission resilience. BOLT is a first of its kind device that generates energy solely using wave generated power, without relying on batteries or the electrical grid support. Photo provided from testing Oct. 2018 – Mar. 2019.



# LOE 4: Supply chain resilience and innovation.

Through transformational low-carbon technologies and supply chain resilience measures, the DON will reduce demand on logistics drivers like energy and water, and ensure access to key materials, equipment, and supplies in the face of climate disruptions.

The DON will invest in areas such as transformational, low-carbon technologies and advanced energy storage and power generation solutions to support national security, warfighting advantage, and climate benefits. Through investments by the Office of the Naval Research (ONR) in advanced science and technology, the Department has growing innovation capabilities to better predict, mitigate and adapt to climate impacts. Some key ONR-supported research areas include oceanographic and meteorological modeling and prediction, efficient electrical power systems for ships, advanced water purification technologies and resilient cybersecure energy networks.

**Low Carbon Fuels.** In collaboration with the other Services and industry, the Department is in the process of qualifying low carbon tactical fuels currently developed and approved by the commercial sector. This is a critical step to position our forces to take the fullest advantage of low carbon fuels as they become available, assuring interoperability with the commercial sector, and maximizing worldwide supply chain resilience.

Amphibious assault ship USS America (LHA 6) was designed with a hybrid mechanical-electric propulsion system that can achieve greater range and/or more time on station compared to similar sized Navy ships that use steam propulsion, resulting in greater flexibility with regards to refueling and reduced maintenance costs, Nov. 18, 2016.



Lithium-Ion Batteries for Tactical Ground Vehicles. In collaboration with the Army, the Department is in the process of implementing a standardized lithium version of the vehicle standard "6T" battery as the first step toward broader ground vehicle hybridization. The Li 6T provides additional battery capacity over the traditional lead-acid variant, enabling antiidle, silent watch, and power for auxiliary mission systems. Li 6Ts can be recharged via solar power or other means, allowing silent operation when recharging, increasing warfighter flexibility and survivability. Standardization of the Li 6T enables the use of this battery across Services and with allied partners, aggregating demand to support supply chain initiatives and simplify logistics.

The Department will scrutinize its operational logistics and supply chains to ensure that climate-related impacts do not interrupt access to key supplies, materials, and services. DON will undertake efforts to reduce demand for key logistics drivers such as energy and water, making supply lines less vulnerable to climate disruptions and improving resilience. Energy command and control initiatives will provide better situational awareness of fuel on the battlefield, increase operational efficiency and make logistics networks more resilient to climate-induced and other disruptions. A decreased reliance on the supply chains for fuels and materials will yield mission benefits such as expanding potential areas of operation and on-station time, as well as climate benefits through reduced emissions from logistics operations.

**Investments in Innovation.** The Department is leveraging public and private innovation in the climate and energy resilience sectors by implementing Silicon Valley-based principles through NavalX Tech Bridges and business accelerators. Tech Bridges attract small and medium businesses using innovation challenges, often teaming with the National Institute of Standards and Technology Manufacturing Extension Partnership organizations and the OSD-funded National Security Innovation Network. Recent challenges are supporting maritime supply chain and "blue tech" opportunities. The Department has also partnered with business accelerators to access private sector innovation. Since 2013, the Elemental Excelerator has leveraged \$50M of DON funding to attract \$4B of follow-on capital investments in startups that have deployed technologies in all 50 states and more than 60 countries, providing products such as distributed batteries, sustainable water technologies and climate risk management solutions supporting DoD installations and missions.

# LOE 5: Enhance mitigation and adaptation through collaboration.

The DON will collaborate with external partners to combine capabilities, authorities, and funding, increasing the scale and scope of mitigation and adaptation efforts.

Through programs like the REPI Program, Sentinel Landscapes, the Defense Community Infrastructure Program, and other mechanisms, the DON will continue to work with defense communities, other federal agencies, non-governmental organizations, and a range of stakeholders to build adaptation and mitigation solutions at a local, landscape, or regional scale.

