

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Carrie Higgins <carrie_ryan125@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 7:10 PM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB,

My name is Carrie from South Providence Rhode Island and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on EFSB to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an alteration to a major energy facility and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an alteration to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an alteration is a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare. Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of "an existing energy facility" be necessary "to meet the future demand for LPG in the region"?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island's long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence's Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,

Carrie Higgins

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Crystal Hardison <crystalstarhardison@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 7:44 PM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB,

My name is Crystal Hardison ,from Warren, RI and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on EFSB to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an “alteration to a major energy facility” and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an “alteration” to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an “alteration” is “a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare.” Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of “an existing energy facility” be necessary “to meet the future demand for LPG in the region”?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island’s long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence’s Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,

Sent from my iPhone

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: David Boland <dboland9@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 9:38 PM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB,

My name is ___DaveBoland_____ from ___Tiverton RI_____ and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on EFSB to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an “alteration to a major energy facility” and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an “alteration” to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an “alteration” is “a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare.” Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of “an existing energy facility” be necessary “to meet the future demand for LPG in the region”?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island’s long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence’s Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,

___Dave Boland_____

Sent from my iPhone

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: ullieemigh <ullieemigh@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 9:18 AM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB,

This is the Emigh family in Riverside and we are submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

We call on the EFSB to:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an “alteration to a major energy facility” and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in an already overburdened community, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an “alteration” to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an “alteration” is “a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare.” Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of “an existing energy facility” be necessary “to meet the future demand for LPG in the region”?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island’s long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence’s Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns, it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

We urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,

John, Ullie and Eric Emigh

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Ileri, Eren <eren_ileri@alumni.brown.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2021 10:13 AM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB,

My name is Eren Can from Providence and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on EFSB to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an “alteration to a major energy facility” and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must extend the public comment period at least another 2 months
3. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an “alteration” to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an “alteration” is “a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare.” Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of “an existing energy facility” be necessary “to meet the future demand for LPG in the region”?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island’s long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence’s Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,

Eren Can Ileri

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Guo, Eric <eric_guo@brown.edu>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 3:54 PM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Require a full review of Sea 3 expansion

Dear EFSB,

My name is Eric and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquefied Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on EFSB to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an “alteration to a major energy facility” and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an “alteration” to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an “alteration” is “a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare.” Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of “an existing energy facility” be necessary “to meet the future demand for LPG in the region”?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island’s long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence’s Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,
Eric Guo

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Hanna Wells <hanna_wells@brown.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2021 7:27 PM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB,

My name is Hanna Wells. I live on the East Side, work for the City of East Providence Waterfront Commission, and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on EFSB to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an “alteration to a major energy facility” and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an “alteration” to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an “alteration” is “a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare.” Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of “an existing energy facility” be necessary “to meet the future demand for LPG in the region”?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island’s long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence’s Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,

Hanna

Sent from my iPhone

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Kathryn Boland <kdbyoga1@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 6:29 PM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB,

My name is Kathryn Boland from Newport, RI and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on EFSB to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an “alteration to a major energy facility” and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an “alteration” to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an “alteration” is “a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare.” Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of “an existing energy facility” be necessary “to meet the future demand for LPG in the region”?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island’s long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence’s Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,
Kathryn Boland

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Katie Moorhead (katiebmoorhead@gmail.com) <katiebmoorhead@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 7:09 PM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB,

My name is Katie Moorhead from Newport RI and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on EFSB to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an “alteration to a major energy facility” and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an “alteration” to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an “alteration” is “a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare.” Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of “an existing energy facility” be necessary “to meet the future demand for LPG in the region”?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island’s long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence’s Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,

KATIE MOORHEAD

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Lucid Clairvoyant <lclairvo795@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 6:52 PM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB, My name is Lucid Clairvoyant from Providence, RI and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquefied Propane Gas expansion. I am calling on EFSB to do the following: 1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an "alteration to a major energy facility" and require a full application and review by the Board 2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this. First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an "alteration" to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an "alteration" is "a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare." Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of "an existing energy facility" be necessary "to meet the future demand for LPG in the region"? Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island's long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence's Climate Justice Plan. Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved. I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,
Lucid Clairvoyant

