

ISO NEW ENGLAND PLANNING PROCEDURE NO. 4

**PROCEDURE FOR POOL-SUPPORTED PTF COST
REVIEW**

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 17, 2010

Planning Procedure No. 4
Procedure For Pool-Supported PTF Cost Review

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0	General	3
1.1	Projects Requiring TCA Applications	4
	1.1.1 Categories of Projects requiring TCA Application	4
	1.1.2 Exemptions from TCA Application Requirements	4
	1.1.3 Projects not subject to this Procedure	
	1.1.4	5
1.2	Review of Adequacy of TCA Application Documents	5
1.3	Confidentiality	5
1.4	RC and ISO Roles in TCA Application Review Process	6
1.5	Evaluation	6-7
1.6	Submittal of TCA Application	8
	1.6.1 Review	8-9
	1.6.2 Considerations	9-10
	1.6.3 Additional Costs Due to Regulatory or Public Requirements	10
1.7	Time Guidelines	10
1.8	Actions on a TCA Application	10-11
1.9	Withdrawal of a TCA Application	11
1.10	Reviews and Update of Approved TCA Applications	12
1.11	Dispute Resolution	12
2.0	TCA Application Form	13
2.1	Summary Statement	13
2.2	TCA Application Identification	13
3.0	Attachment C – ISO Correspondence	13
	Attachment A – Supplemental Guidelines for Pool-Supported PTF Cost Review	15-16
	Attachment B – TCA Application Form	
	Attachment C – ISO Correspondence	19
	Attachment D – Project Cost Estimating Guidelines	
	Attachment E – Correlation Table Example	
	Attachment F – Common Additional Information Questions	22

Planning Procedure No. 4

Procedure for Pool-Supported PTF Cost Review¹

1.0 General

This procedure (“PP-4”) provides detailed guidance, pursuant to the ISO New England Transmission, Markets and Services Tariff (the “Tariff”), regarding the cost review of those necessary regulated transmission solution additions and modifications, reconstructions or replacements (referred to herein as “Projects”²) of Pool Transmission Facilities (“PTF”) that are eligible for regional cost support: including Regional Benefit Upgrades (“RBUs”); plans requiring submittal for review under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff; and reconstruction/replacement of the PTF.

Under Section II.50 and Schedules 11 and 12 of Section II of the Tariff, ISO New England Inc. (“ISO”) with advisory input from the Reliability Committee (“RC”) will determine whether there are Localized Costs to be excluded from Pool-Supported PTF costs.

This PP-4 provides guidance on: what Projects are subject to cost review, what information the applicant for cost review (the “Applicant”) must provide to the ISO, the process for RC and ISO review of an Applicant’s Project, the factors that will be considered in determining whether there are Localized Costs associated with a Project, and periodic reporting of costs associated with a Project.

This Planning Procedure also provides guidelines for preparing a Transmission Cost Allocation (“TCA”) application (“TCA Application”) for use by the ISO and the RC. The Applicant must support the TCA Application with the necessary information and analysis of the Project. This procedure provides guidance on what information and analysis should be available and supplied to support a TCA Application. The completed form provided in Attachment B and all supporting materials describing and assessing the impact of the proposed plans together shall constitute submittal of a TCA Application.

Approval of a TCA Application allows an Applicant to include the approved costs associated with the Project into Pool-Supported PTF costs, subject to determinations made pursuant to this PP-4 by the ISO.

This PP-4 shall be submitted to the review of the RC, at least annually, to evaluate the appropriateness of the minimum threshold set out in section 1.1.2 of this PP-4.

¹ Capitalized terms used in this Procedure are intended to have the same meaning given to such terms in Sections I.2.2, II.1, and/or III.1.3 of the Tariff.

² For the purposes of this Planning Procedure, a Project is a plan, program, or grouping of transmission upgrades as they are identified in the RSP as a single project.