**Mekong Delta Climate Research Collaboration.** The Office of Naval Research collaborated with Vietnamese scientists to study Mekong Delta processes, developing a detailed understanding of climate-related phenomena like delta responses to rising sea levels, changes in mangrove environments, and reduced water flows from upstream. The US-Vietnam scientific collaboration was approved by the Vietnamese prime minister and initiated a continuing exchange of scientific ideas with this important partner.

The Department will also continue to work closely with science and technology partners on climate-related modeling, sensing, tracking, research, and assessments. The Department will work with allies and international partners, including security organizations and other stakeholders, to integrate climate into security cooperation and affirmatively build climate resilience in areas of the world that are most susceptible to climate-induced conflicts, humanitarian disasters, or acute climate impacts such as water and food insecurity or migration pressures.

**California Organic Recycling and Composting.** DON and the other military department are partnering with CalRecycle, local jurisdictions, industry, waste haulers and landfills to implement a California law that sets a state-wide target of reducing organic waste disposal by 75% by 2025. Composting greatly reduces the methane gas generated by organic waste, and methane is a powerful greenhouse gas (over thirty times as powerful as CO2). Military installations across California are working closely with CalRecycle to implement organic waste diversion programs to achieve this target.

**Worldwide Climate Health Partnerships.** The Navy Entomology Center of Excellence partnered with Ghanaian Armed Forces, Police, and Ministry of Health to provide training and build local capacity to combat vector-borne diseases that are exacerbated by climate change, such as malaria. Through the partnership, Ghana is developing a comprehensive entomology research and control program, increasing the availability of mosquito surveillance equipment, and working to ensure the availability of diagnostic tests and medications so local communities can minimize malaria outbreaks and deployed military units remain combat effective.

Ghanaian Armed Forces receive a supply of mosquito surveillance and control equipment courtesy of the Navy Entomology Center of Excellence. Rising global temperatures result in a longer breeding season and broader geographic ranges for hosts of vector-borne diseases, like mosquitos, exacerbating a threat to the health of U.S. forces and our partners, Mar 12, 2020.



# **NEXT STEPS**

There is no time to waste. Climate change is already impacting our Department, our Nation, and the world in significant ways, and the threat will only intensify in the coming decades. The DON has made meaningful progress, and now the magnitude and urgency of the climate crisis demand that the Department accelerate our efforts, work together, and think creatively to arrive at new and expanded solutions.

In tandem with this strategy document, the DON is initiating a 90-day implementation planning process guided by an implementation memorandum. During this timeframe, stakeholders across the DON will work together to identify initiatives to make progress towards the performance goals outlined herein. The DON is also standing up an Executive Steering Committee, to be chaired by the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Energy, Installations, and Environment, to ensure cohesive implementation of this strategy across the enterprise.

The Department's Climate Change Working Group will continue to meet regularly to drive and share best practices. Together, we will build upon progress and meet the moment to bolster our climate resilience, reduce our climate impacts, and remain the world's dominant maritime force.

The Nimitz-class aircraft carrier USS Theodore Roosevelt (CVN 71) transits the Gulf of Alaska after participating in Exercise Northern Edge 2019. Northern Edge is one in a series of U.S. Indo-Pacific Command exercises in 2019 that prepares joint forces to respond to crisis in the Indo-Pacific region, May 25, 2019