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Paul Diaz <mr.pauldiaz@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 6:55 PM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB,

My name is Paul Diaz from Providence and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on EFSB to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an “alteration to a major energy facility” and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an “alteration” to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an “alteration” is “a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare.” Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of “an existing energy facility” be necessary “to meet the future demand for LPG in the region”?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island’s long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence’s Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,

Paul Diaz

Sent from my iPhone

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Rochelle Lee <rochelle1951@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 8:00 AM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear Energy Facility Siting Board Members,

My name is Rochelle Lee from Providence and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on the members of the Energy Facility Siting Board to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board, (EFSB), finds that the Sea 3 Providence expansion proposal is in fact, a substantial, "alteration to a major energy facility", and must require Sea 3 to prepare and submit a full application to be reviewed by the EFSB's Board;
2. The EFSB must require that Sea 3's petition included cumulative health and safety impacts;

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an "alteration" to the existing facility.

According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an "alteration" is "a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare. "

Although Sea 3 denies it requires a full review, their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of "an existing energy facility" be necessary, "to meet the future demand for LPG in the region"?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels.

This rationale is inconsistent with Rhode Island's long-term climate change and emissions reductions goals, as well as the City of Providence's Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to examine the evidence of the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts Sea 3 will have upon the City and many impacted neighborhoods if the EFSB approve this proposed expansion without filing a full application with the EFSB, as State law requires if an expansion is a significant modification or "alteration" to the existing facility.

By any measure, Sea3's current expansion proposal meets the standard of mandatory review as set forth by the Energy Facility Siting Board.

Thank you,

Rochelle Lee

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Thomas, Sarah <sarah_thomas_2@brown.edu>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 1:46 PM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB,

My name is Sarah Thomas, I am from Providence and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on EFSB to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an “alteration to a major energy facility” and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an “alteration” to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an “alteration” is “a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare.” Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of “an existing energy facility” be necessary “to meet the future demand for LPG in the region”?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island’s long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence’s Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Sarah Thomas
19 Fisher Street

Thank you,

Rodvien, Emma (PUC)

From: Susan Feeley <smf123@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 5:21 PM
To: Rodvien, Emma (PUC)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] : Sea 3 LPG Expansion needs full review

Dear EFSB,

My name is Susan Feeley and I'm from Providence, and I am submitting comments in favor of requiring a full review of the Sea 3 proposed Liquified Propane Gas expansion.

I am calling on EFSB to do the following:

1. The Energy Facility Siting Board must determine that the Sea 3 Providence expansion is an "alteration to a major energy facility" and require a full application and review by the Board
2. The EFSB must have the full review include cumulative health and safety impacts

This project, as an expansion of fossil fuel infrastructure in a heavily overburdened, BIPOC community, without robust public engagement no less, requires this.

First, state law requires a full application and approval from the EFSB if the expansion is an "alteration" to the existing facility. According to Rhode Island General Law § 42-98-3(b), an "alteration" is "a significant modification to a major energy facility, which, as determined by the board, will result in a significant impact on the environment, or the public health, safety, and welfare." Sea 3 denies it requires a full review but their application is self-contradictory. How can a facility that does not constitute an alteration of "an existing energy facility" be necessary "to meet the future demand for LPG in the region"?

Second, because the proposed expansion of this facility may result in a significant increase in diesel emissions within the Port area, which is already overburdened with polluting industrial activities and truck traffic, the potential growth in operations necessarily encourages and supports more use of fossil fuels. This is inconsistent with Rhode Island's long-term climate change goals and binding emissions reductions as well as Providence's Climate Justice Plan.

Third, because the proposal raises public safety concerns – it must be fully vetted by the EFSB before it is approved.

I urge the EFSB to require a full review of this fossil fuel expansion and to recognize the horrendous and disproportionate environmental impacts on the local communities by greenlighting this project.

Thank you,
Susan Feeley