1.1 Projects Requiring a TCA Application

1.1.1. Categories of Projects requiring TCA Application

This procedure pertains to the cost allocation treatment of upgrades/additions/modifications to the PTF on and after the Effective Date. These upgrades/additions/modifications include the following: RBUs; plans requiring submittal for review under Section I.3.9 of the Tariff; or reconstruction/replacement of the PTF. These upgrades/additions/modifications to the PTF are referred to in this PP-4 as “Projects”.

TCA Applications are required for the following types of Projects that are seeking regional cost support: (1) an RBU as described in the annual Regional System Plan; (2) one or more plans that otherwise require submittal for review under Tariff Section I.3.9 and that address the same system need; and (3) reconstruction/replacement of PTF that does not require approval under Tariff Section I.3.9 but that has a total estimated PTF portion of the Project cost greater than or equal to \$5 Million.

A TCA Application may be submitted to recover qualified, incurred PTF expenditures on a project that has been cancelled by the ISO but it must satisfy the conditions that are specified above. Costs associated with a project that qualifies for regional cost recovery under Schedule 12C of the Tariff will be reviewed for Localized Costs.

Although the Project may be projected over any time frame to demonstrate prudent planning, action on TCA Applications will only be taken on plans that have begun construction or are expected to begin construction no later than (5) years after the date of the TCA Application submittal.

Generally, an Applicant must file a single TCA Application for its Project, as identified in the Regional System Plan. The ISO may, in the exercise of reasonable discretion, allow multiple TCA Applications for individual components of a single Project. The Applicant must discuss their intent with the ISO prior to submitting multiple applications for different components of a single Project.

1.1.2. Exemptions from TCA Application Requirements

If a Project is not subject to Section 1.1.1 above, or if the total estimated PTF portion of the Project cost is less than \$5,000,000, then the Applicant does not need to file a TCA Application unless the actual costs exceed \$5,000,000.

1.1.3. Projects not subject to this Procedure

This Review Process does not pertain to:

1. Schedule 11 of Section II of the Tariff, Category C Generator Interconnection Related Upgrades (“GIRUs”), except to the extent such GIRUs may be eligible for regional cost support under the terms of Schedule 11
2. Elective Transmission Upgrades
3. Local Benefit Upgrades
4. Recovery of Localized Costs
5. Merchant Transmission Facilities or their interconnection

1.2 Review of Adequacy of TCA Application Documents

The complexity of proposed changes to the transmission system can range from minor changes to major alterations. The intent of the PP-4 process is to match information required as part of a TCA Application, to the review effort, and relative cost of the Project. Section 1.5 below provides guidance as to the level of information required in a TCA Application. The TCA Application, and any supporting documents, shall also reflect the cost information as illustrated in Appendix D – Project Cost Estimating Guidelines. The Applicant may request further guidance or preliminary review of Project-related information from the ISO and the RC prior to submitting a formal TCA Application.

1.3 Confidentiality

Should any documentation be submitted that is considered confidential, it is the responsibility of the Applicant to describe to the ISO, by name, the documents to be considered confidential. All information marked as confidential will be controlled in accordance with the ISO New England Information Policy.

1.4 Roles of PAC, RC and ISO in TCA Application Review Process

1.4.1 The Planning Advisory Committee (PAC) shall review proposed solutions and may offer advisory input to the ISO as to the most cost effective and reliable solutions for the region that meet a need identified in a Needs Assessment through the Regional System Planning Process. This information will be used by the Project proponent (i.e. Transmission Owner) in developing the TCA Application.

1.4.2 The RC, or its designee, will review the TCA Application and the RC will make a recommendation to the ISO as to whether there are Localized Costs associated with the Project that should not be supported as Pool-Supported PTF costs. Localized Costs will be identified based on the rules for PTF determination as defined in Attachment F of the Tariff and section 1.6.2 of this procedure.

1.4.3 The ISO will consider the RC's advisory recommendation in making its determination of whether there are Localized Costs associated with the Project that should not be included as Pool-Supported PTF costs.

1.4.4 The Applicant of Category 4 and 5 TCA Applications (as identified in Section 1.5, Table 1, of this procedure) must provide an update at least once each year to the ISO and RC with regard to construction progress and costs. In addition, the Applicant may be asked by the ISO to provide a Project update to the PAC. A PAC update will utilize the forms in Attachment D of this procedure. An Applicant will not be asked to update the PAC more than three times in a calendar year regarding the status of a Project, regardless if the Project has one or several TCA's associated with it.