**Top Left:** Naval Air Station Sigonella Command Master Chief Anna Wood, right, and the watch commander, Chief Master-at-Arms Dimitris Mack, clear a drain of debris during the early hours of an expected storm hitting NAS Sigonella, Oct. 29, 2021. **Top Right:** NRL researchers monitor changing Arctic using sound First deployment of an earlier version of the Ice-tethered acoustic Buoy (ITAB), March 2017. **Middle Left:** Aircrewmen assigned to the "Merlins" Helicopter Sea Combat Squadron (HSC) 3 help guide pilots as they fill a 360-gallon capacity precision helicopter firefighting Bambi bucket to help extinguish wildfires near Naval Base Ventura County (NBVC) Point Mugu's base housing, May 3, 2013. **Middle Right:** An Air-Deployable Expendable Ice Buoy (AXIB) is deployed in the high Arctic near the North Pole from a Royal Danish Air Force C-130 aircraft operating out of Thule Air Force Base in Greenland, as part of a conglomeration of global participants that maintain a network of drifting buoys in the Arctic Ocean that provide meteorological and oceanographic data for real-time operational requirements and research purposes, Sep. 7, 2017. **Bottom:** Explosive ordnance disposal technicians assigned to Explosive Ordnance Disposal Mobile Unit (EODMU) 5 help repair a damaged coral reef in Apra Harbor, Jun. 29, 2017.

## PLEASE CITE THIS DOCUMENT AS:

Department of the Navy, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Energy, Installations, and Environment. May 2022. Department of the Navy Climate Action 2030. Washington, DC



# DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WITNESSES

# **BRUCE R. OLIVER**

## AND

# PAUL ROBERTI

# **Referenced Data Request Responses**

Division Data Request 1-2 Division Data Request 1-5 Division Data Request 1-6 Division Data Request 1-14 Division Data Request 2-1

Middletown Data Request 1-3 Middletown Data Request 1-5 Middletown Data Request 2-6

PUC Data Request 1-8

# Division 1-2

## Request:

As a result of Algonquin's plans to replace a portion of the lateral gas transmission line serving Aquidneck Island, please provide:

- a. RIE's expectations for disruptions of gas flows to Aquidneck Island during the construction period in terms of the frequency and duration of service curtailments or interruptions and the volumes of gas deliveries lost during each anticipated curtailment or interruption of gas supply from Algonquin
- b. RIE's estimates of the volumes of LNG that will be required to serve Aquidneck Island during each period of anticipated curtailment or interruption of gas supply from Algonquin.

## Response:

a. and b. The construction plans and timeframes are not known to the Company at this time; however, the Company has indicated to Enbridge that, if any disruption of service is required in the course of the construction project, they should be performed during summer months when gas demand is lower. Enbridge provided a preliminary indication there could be one or two outages of approximately three days in duration, for a total of six days. Historical usage on Aquidneck Island during summer months is approximately 2,000 dth/day, which would total approximately 6,000 dth per outage and 12,000 dth overall for the construction project.

# Division 1-5

## Request:

The Company's June 30, 2022, "*Gas Long-Range Resource and Requirements Plan for the Forecasted Period 2022/23 to 2026/27*" appears to show Peak Hour Flow requirements for Aquidneck Island growing faster than overall system throughput for the years PY2023 - PY2032. Please document and explain all factors contributing to growth in Peak Hour gas flow requirements for Aquidneck Island over that period.

## Response:

In the Company's 2022 Long-Range Plan submission (Docket 22-06-NG), the Aquidneck Island Peak Hour Flow requirements are not growing at a faster rate than the overall system growth. Their growth rates are the same. As stated in the Company's response to DIV-1-4, the Company did not perform econometric forecasting of retail meter count (or use-per-customer) for Aquidneck Island itself since there is no Island-specific econometric data with which to perform the retail econometric regression analysis. The Company does perform forecasting of Aquidneck Island wholesale volumes based on its analysis of its most-recent Island-specific springboard historical wholesale volumes and applying the Company-wide retail growth rate to the wholesale springboard.

