

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

IN RE: RHODE ISLAND ENERGY'S)
FY 2023 GAS INFRASTRUCTURE) DOCKET NO. 5210
SAFETY, AND RELIABILITY PLAN)
(ANNUAL RECONCILIATION))

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ALBERICO MANCINI

September 29, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sect.	Description	Page Nos.
I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1-2
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY AND REVIEW.....	3-10
III.	CONCLUSION	11-12

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND THE BUSINESS ADDRESS OF YOUR**
3 **EMPLOYER.**

4 A. My name is Alberico Mancini. I am employed by the Rhode Island Division of Public
5 Utilities and Carriers (“Division”). The Division is located at 89 Jefferson Blvd., Warwick,
6 Rhode Island 02888.

7 **Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING IN THIS MATTER?**

8 A. I am testifying on behalf of the Division.

9 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR POSITION WITH THE DIVISION?**

10 A. I am the Chief Regulatory Analyst for the Division. I have been employed in this position
11 since September of 2020. Prior to being promoted to the Chief Regulatory Analyst, I was
12 the Assistant to the Chief Accountant for the Division from April of 2019 through August
13 of 2020, a Rate Analyst for the Division from May of 2014 through April of 2019, and a
14 Public Utilities Engineering Specialist from February of 1999 through May of 2014.

15 **Q. WHAT DOES YOUR POSITION WITH THE DIVISION ENTAIL?**

16 A. As Chief Regulatory Analyst for the Division, I am responsible for detailed analysis of the
17 accounting records and financial structure of utilities under the jurisdiction of the Public
18 Utilities Commission (“Commission”) and the Division. This includes reviewing utility
19 filings in concert with Division consultants and presenting the Division’s findings and
20 recommendations to the Division Administrator and Commission.

1 **Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE OUTLINE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND?**

2 A. I graduated from the University of Rhode Island in 1994 with a Bachelor of Science degree
3 in Civil Engineering.

4 **Q. ARE YOU A MEMBER OF ANY PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES?**

5 A. I am a member of the American Water Works Association (“AWWA”), New England
6 Water Works Association (“NEWWA”), and the Rhode Island Water Works Association
7 (“RIWWA”).

8 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH NATURAL GAS**
9 **UTILITIES.**

10 A. I have worked in the natural gas industry for the Division since May of 2014 when I was
11 promoted to Rate Analyst. Over the past 9 years, I have familiarized myself with the gas
12 business and gas distribution system of The Narragansett Electric Company, d/b/a Rhode
13 Island Energy (“RIE” or “Company”) through my review of the Company’s annual Gas
14 Cost Recovery filings, Distribution Adjustment Charge filings, Long Range Plan filings
15 and Infrastructure, Safety, and Reliability (“ISR”) Plan filings. In that time, I have also
16 conducted site visits of facilities and capital projects throughout RIE’s distribution system.

17 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED AS AN EXPERT BEFORE THE RHODE**
18 **ISLAND PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION?**

19 A. Yes. Among other dockets, I have provided direct testimony in Docket 4996, relating to
20 the FY 2021 Gas ISR Plan of The Narragansett Electric Company, d/b/a National Grid; in
21 Docket No. 5099, relating to the FY 2022 Gas ISR Plan of National Grid; in Docket No.

1 5210 relating to the FY 2023 Gas ISR Plan of National Grid; and in Docket No. 22-54-NG
2 relating to Rhode Island Energy’s FY 2024 Gas ISR Plan.

3 **II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY AND REVIEW**

4 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

5 A. On August 1, 2023, Rhode Island Energy (“RIE” or “Company”) submitted its Annual Gas
6 ISR Reconciliation filing to the Public Utilities Commission (“Commission”) for the
7 period April 1, 2022 through March 31, 2023. Also, on August 1, 2023, the Company
8 made its annual gas Distribution Adjustment Charge (“DAC”) filing. As detailed in
9 Section 3, Schedule A of the Company’s tariff, the DAC provides for the recovery and
10 reconciliation of several adjustment factors including the gas ISR reconciliation. The DAC
11 factors other than the ISR reconciliation will be addressed by the Division in a separate
12 memorandum by its consultant, Lafayette Morgan of Exeter Associates. The purpose of
13 this testimony is to provide the Division’s position concerning RIE’s Gas ISR Plan’s
14 Annual Reconciliation for Fiscal Year 2023 for effect November 1, 2023.