1.5 Evaluation

Based on the total estimated PTF portion of the cost of the Project, five (5) categories of analysis are identified in Table 1 below for supporting a particular Project (ranging from no analysis for exempt Projects to full costs analyses of transmission alternatives). The ISO and/or the RC may also, however, request additional information. The analysis categories are summarized as follows:

Table 1

Category	Total Estimated PTF Portion of the Project Cost	Documentation Detail Required (in accordance with Section 1.6.1 of this PP-4) [Note: The ISO and/or RC may request additional information]	Draft TCA Application to ISO-NE for Preliminary Review	Timeline for Submission of TCA Application for Action by the RC	RC Action	ISO Action
1	Less than \$5,000,000	TCA Application is not required.	N/A	None	None	None
2	Greater than or equal to \$5,000,000 but less than \$20 Million	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TCA Application & Cover Letter (Ref. 1.6.1.a & 1.6.1.b) • Map and one-line diagrams (Ref. 1.6.1.d) • Correlation Table (Ref. 1.6.1.e) 	30-days prior to a RC meeting for which action is expected.	15-days prior to a RC meeting for which action is expected.	Recommendation to the ISO	Written Findings and Determination
3	Greater than or equal to \$20 Million but less than \$50 Million	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TCA Application & Cover Letter (Ref. 1.6.1.a & 1.6.1.b) • A description of the Project, including a <u>detailed</u> discussion of alternatives considered and reasons for choosing the particular design based on the factors outlined in Schedule 12C of Section II of the Tariff. (Ref. 1.6.1.c) Requirements from section 1.6.1.c.3.ii should focus on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An overall project cost estimate and categorized cost breakdown for construction labor, materials, engineering and permitting. • An overall cost estimate of each alternative and their cost comparison. • Map and one-line diagrams (Ref. 1.6.1.d) • Correlation Table (Ref. 1.6.1.e) 	60-days prior to a RC meeting for which action is expected.	30-days prior to a RC meeting for which action is expected.	Recommendation to the ISO	Written Findings and Determination
4	Greater than or equal to \$50 Million but less than \$200 Million	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TCA Application & Cover Letter (Ref. 1.6.1.a & 1.6.1.b) • A description of the Project, including a <u>detailed</u> discussion of alternatives considered and reasons for choosing the particular design based on the factors outlined in Schedule 12C of Section II of the Tariff. (Ref. 1.6.1.c) Requirements from section 1.6.1.c.3.ii should focus on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An overall project cost estimate and a detailed description of the categorized cost estimates for construction labor, materials, engineering and 	90-days prior to a RC meeting for which action is expected.	60-days prior to a RC meeting for which action is expected.	Recommendation to the ISO	Written Findings and Determination

Category	Total Estimated PTF Portion of the Project Cost	Documentation Detail Required (in accordance with Section 1.6.1 of this PP-4) [Note: The ISO and/or RC may request additional information]	Draft TCA Application to ISO-NE for Preliminary Review	Timeline for Submission of TCA Application for Action by the RC	RC Action	ISO Action
		permitting. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An overall project cost estimate of each alternative; the ISO may request a detailed description of the categorized cost estimates for construction labor, materials, engineering and permitting of each alternative. • Map and one-line diagrams (Ref. 1.6.1.d) • Correlation Table (Ref. 1.6.1.e) • Periodic reporting to the ISO, RC and, as requested, to the PAC as described in Section 1.4.4 and Appendix D³ of this procedure. 				
5	Greater than or equal to \$200 Million	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TCA Application & Cover Letter (Ref. 1.6.1.a & 1.6.1.b) • A description of the Project, including a <u>detailed</u> discussion of alternatives considered and reasons for choosing the particular design based on the factors outlined in Schedule 12C of Section II of the Tariff. (Ref. 1.6.1.c) Requirements from section 1.6.1.c.3.ii should focus on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An overall cost estimate and a detailed description of the categorized cost estimates for construction labor, materials, engineering and permitting. • An overall project cost estimate of each alternative; the ISO may request a detailed description of the categorized cost estimates for construction labor, materials, engineering and permitting of each alternative. • Map and one-line diagrams (Ref. 1.6.1.d) • Correlation Table (Ref. 1.6.1.e) 	90-days prior to a RC meeting for which action is expected.	60-days prior to a RC meeting for which action is expected.	Recommendation to the ISO	Draft Written Findings and Determination