In Exhibit 2 of the 2022 Long-Range Plan submission (Docket 22-06-NG), the Company presents its forecasted flows and supplies by take station. For the five-year forecast period, the total firm peak hour model flow for Aquidneck Island (AGT Portsmouth take station) plus LNG are:

	Total Firm Peak Hour Model Flow (DTH/hr)	Portable LNG (DTH/hr)	<u>Total (DTH/hr)</u>
2022/23	1,032	158	1,190
2023/24	1,035	175	1,210
2024/25	1,035	189	1,224
2025/26	1,035	198	1,233

## Division 1-5, Page 2

2026/27	1,034	207	1,241

Over the five-year period, this corresponds to an average 1.1 percent growth per-annum in the forecasted Aquidneck Island peak hour flow.

In Exhibit 15 (Page 1 of 7) of the 2022 Long-Range Plan submission (Docket 22-06-NG), the Company presents its forecasted design day firm sendout requirements for the Company's four legacy LDCs. For the five-year forecast period, the total design day sendout requirements are:

Design Day Sendout Requirements (BBtu)	Valley	Providence	Warren	Westerly	Total
2022/23	64	311	11	7	393
2023/24	65	316	12	7	400
2024/25	66	320	12	7	405
2025/26	66	322	12	7	407
2026/27	67	325	12	7	411

Over the five-year period, this corresponds to an average 1.1 percent growth per-annum in the forecasted total Company design day sendout requirements.

Since the Company's peak hour flow is defined as a fixed (five percent) portion of the design day sendout requirements, the two percentage growth rates can be compared. The per-annum growth in Aquidneck Island peak hour demand is equal to the per-annum growth in peak day demand for the entire Company service territory.

## Division 1-6

#### Request:

Please document and explain RIE's understanding of the energy conservation and energy efficiency goals for the U.S. Navy's facilities on Aquidneck Island over the forecast period from PY2023 - PY2032.

#### Response:

Aside from participation in the Company's demand response program, through which customers are provided interruptible natural gas service, the Company is not aware of the United States Navy's energy efficiency or energy conservation goals for Navy facilities on Aquidneck Island.

## Division 1-14

#### Request:

Please document, explain, and provide workpapers to support RIE's efforts to consider the potential impact of the Act of Climate initiative on forecasted annual and peak hour gas supply requirements for Aquidneck Island.

#### Response:

As summarized in Rhode Island Energy's Gas Long Range Plan ("LRP") in Docket No. DG 22-06-NG, the Company recognizes the Act on Climate and the future strategies employed to decarbonize the gas and electric sectors present implications for the Company's gas load and supply. As noted in that docket, the current gas LRP has incorporated demand side measures that contribute to decarbonization requirements. The Company is committed to advancing Rhode Island's Act on Climate's net-zero greenhouse gas emissions future by 2050 and supports the various efforts underway to further develop the plans for the implementation of Act on Climate requirements including through the Public Utilities Commission ("PUC") initiated Docket No. 22-01-NG. Rhode Island Energy is an active participant in Docket No. 22-01-NG and submitted comments on October 21, 2022, with respect to the scope of this proceeding. On January 3, 2023, the PUC adopted and communicated the purpose of this docket. Rhode Island Energy will work with the PUC, the Division of Public Utilities and Carriers, customers, and other stakeholders to evaluate recommendations resulting from Docket No. 22-01-NG or other related subsequent proceedings. As future impacts on the natural gas distribution business are known as a result of the Act on Climate or Docket No. 22-01-NG, Rhode Island Energy will evaluate those future impacts as well as the impacts associated with the key policy priorities outlined by the PUC such as reliability, cost, equity, energy burden, and economic sustainability.

# Division 2-1

## Request:

Please document each day (and date) within the most recent 60 months in which portable LNG units have been used to provide gas supply for Aquidneck Island, and for each day identified, provide:

- a. The therms of gas of gas supplied for Aquidneck Island through the Company's portable LNG facilities on Aquidneck Island;
- b. The number of hours the portable LNG facilities were operated;
- c. The amount of supply for Aquidneck Island received through the Algonquin transmission lateral service Aquidneck Island;
- d. The highest hourly demand experienced on each day identified; and
- e. The reason the LNG facilities were operated on each day identified (e.g., supplement Peak Hour gas supply; upstream supply disruption; testing; and
- f. The number of heating degree days reported for each day identified.

