

June 28, 2011

**VIA HAND DELIVERY & ELECTRONIC MAIL**

Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk  
Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission  
89 Jefferson Boulevard  
Warwick, RI 02888

**RE: Docket 4227 - 2012 Standard Offer Service Procurement Plan  
2012 Renewable Energy Standard Procurement Plan  
Responses to Record Requests**

Dear Ms. Massaro:

Enclosed please find ten (10) copies of National Grid's<sup>1</sup> responses to Record Requests that were issued at the Commission's Evidentiary Hearing held on June 15, 2011 in the above-captioned proceedings.

Please be advised that the Company is seeking protective treatment of its response to Record Request 2, as permitted by Commission Rule 1.2(g) and by R.I.G.L. § 38-2-2(4)(i)(B).

Consequently and pursuant to Commission rules, the Company has provided the Commission with one copy of the confidential response for its review, and has otherwise included redacted copies of this response.

Thank you for your attention to this transmittal. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (401) 784-7667.

Very truly yours,



Thomas R. Teehan

Enclosure

cc: Leo Wold, Esq.  
Steve Scialabba, Division

---

<sup>1</sup> The Narragansett Electric Company d/b/a National Grid ("National Grid" or "Company")

Record Request 1

Request:

Please reconcile the Standard Offer Service (“SOS”) ending balances for the Large and Small Customer Groups shown on pages 2 and 3 of Attachment 1 to Data Request 7 in Docket No. 4227 to the ending balances of the Large and Small Customer Groups’ SOS reconciliation for the twelve month period ending December 31, 2010 shown on Schedule JAL-2, pages 5 and 9, respectively, in Docket No. 4226.

Response:

The attachment to this record request shows the adjustments necessary to reconcile the SOS ending balances for the Large and Small Customer Groups shown on pages 2 and 3 of Attachment 1 to Data Request 7 in Docket No. 4227 to the ending balances of the Large and Small Customer Groups’ SOS reconciliation for the twelve month period ending December 31, 2010 shown on Schedule JAL-2, pages 5 and 9, respectively, in Docket No. 4226. The SOS reconciliations submitted in Docket No. 4226 included miscellaneous adjustments and interest calculated on the final balance. These amounts were not included in the response to Division 1-7 which was intended to demonstrate only the over or under collection of actual monthly supply costs. In addition, the SOS reconciliations in Docket No. 4226 covered the twelve month period ending December 31, 2010. The response to Division 1-7 also included revenue and expense for January and February 2011.

Prepared by or under the supervision of: Jeanne Lloyd

The Narragansett Electric Company

Reconciliation of Standard Offer Service - Large Customer Group Ending Balance Reported on Docket No. 4226 (Annual Retail Rate Filing) Schedule JAL-2, Page 5  
To Docket No. 4227 (Standard Offer Service Procurement Filing) Division Data Request 1-7, Attachment 1, Page 2

Line No.

1. Standard Offer Service - Large Customer Group Over(Under) Ending Balance Reported in Docket No. 4226	(\$1,173,549)
2. less: Interest for the period January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010	(\$19,200)
3. less: Misc. Adjustments	(\$283,180)
4. plus: January revenue for consumption on and after January 1, 2011	\$5,305,087
5. plus: February revenue	\$9,461,484
6. less: January SOS expense	\$9,301,851
7. less: February SOS expense	<u>\$8,163,092</u>
8. Ending Balance - Attachment 1 to Division 1-7, page 3 of 3 , R.I.P.U.C. Docket No. 4227	(\$3,569,542)

1. R.I.P.U.C. Docket No. 4226, Schedule JAL-2, page 5 of 13, Ending Balance with Interest
2. R.I.P.U.C. Docket No. 4226, Schedule JAL-2, page 5 of 13, Interest
3. R.I.P.U.C. Docket No. 4226, Schedule JAL-2, page 5 of 13, Adjustments
4. Revenue for the billing month of January 2011 of \$9,512,009 shown on Attachment 1 to Data Request 7, page 2 of 3 less revenue associated with consumption prior to January 1, 2011 of \$4,206,922 as shown on Schedule JAL-2, page 5 of 13 in the month of January 2011
5. Attachment 1 to Data Request 7, page 2 of 3: SOS Revenue for February 2011
6. Attachment 1 to Data Request 7, page 2 of 3: SOS Expense for January 2011
7. Attachment 1 to Data Request 7, page 2 of 3: SOS Expense for February 2011

The Narragansett Electric Company

Reconciliation of Standard Offer Service - Small Customer Group Ending Balance Reported on Docket No. 4226 (Annual Retail Rate Filing) Schedule JAL-2, Page 9 To  
Docket No. 4227 (Standard Offer Service Procurement Filing) Division Data Request 1-7, Attachment 1, Page 3

Line No.

1. Standard Offer Service - Small Customer Group Over(Under) Ending Balance Reported in Docket No. 4226	\$1,436,690
2. less: Interest for the period January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010	\$23,505
3. less: Misc. Adjustments	(\$1,267,799)
4. plus: January revenue for consumption on and after January 1, 2011	\$17,270,039
5. plus: February revenue	\$28,262,520
6. less: January SOS expense	\$33,023,743
7. less: February SOS expense	<u>\$29,086,972</u>
8. Ending Balance - Attachment 1 to Division 1-7, page 3 of 3 , R.I.P.U.C. Docket No. 4227	(\$13,897,172)

1. R.I.P.U.C. Docket No. 4226, Schedule JAL-2, page 9 of 13, Ending Balance with Interest
2. R.I.P.U.C. Docket No. 4226, Schedule JAL-2, page 9 of 13, Interest
3. R.I.P.U.C. Docket No. 4226, Schedule JAL-2, page 9 of 13, Adjustments
4. Revenue for the billing month of January 2011 of \$31,192,545 shown on Attachment 1 to Data Request 7, page 3 of 3 less revenue associated with consumption prior to January 1, 2011 of \$13,922,506 as shown on Schedule JAL-2, page 9 of 13 in the month of January 2011.
5. Attachment 1 to Data Request 7, page 3 of 3: SOS Revenue for February 2011
6. Attachment 1 to Data Request 7, page 3 of 3: SOS Expense for January 2011
7. Attachment 1 to Data Request 7, page 3 of 3: SOS Expense for February 2011

**REDACTED**

The Narragansett Electric Company  
d/b/a National Grid  
Docket No. 4227  
2012 Standard Offer Service Procurement Plan and  
2012 Renewable Energy Service Procurement Plan  
Response to Record Request 2

---

Record Request 2

Request:

Please provide the name of the supplier that indicated that it had decided not to participate in an RFP because of the “anti-collusion” language in the RFP document.

Response:

The supplier in question was [REDACTED] The Company requests confidential treatment of this response pursuant to Commission Rule 1.2(g).

Prepared by or under the supervision of: Margaret Janzen