³ Appendix D, Project Cost Estimating Guidelines Document, provides detail on how the cost reporting templates are to be used.

Category	Total Estimated PTF Portion of the Project Cost	Documentation Detail Required (in accordance with Section 1.6.1 of this PP-4) [Note: The ISO and/or RC may request additional information]	Draft TCA Application to ISO-NE for Preliminary Review	Timeline for Submission of TCA Application for Action by the RC	RC Action	ISO Action
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At ISO-NE’s request, a stakeholder meeting may be held for complex Projects • Periodic reporting to the ISO, RC and, as requested, to the PAC as described in Section 1.4.4 and Appendix D⁴ of this procedure. 				

⁴ Appendix D, Project Cost Estimating Guidelines Document, provides detail on how the cost reporting templates are to be used.

1.6 Submittal of TCA Application

TCA Applications will be submitted via e-mail to the ISO (as detailed in Attachment C) and shall be submitted per the described timelines in Section 1.5 above, and the guidelines within this section and Section 2.0 below, in order to provide the RC sufficient time to review the TCA Application before the requested action date. The timelines provided in this PP-4 are intended to provide guidance to the Applicant, the RC and the ISO but do not bind the Applicant, the RC or the ISO to take any action. The TCA Application may be submitted at any stage of the Project and is at the Applicant's discretion.

1.6.1 Review

An Applicant is encouraged to discuss their TCA Application and supporting documentation with the ISO to ensure completeness prior to submittal for review.

A completed TCA Application, and supporting documentation, shall be submitted electronically to the ISO (as detailed in Attachment C), who will collect, distribute, and provide a permanent record of the TCA Application.

Upon receipt of a TCA Application, the ISO will notify the Applicant if the submitted TCA Application is incomplete or additional information is required.

A typical TCA Application will include the following:

- (a) Cover Letter (including when action by the RC is requested by)
- (b) TCA Application (as detailed in Attachment B)
- (c) Additional details and supporting documentation pertaining to:
 - 1) A review and discussion of the need for the proposed Project.
 - Note: To the extent that the needs analysis was conducted during the annual planning process (*i.e.*, "Regional System Plan" ("RSP")), a summary of that analysis may be considered sufficient.
 - 2) A summary of the technical analysis performed for the Project and the identified transmission alternatives.
 - 3) A discussion of why the Project was selected over other transmission alternatives, with a description of the benefits of the proposed Project over other transmission alternatives from an operational, timing of implementation, cost and reliability perspective.

-
- (i) The proposed Project, and any feasible and practical transmission alternatives that were considered, including those offered in the most recent RSP report and, if applicable, discussed at the PAC.
- Notes: (1) A feasible and practical transmission alternative means a transmission alternative that is feasible and practical from an engineering design and construction perspective. An alternative that is not or may not be approved by a siting or local review board may still be considered a feasible and practical transmission alternative. (2) When Non-Transmission Alternatives (NTA) analysis is performed, it should be briefly discussed in the TCA Application for informational purposes, even though it is not a requirement of Schedule 12 of the Tariff.
- (ii) The most currently available cost estimates⁵ of building the Project and, if required, transmission alternatives that were considered, including overall costs and categorized as identified in Attachment D of this procedure.⁶;
- (iii) A comparison of the potential operational impacts on the bulk power system during construction of the Project with any feasible and practical transmission alternatives that were considered;
- (iv) A comparison of the potential operating costs of the Project and any feasible and practical transmission alternatives that were considered; and
- (v) Design considerations affecting maintenance, construction and/or future expansion of the Project.
- (d) Before and after one-line diagrams and a map locating the facilities⁷.