## Response:

Please see the following table for the requested information:

Gas Day	(a) Portable	(b) # hours	(c) AGT	(d) Highest	(e) Reason	(f) HDD
	LNG	operated	supplied	hourly	for	
	sendout		sendout	demand	operation	
12/23/22	663 dth	4	14023 dth/day	863 dth/hr.	Peak shaving	39
12/24/22	695 dth	4	18054 dth/day	809 dth/hr.	Peak shaving	51
02/03/23	1120 dth	5	21335 dth/day	1171 dth/hr.	Peak shaving	62
02/04/23*	650 dth	4	17513 dth/day	1037 dth/hr	Peak shaving	47

\* Please note that the vaporization on gas day February 4, 2023 was a continuation of vaporization that began on gas day February 3, 2023. Please also note that gas days are not

Division 2-1, Page 2

confined to a single calendar day—a gas day begins at 10:00 AM of a calendar day and continues until 9:59 AM of the following calendar day.

# Middletown 1-3

## Request:

Please refer to the response to Record Request No. 9 from the Energy Facility Siting Board in EFSB SB-2021-04, in which TNEC describes the former operations on the Old Mill Lane site.

- a. Please confirm that between 1991 and 2001, the site was not used for "peak shaving."
- b. Please confirm that the site was not used between 2014 and when the current annual mobilization under seasonal waivers began in 2019.
- c. Witness Porcaro states on page 8: "A load reduction in any amount would not result in less equipment. The need is driven by providing essential service to customers heating their homes and businesses during winter months."
- d. If the proposed facility was not needed between 1991 and 2001, nor between 2014 and 2019, why is the facility now needed under any circumstances?

## Response:

- a. The peak shaving operation using propane ended in 1991. The site was used as an LNG mobile peak shaving facility for the Winter of 2001-2002 pursuant to a one year special use permit issued by the Town of Portsmouth Board of Review on September 25, 2001.
- b. Portable LNG equipment was setup at the site in the spring of 2018 to support the natural gas distribution system during a pipeline inspection. Portable LNG equipment was setup again in January 2019 following the gas supply disruption in that month.
- c. The referenced statement is accurate. Load reduction would reduce the shortfall between demand and the maximum rate of the equipment during an outage, but it would not reduce the equipment needed since the current setup could not support the entire system during a complete outage.

# Middletown 1-3, Page 2

d. The system is needed to address the risk of outage from the capacity vulnerability and the system is sized and operated to address the capacity vulnerability. The system is also available to support the capacity constraint to address the need summarized on page 19 of the Siting Report. Below is an excerpt from page 19 of the Siting Report.

on January 29, 2019, after AGT experienced a period of high hourly demand on its G system, AGT notified the Company (and all AGT customers served by AGT's G Lateral) that during peak periods it would exercise its tariff authority to require local distribution companies, including the Company, to limit their hourly takes to calculated hourly flow limits at each take station. For Aquidneck Island, the limits are 22,089 Dth/day and 1,045 Dth/hour, which are less than the Company historically has planned to have gas capacity for use on Aquidneck Island.<sup>11</sup> As such, the Company now makes its planning decisions to prepare for the potential limitation of operational flexibility by AGT.

This gas capacity/demand gap materialized with the change in AGT practice and created a new need to plan for reduced gas capacity available at the Portsmouth take station.

# Middletown 1-5

## Request:

Table 2-1 in the Siting Report (page 10) shows that in the event of a complete disruption of supply, nearly half of the customers served by the proposed facility would lose service.

a. Please provide the estimated percentage of customers that would lose service in the event of a complete disruption of gas supply under each of the alternatives analyzed in the Siting Report. This can be assessed at the point in time when the alternative is fully implemented.