15 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BACKGROUND OF THE COMPANY’S FY 2023 GAS**
16 **ISR PLAN RECONCILIATION FILING.**

17 A. The Company’s filing is supported by the testimony of Nathan Kocon, who provides an
18 overview and description of the \$171.086 million of actual capital investment spending
19 and an explanation of major variances to the budget of \$175.655 million approved by the
20 Commission in Docket 5210. In Docket No. 5210, the Commission approved a gas ISR
21 plan and budget that included \$175.655 million in capital spending for the period April 1,

1 2022 through March 31, 2023. Throughout the year, the Company submitted quarterly
2 reports to the Commission and Division detailing construction progress and reporting funds
3 expended to date as well as forecasts through the end of the year. The Division reviewed
4 each quarterly report and discussed major variances with the Company throughout FY
5 2023.

6 **Q. WHAT DID YOU OBSERVE IN THE COURSE OF YOUR REVIEW?**

7 A. The Company's actual capital spend for FY 2023 of \$171.086 million is \$4.569 million
8 below the approved \$175.655 million budget. That the FY 2023 capital spend came in
9 below budget is a product of Company underspend in several categories that was partially
10 offset by overspend in other categories. Several factors contributed to the overall
11 underspend which includes prioritizing certain projects while deferring other projects until
12 FY 2024, limited Company resources, and a decrease in reactive main replacement and
13 leak repair. The following reflects the budget categories with the most significant
14 underspend:

<u>Budget Category</u>	<u>Amount of Underspend</u>
• CSC/Public Works	\$5.319 million
• Transmission Station Integrity	\$4.248 million
• Gas System Reliability	\$2.964 million
• Reactive Leaks (CI Joint Encapsulation/Service Replacements)	\$2.789 million
• Southern RI Gas Expansion Project	\$2.731 million
• Pressure Regulating Facilities	\$2.613 million
• Low Pressure Elimination	\$1.909 million
• Main Replacement (Reactive)	<u>\$1.573 million</u>
Total	\$24.146 million

1 The \$24.146 million underspend was partially offset by additional work completed in
2 other categories. The most significant overspend is reflected in the following budget
3 categories:

4	• Main Replacement (Proactive)	\$9.469 million
5	• LNG – Portable Equipment Purchase	\$7.138 million
6	• Main Replacement – Large Diameter LPCI Program	\$2.553 million
7	• Tools and Equipment	\$1.417 million
8	• Atwells Avenue Main Replacement	<u>\$1.290 million</u>
9	Total	\$21.867 million

10 **Q. WAS THE COMPANY’S SPENDING UNDER ITS FY 2023 GAS ISR PLAN**
11 **CONSISTENT WITH THE DIVISION’S RECOMMENDATION IN DOCKET NO.**
12 **5210?**

13 A. Yes, except for spending on the Cumberland Portable LNG equipment which I discuss
14 below. For now, I would like to discuss the consistencies between the actual spending and
15 our recommendation in Docket No. 5210. As part of the initial FY 2023 Gas ISR review
16 by the Division, the Division and the Company agreed to reduce the Proactive Main
17 Replacement budget by \$5 million and concentrate on reducing the 38 miles of forecasted
18 carryover miles. In its Memorandum filed on February 18, 2022, the Division
19 recommended that if the Company reduces its forecasted carryover miles and has available
20 resources, then the Company should continue replacing leak prone pipe through its
21 Proactive Main Replacement Program while still not exceeding the overall approved
22 budget. By reallocating resources as it did between ISR Plan budget categories, the
23 Company installed 50.7 miles of main across all ISR programs enabling achievement of
24 the 65-mile abandonment target recommended by the Division and ultimately approved by

1 the Commission in Order No. 24452 in this docket.¹ In total, the Company abandoned 65.5
2 miles while reducing the FY 2023 carryover miles to approximately 25 miles.

3 Furthermore, by utilizing funds from underspent project categories, the Company was able
4 to take advantage of contractor availability and project readiness to complete two
5 additional projects and begin a third project as part of its Large Diameter LPCI
6 Rehabilitation Program. The Division supported the additional work completed in this
7 program as several hundred additional joints were resealed preventing future methane leaks
8 and costly repairs on large diameter cast iron mains.

9 **Q. IS THERE OTHER FY 2023 GAS ISR PLAN BUDGET SPEND THAT YOU WISH**
10 **TO COMMENT ON?**

11 A. Yes. The spend for “LNG – Portable Equipment Purchase” (\$7.138 million) and spend
12 for “Tools and Equipment” (\$1.417 million). With respect to the former, in May of 2022,
13 prior to the consummation of the sale of Narragansett Electric to PPL, National Grid
14 notified the Division that Narragansett Electric intended to purchase the Cumberland
15 portable LNG equipment. National Grid provided the Division with a “Portable Equipment
16 Purchase Plan” and indicated that the purchase was not included in its approved FY 2023
17 Plan but that it intended to include the purchase as part of the FY 2023 Gas ISR spend.
18 Based on the plan and discussions with National Grid representatives, the Division

¹ Where the Company maintained an abandonment target of 65 miles and the Commission ordered the Division and the Company to adjust the ISR plan “to ensure that the actual rate of replacement keeps up with the targeted replacement rate.” *In Re: The Narragansett Electric Company Gas FY 2023 ISR Plan Proposal*, Dkt. 5210, Order No. 24452 at 21 (RIPUC July 27, 2022).