⁵ All dollar amounts shall be expressed in year of expenditure dollars and based on the project anticipated schedule. Escalation shall be included and be clearly identified with the assumption stated (escalation rate applied to the project). Alternatives and preferred Project shall be stated in the same valuation year.

⁶ For each categorization of costs, year incurred shall be identified.

⁷ If these documents were already submitted to the ISO as part of a Proposed Plan Application, they do not need to be re-submitted.

-
- (e) Correlation Table which identifies the RSP Project ID, including sub-components, Proposed Plan Applications and relevant TCA Application description/costs as shown in Attachment E to this Planning Procedure
 - (f) Any additional relevant information requested by the ISO or the RC.

The Applicant has an ongoing responsibility to update any TCA Application when additional information relevant to review of the TCA Application becomes available prior to RC review and issuance of the ISO's written findings and determination.

1.6.2 Considerations

In making its determination of whether Localized Costs exist, the ISO, with advisory input from the RC, will consider the reasonableness of the proposed design and construction method with respect to:

- (a) Good Utility Practice;
- (b) Current engineering design and construction practices in the area in which the Project is proposed to be built/is being built;
- (c) Allowance for appropriate expansion and load growth;
- (d) Alternate feasible and practical transmission alternatives; and
- (e) The relative costs, operation, efficiency, reliability and timing of implementation of the proposed Project.

Attachment A provides examples of relevant considerations for determination of Localized Costs.

1.6.3 Additional Costs Due to Regulatory or Public Requirements

The Applicant shall identify in their TCA Application any additional proposed Pool-Supported PTF costs introduced as a result of local or state regulatory and/or legislative requirements. The ISO will then determine, with the advice of the RC, whether these incremental costs resulting from the requirements of any local or state regulatory and/or legislative requirements will be identified as Localized Costs.

1.7 Time Guidelines

Applicants are urged to supply appropriate data, with adequate lead times for anticipated review as described in Sections 1.5 and 1.6 above. Failure to follow these timeframes may result in a delay of review of the TCA Application.

1.8 Actions on a TCA Application

On each TCA Application, the RC will provide a recommendation and suggested motion describing the conditions of the approval for the TCA Application. (Such motion should be distributed consistent with the Bylaws that apply to the RC). Any such recommendations will be distributed with the meeting material and agenda to the extent practicable.

If in reviewing the TCA Application, the RC decides additional information, review, or study is required prior to acting on the Application, the RC may elect to defer action and solicit supplementary information, review, or study as required.

Therefore, the RC may defer action, recommend approval of the TCA Application by the ISO, or recommend a determination of Localized Costs by the ISO. Recommendations by the RC on TCAs require a vote equal to or greater than two-thirds of the aggregate Sector Voting Shares (as defined in the Participants Agreement).

In accordance with the Participants Agreement, the Secretary of the RC will notify the Members and Alternates of the Participants Committee and the ISO of the actions taken by the RC. This written notice will be delivered prior to the end of the fifth (5th) business day following a meeting of the RC. This notification will constitute formal confirmation that such action was taken.

If the Applicant seeks input by the Participants Committee, it may request TCA Application review after the RC meeting but before the fifth (5th) business day following a meeting of the RC. The request should be submitted in writing to the Secretary of the RC with a copy sent to the ISO by the Applicant.

The ISO will consider the recommendations of the RC, and the Participants Committee as appropriate, in the process of making a determination on each TCA Application. The ISO may also seek additional information after RC or Participants Committee action and prior to making its decision. The ISO will transmit, in a timely manner, its written findings and determination to the Applicant (with copy to the RC) stating its decision, and the basis for its decision.

For a Category 5 TCA Application (as shown in Table 1) the ISO will issue a draft determination letter that will be posted on the ISO's website for a 30 day comment period. During the comment period the applicant or any interested Stakeholder may send written comments to the ISO regarding the ISO's determination decision. After the comment period has ended the comments will be reviewed and the ISO will issue a final determination on the Application.