# Response:

The estimated percentages of customers that would lose service in the event of a complete disruption of gas supply under each of the alternatives analyzed in the Siting Report are as follows:

Seasonal LNG at a New Navy Site – approximately 49% of customers

Permanent LNG at a New Navy Site - approximately 49% of customers

LNG Barge - approximately 49% of customers

AGT Reinforcement project -0% of customers

Non-infrastructure solution -100% of customers

# Middletown 2-6

## Request:

On page 6, Witness Olney states the following: "Even in the alternatives in which the Project is discontinued in 2030, there are no additional GHG savings from avoided Project operation. Again, that is because the Project is not expected to be utilized in normal operation, because it is only utilized in the event of an upstream system disruption that would have otherwise caused system shutoffs."

- a. Will LNG be stored at the facility during the winter mobilization season in anticipation of an event requiring the operation of the facility? If so, please indicate the volume of LNG stored at the facility and the duration of that storage. How much additional capacity was procured from Algonquin in 2010?
- b. Does the Company assert that, in the event LNG is stored at the facility in anticipation of facility operation, no methane will be released from the facility's equipment? If so, please provide documentation or evidence supporting this assertion.
- c. In the event that the facility is needed, and vaporization and injection operations occur, what is the estimated leakage rate of methane from the facility's equipment? How does this compare with leakage rates from permanent distribution system equipment such as the take station?

## Response:

a. Yes, LNG will be stored at the facility during the winter mobilization season for the purpose of pipeline reliability and capacity reinforcement. Up to 84,000 gallons of LNG will be stored onsite for the December through March winter heating season. This increase in the current storage capacity is a result of the Company's plan to purchase advanced queen trailers outfitted with submerged high-pressure pumps, as noted in Section 3.2.2 of the Siting Report, that replace the requirement for a standalone high pressure pump trailer.

Please see the Company's response to Middletown 2-5 for details on the additional capacity procured from Algonquin in 2010.

## Middletown 2-6, Page 2

- a. No, some methane is manually released at the facility under the following conditions:
  - When the storage equipment is conducting initial cool down from ambient temperature to LNG storage temperatures, venting to atmosphere is required. During this cool down process, most of the boil-off gas ("BOG") is not able to be recovered in BOG recovery manifold due to pressure differences of the vessel being cooled down and the distribution pipeline pressure connected to the BOG manifold.
  - When transport trucks have completed offloading LNG, they are required to reduce trailer pressure before leaving the site. The BOG manifold's minimum pressure is greater than the minimum pressure requirement of the LNG transport trailers thus requiring venting to atmosphere.
  - After initial cool down has been completed, normal operations for unloading LNG transports do not require venting to atmosphere. In certain instances, however, it may be required to vent BOG to the atmosphere when capacity of the preferred BOG recovery manifold is exceeded. Factors that can increased BOG pressure are the LNG quality and temperature, the quality of the storage trailer insulation, atmospheric pressure, and the rate of LNG transfer between tanks.
  - When LNG trucking transfer is completed, a small amount of methane is released during the hose purge upon disconnection. It is not possible to recapture this small amount of released gas.
  - Finally, though it is extremely rare and has not occurred since the commissioning of the BOG manifold in 2021, extremely low atmospheric pressures can temporarily increase BOG rates greater than the BOG recovery manifold's capacity. If an extreme low atmospheric pressure condition occurred, the BOG recovery manifold would be used to its full extent; however, it is possible that further venting to atmosphere would be required.

Out of normal operations at the facility, there have been no unintentional methane releases such as equipment leakage. Gas detection, both fixed and portable, is utilized while the site is in standby and in operation. Any abnormal operating conditions, including the unintentional release of methane, are required to be reported to management.