1 indicated that it did not oppose the purchase but did not have the authority to approve the
2 purchase, and that National Grid should notify the Commission of its intentions and obtain
3 whatever authority it believed was necessary to achieve its goals.

4 By correspondence dated November 16, 2022, the Division received the Company's 2nd
5 Quarter Update. In that report, the Company stated that it would "incur charges of \$7.00
6 million in FY 2023, which was not originally in the budget, for costs associated with down
7 payments for Portable LNG Equipment that will primarily be used at the Cumberland LNG
8 Facility." The Company explained that the contract for the equipment, which had been
9 extended during the Covid-19 pandemic, expired at the close of Winter 2022-2023; that
10 the Company had performed a cost-benefit analysis that showed purchasing the equipment
11 was in the best interest of Rhode Island ratepayers; and that the purchased equipment would
12 be placed in service in FY 2024.²

13 **Q. DOES THE DIVISION STILL SUPPORT THE COMPANY'S DECISION TO**
14 **PURCHASE THE CUMBERLAND PORTABLE LNG EQUIPMENT?**

15 A. Yes, for the reasons set forth above.³ Additionally, it is the Division's understanding that
16 had the Company continued to lease the Portable LNG equipment in Cumberland, the
17 rental payments under a new lease arrangement would have increased as reflected in the
18 Company's cost-benefit analysis that has been provided to the Division and Commission.
19 As a result, leasing costs associated with the Cumberland LNG Facility have now been

² Dkt 22-54-NG, Response to Div. 1-39 and Attachment 1-39-1 at 310-313.

³ The Company included the \$7.138 million for the purchase of the tanks in the FY 2024 Gas ISR Plan revenue requirement. The \$7.138 million appears as a budget category variance in the reconciliation filing (Table B) and does not impact the revenue requirement of the filing.

1 eliminated from the Company’s 2023 Gas Cost Recovery Filing where previous portable
2 LNG leasing cost were recovered by the Company.

3 **Q. WHAT COMMENTS WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE ABOUT THE “TOOLS AND**
4 **EQUIPMENT” SPEND VARIANCE?**

5 A. As part of a proposed settlement in Docket 22-54-NG (the Company’s FY2024 Gas ISR
6 Plan) the Company had agreed to reduce its Tools and Equipment budget by \$583 thousand
7 due to specific specialty tools being purchased and delivered in FY 2023.⁴ These tools,
8 the T.D. Williamson ProStopp equipment and a specialty saw, were scheduled to be
9 delivered in FY 2024 due to long lead times but actually arrived in February 2023. The
10 Company also testified in Docket No. 22-54-NG that these tools could not have been
11 borrowed from National Grid.⁵ Accounting for the proposed settlement reduction, the
12 original FY 2023 budget in this category would have increased from \$824 thousand to
13 \$1.407 million. With respect to the additional \$834 thousand spend, the Company
14 explained that throughout 2023 it spent additional monies on tools and equipment due to
15 incremental live gas work being performed by in-house crews and overall wear and tear
16 over the past several years.⁶

17 **Q. DOES THE DIVISION ACCEPT THE SPENDING VARIANCE FOR TOOLS AND**
18 **EQUIPMENT?**

⁴ The Commission did not approve this settlement but rather reserved decision-making on the subject of the additional “Tools and Equipment” spend and the like until this filing. *In Re: The Narragansett Electric Company Gas FY 2024 ISR Plan Proposal*, Dkt 22-54-NG, Order No. 22802 at 36 (RIPUC August 22, 2023).

⁵ Dkt. No. 22-54-NG, *Transcript of Hearing* at 57-58 (March 14, 2023).

⁶ *Pre-Filed Direct Testimony of Nathan Kocon* at Bates Page 19.

1 A. Yes. In total, the Company overspent \$1.417 million in this category from its original
2 budget but only \$834 thousand if the proposed settlement is taken into consideration. The
3 Division accepts the Company's testimony that the T.D. Williamson ProStopp equipment
4 and a specialty saw could not have been borrowed from National Grid. Thus, the cost for
5 these items cannot be characterized as costs incurred in the transition from The
6 Narragansett Electric Company under National's Grid's regional service organization to
7 Rhode Island Energy owned and operated by PPL Corporation. The Division also accepts
8 the Company's explanation that throughout 2023 the Company spent additional monies on
9 tools and equipment due to incremental live gas work being performed by in-house crews
10 and overall wear and tear over the past several years.⁷ The additional work being
11 performed in all main replacement categories, in all probability, necessitated the purchase
12 of a higher than usual amount of tools and equipment.