If the Applicant disagrees with the ISO's written findings and determination, the dispute resolution procedures outlined in Section 1.11 below and Schedule 12C of Section II of the Tariff should be followed.

1.9 Withdrawal of a TCA Application

Should an Applicant wish to withdraw or terminate its TCA Application, (either before or after determination action has been taken by the ISO) it should send a letter to that effect to the ISO (as detailed in Attachment C). The ISO will distribute the notice of withdrawal to the RC.

In cases where a Proposed Plan Application was not required, but a TCA Application was submitted, the ISO issued a written findings and determination and the Project was cancelled, the TCA Applicant must provide written notification to ISO within 60-days of such cancellation, requesting withdrawal of the approved TCA Application. Conversely, in cases where a Proposed Plan Application was submitted, and a TCA Application was submitted, the ISO issued a written findings and determination and the Project was cancelled, the TCA Application will automatically be withdrawn upon notification of withdrawal of the Proposed Plan Application. However, the Applicant may submit a TCA Application for costs incurred prior to cancellation of the Project.

1.10 Reviews and Update of Approved TCA Applications

The RC and the ISO will review an updated TCA Application for the proposed Project, as provided for below.

The Applicant is responsible to inform the ISO of any significant additional Pool-Supported PTF costs or any material changes in the design associated with a proposed Project made subsequent to approval of the TCA Application. Such information shall be delivered to the ISO by submitting a revised TCA Application, including the reasons for resubmission in accordance with the template of Attachment D. Specifically, an Applicant, which has already received approval of its original TCA Application, must notify both the RC and the ISO if either: (i) costs have exceeded or are anticipated to exceed 10% of the amount determined by the ISO to be included in Pool-Supported PTF costs; (ii) costs have decreased or are anticipated to decrease by 10% of the amount determined by the ISO to be included in Pool-Supported PTF costs; or (iii) there is a material change in design of the Project. In the case that Pool-Supported PTF costs have decreased by 10% or more, a revised TCA application does not need to be filed but information must be provided to the ISO and RC, in a timely manner, using the templates in Attachment D

which identify and explain cost variance to the original TCA estimate. If an Applicant fails to notify the ISO of any of these developments, and it is discovered, such as during an audit, then the costs associated with such development will be excluded from the Pool-Supported PTF until the process described in this Procedure has been followed and the ISO accepts the costs following appropriate review by the RC and the ISO. In such instance, the costs shall be recovered only prospectively pursuant to the Tariff.

1.11 Dispute Resolution

Should the Applicant disagree with the ISO's written findings and determination as described in Section 1.8 above, a dispute may be filed.

Disputes should be submitted in writing first to the ISO (as detailed in Attachment C). They should describe in detail the basis for challenging the ISO's written findings and determination, and must be submitted within 60 days of receipt of the ISO's written findings and determination. The ISO will then enter into good faith negotiations for a period not to exceed 60 days from the date of the Applicant's written notice to try to resolve the dispute. If there is no resolution of the dispute at the end of the negotiation period, the Applicant may file a complaint. The ISO shall notify the RC of the outcome of the dispute resolution process.

2.0 TCA Application Forms

2.1. Summary Statement

The form in Attachment B must be submitted with each TCA Application as outlined in Section 1.6 above. Supporting documentation should supplement the form as appropriate.

2.2 TCA Application Identification

Application Number (Company – Calendar Year (2 digits) – TCA– Unique ID (Sequential TCA Application #'s) – Rev #

e.g. CMP-04-TCA-01
CMP--TCA-02
CMP-04-TCA-01-Rev 1

3.0 Attachment C – ISO Correspondence

The ISO may, per this Procedure, update Attachment C in regard to the mechanisms for exchange of correspondence, without approval. The ISO will notify the RC when such a change has been made.