## Middletown 2-6, Page 3

c. There are no detectable leakage rates observed from the facility during vaporization and injection operations aside from the manual releases summarized in the response to part b., above. With respect to the requested comparison to "leakage rates from permanent distribution system equipment such as the take station," there are no detectable gas leaks at take stations. All take stations have fixed gas detection that calls out to gas control. In its most recent System Integrity Report,<sup>1</sup> the Company estimates that lost and unaccounted for gas accounts for 2.7 percent of the total of volume of gas delivered to, or injected into, the Company's distribution system in Rhode Island. This percentage includes losses from leaks, broken meters, releases during repairs, and theft.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Please see the Company's Fiscal Year 2024 Gas Infrastructure, Safety, and Reliability Plan filing in Docket No. 22-54-NG at Bates page 136 available at <u>https://ripuc.ri.gov/sites/g/files/xkgbur841/files/2022-12/2254-RIE-Book1-2024FY-GasISR%2012-22-22.pdf</u>.

# <u>PUC 1-8</u>

## Request:

On page 6 of Olney's Testimony, the following statement is made:

Note again that for all alternatives there are no emissions impact directly from the Project (i.e., Portable LNG operations). Even in the alternatives in which the Project is discontinued in 2030, there are no additional GHG savings from avoided Project operation. Again, that is because the Project is not expected to be utilized in normal operation, because it is only utilized in the event of an upstream system disruption that would have otherwise caused system shutoffs.

- a. Does this mean the expectation is that the facility will never run? If not, please explain.
- b. If the answer to 1-8.a is no, please explain how the GHG analysis would be affected by the expectation that the facility will run at some point.
- c. If the answer to 1-8.a is yes, how does this affect the needs analysis? Please explain.

## Response:

No. The expectation is that, in normal weather years, the facility would only need to run to serve customer demand in a contingency scenario such as an upstream disruption (i.e., to address the capacity vulnerability, as described in Section 2.3.1 of the April 2022 Siting Report). The facility could also be necessary under extreme cold weather conditions driving design day-like demand (i.e., to address the capacity constraint, as described in Section 2.3.2 of the April 2022 Siting Report). Siting Report).

For the purpose of the greenhouse gas ("GHG") analysis presented in the Pre-filed Direct Testimony of Company Witness Tyler Olney, the likelihood of either or both of these conditions leading to some level of portable LNG operation at this facility over the analysis period was not estimated. If it is assumed that portable LNG operation will be necessary, the impact on the results of the GHG analysis would depend on whether it is necessitated by a system disruption or a weather event and when in the analysis period the event occurred. The table below lists the impact in these cases. In summary, portable LNG operation would lead to increased emissions in each scenario at a similar level, though solutions with incremental demand-side management ("DSM") would have relatively more emissions savings.

# PUC 1-8, Page 2

## Table 1-8.1. Impact on GHG Analysis of Portable LNG Operation by Cause

	Unstroom System Disruption	Extroma Cold Conditions
	Opsiteani System Distuption	Extreme Colu Continuons
Early in	Upstream disruption necessitates	Increased heating demand leads to
Analysis	portable LNG operation under all	portable LNG operation under all
Period	solutions, yielding no difference to	solutions, though relatively less in
(<2030)	relative emissions presented here.	scenarios with incremental DSM
		(lower relative emissions). Higher
		emissions from fuel-oil customers
		would be experienced in solutions
		requiring a moratorium.
Late in	Upstream disruption necessitates	Increased heating demand leads to
Analysis	portable LNG operation where still in	portable LNG operation where still in
Period	place. If major disruption prevents gas	place, but no increased emissions for
(>2030)	delivery, system shut-offs may be	solutions with DSM that avoids
	necessary without portable LNG	portable LNG operation.
	operation.	* *

Note again that, if portable LNG operation is necessary, total bottom-up system-wide emissions may increase because portable LNG has a higher total effective emissions rate than pipeline gas and/or because cold weather leads to increased energy consumption. But for the solution comparison performed in the GHG analysis presented in Mr. Olney's testimony, this would have a similar impact on each solution meaning the relative results would not be significantly impacted.