13
14 **Q. WHAT COMMENTS WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE ABOUT THE "ATWELLS**
15 **AVENUE MAIN REPLACEMENT" SPEND VARIANCE?**

16 A. The Company's original budget of \$1.464 million for the Atwells Avenue Main
17 Replacement project included \$1.10 million associated with the Atwells Avenue Segment
18 3 work but this phase of the project was deferred and included in the approved FY 2024
19 budget. Also, \$400 thousand in restoration costs that was originally budgeted for FY 2024
20 was removed and included in the FY 2023 budget due to restoration work being completed
21 ahead of schedule. Adjusting for these changes, the FY 2023 budget would have been

⁷Pre-Filed Direct Testimony of Nathan Kocon at Bates Page 19.

1 reduced to \$764 thousand. Actual spend for FY 2023 increased to \$2.754 million as final
2 restoration costs exceeded the \$400 thousand original estimate. This results in a \$1.29
3 million overspend from the original approved budget of \$1.464 million. The Division will
4 continue to monitor total costs associated with the completion of the Atwells Avenue Main
5 Replacement project.

6 **Q. DOES THE DIVISION HAVE ANY COMMENTS ABOUT THE COMPANY'S**
7 **UPDATED REVENUE REQUIREMENTS FOR ITS FY 2023 GAS ISR PLAN?**

8 A. The Company's Filing was also supported by the testimony of Stephanie Briggs, Jeffrey
9 Oliveira, and Natalie Hawk who presented the updated FY 2023 revenue requirement of
10 \$44,292,572 which results in a \$1,855,603 increase from the projected \$42,436,970
11 previously approved by the Commission in Docket 5210. According to the Company's
12 tariff, the ISR Reconciliation Mechanism "reconciles the actual Cumulative Revenue
13 Requirements and any associated costs approved for recovery through this mechanism to
14 the actual billed revenue for the prior fiscal year." As part of our review of the Company's
15 filing, the Division reviewed the calculation of the updated revenue requirements.

16 In Order No. 24042 in Docket No. 5099, the Commission directed the Company "to
17 commence using the plant-in-service methodology for the Gas ISR (revenue requirement)
18 that is currently employed for the Electric ISR."⁸ The Company's approved FY 2023 Gas
19 ISR Plan included incremental revenues of \$6,439,207 to support an estimated \$162.924

⁸ *In Re: The Narragansett Electric Company Gas FY 2022 ISR Plan Proposal*, Dkt. 5099, Order No. 24042 at 19 (RIPUC May 6, 2021).

1 million in Capital Additions. The actual Capital Additions for FY 2023 totaled \$151.651
2 million which reduced the associated incremental revenue requirement to \$4,321,656.
3 While the approved FY 2023 revenue requirement associated with the FY 2023 Capital
4 Additions decreased from \$6,439,207 to \$4,321,656, the net impact of several other factors
5 as explained in the Company's testimony results in a cumulative total revenue requirement
6 of \$44,292,572.⁹

7 The proposed FY 2023 adjusted revenue requirement of \$44,292,572 also includes a hold
8 harmless credit reduction of \$1,727,500 related to the sale of Narragansett Electric to PPL.
9 The Division, along with its consultant, David Effron, have reviewed all calculations
10 supporting the updated FY 2023 revenue requirement of \$44,292,572 and have no
11 recommended adjustments.

12 **III. CONCLUSION**

13 **Q. DOES THE DIVISION HAVE A RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE**
14 **COMPANY'S RECONCILIATION FILING FOR THE FY 2023 GAS ISR PLAN?**

15 A. Yes.

16 **Q. WHAT IS THE DIVISION'S RECOMMENDATION?**

17 A. The Company underspent \$4.569 million below the approved budget of \$175.655 million.
18 The underspend is 2.6% below the approved budget level. Given the overall complexity
19 of the gas ISR plan projects, an underspend that is 2.6% *below* the approved budget is

⁹ Joint Pre-Filed Direct Testimony of Stephanie A. Briggs, Jeffrey D. Olivera and Natalie Hawk at Bates Page 54-55 and also calculated in attachment SAB/JDO-1, Bates Page 1.

1 reasonable. The Division recommends approval of the Company's Annual Reconciliation
2 Filing for Docket No. 5210 as filed.