Document History⁸

Rev. 0 App.: 4/17/98

Rev. 1 Rec.: RC – 5/19/04; Eff.: PC – 6/11/04

Rev. 2 Eff: 2/1/05

Rev. 3 Rec.: RC – 12/6/06; Eff.: PC – 1/5/07

Rev. 4 Rec.: RC – 7/21/09; PC – 8/7/09; ISO-NE 8/7/09

Rev. 5 Rec.: RC- 8/X/2010; PC - XX/XX/2010; ISO-NE XX/XX/2010

⁸ This Document History documents action taken on the equivalent NEPOOL/ISO New England Procedure prior to the RTO Operations Date as well as revisions to the ISO New England Procedure subsequent to the RTO Operations Date.

Attachment A
Supplemental Guidelines for Pool-Supported PTF Cost Review

In determining whether there are Localized Costs, the ISO will consider as appropriate and with the advisory input of the RC, the following non-exclusive list of factors:

- Costs of construction including all costs associated with rights of way, easements and associated real estate.
- Assessment of the schedule or in-service date of the Project from an engineering and construction standpoint rather than from the standpoint of potential delays in local or state siting.
- Relative reliability and operational impacts of the Project as compared to alternatives considered.
- Costs associated with operation and maintenance of the proposed design and alternatives, including consideration of whether the proposed design is consistent with Good Utility Practice.
- Costs of related and long-term congestion impacts, if any, of each proposed PTF and Non-PTF design alternative, including costs related to outages associated with construction.
- The proposed design's fit into reasonable future expansion plans including the "Regional System Plan" ("RSP")
- Consistency with current engineering, design and construction practices in the area.

The following, non-exclusive list of examples is provided for illustration of the types of Projects that would be considered to contain Localized Costs:

1. The Project costs more than a feasible or practical transmission alternative and has equal or less robust bulk power system performance than the transmission alternative.
2. The Project does not address a need identified in a Needs Assessment through the Regional System Planning Process need.
3. The Project includes underground transmission cable, which is selected (a) at the direction of a local or state siting board, or (b) to address other local concerns, and the cost of overhead transmission lines is less expensive, taking into account all relevant costs.
4. The Project is a gas-insulated or covered substation when an open-air substation would be feasible and practical for lower cost.

The following, non-exclusive list of examples is provided for illustration of the types of Projects that are not likely to contain Localized Costs.

1. The Project includes underground transmission cable but the total cost of the underground transmission cable Project is lower than a feasible and practical overhead transmission line, the operating and maintenance costs are

comparable, and the reliability benefits provided by the underground cable are equal to those provided by the overhead line.

2. The Project has higher total cost than feasible and practical transmission alternatives, but provides for more robust bulk power system performance consistent with the RSP planning horizon and predicted load growth, than such transmission alternatives.

Attachment B
TCA Application Form

See Separate Document

Attachment C
ISO Correspondence

TCA Applications & Application Revisions:

TCA Applications should be submitted via e-mail to:

TCApps@iso-ne.com

TCA Application Withdrawals:

TCA Application Withdrawals should be submitted in writing and via e-mail to:

TCApps@iso-ne.com

ISO New England

Vice President, System Planning

One Sullivan Road,

Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Disputes:

Disputes should be submitted in writing and via e-mail to:

TCApps@iso-ne.com

ISO New England

Vice President, System Planning

One Sullivan Road,

Holyoke, MA 01040-2841

Attachment D
Project Cost Estimating Guidelines
See Separate Document

Attachment E
Correlation Table

See Separate Document

Attachment F
Common Additional Information Questions

The following are some of the common questions that are asked as part of the TCA Application review. If these questions are answered as part of the TCA Application Submittal it will aid in rendering a determination.

- Explaining if there were any cost “adders” (elements of the Project not directly related to electrical facilities such as park land, trees, bike paths, etc) to the proposed Project that were not directly related to the electrical facilities.
- Providing a complete explanation for the choice of transmission line construction design (i.e. mono-pole vs. H-frame or underground vs. overhead.)
- Providing a cost breakdown of the per-mile cost of the transmission line (overhead or underground)
- Describe any local or state siting issues or requirements.
- Describe any construction and/or design challenges that were encountered or are anticipated to be encountered for the proposed project.
- Describing any differences between the proposed Project as described in the TCA Application and what is described in the most recent RSP.