

KEOUGH & SWEENEY, LTD.

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW
41 MENDON AVENUE
PAWTUCKET, RHODE ISLAND 02861
TELEPHONE (401) 724-3600
FACSIMILE (401) 724-9909
www.keoughsweeney.com

JOSEPH A. KEOUGH JR.*
JEROME V. SWEENEY III*

SEAN P. KEOUGH*
STACIL L. KOLB

JEROME V. SWEENEY II
OF COUNSEL

*ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN
RHODE ISLAND & MASSACHUSETTS

RAYNHAM OFFICE:
90 NEW STATE HIGHWAY
RAYNHAM, MA 02109
TEL. (508) 822-2813
FAX (508) 822-2832

BOSTON OFFICE:
171 MILK STREET
SUITE 30
BOSTON, MA 02109
TEL. (617) 574-0054
FAX (617) 451-1914

September 7, 2012

Ms. Luly Massaro, Clerk
Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission
89 Jefferson Boulevard
Warwick, RI 02888

In Re: Docket 4237

Dear Ms. Massaro:

Enclosed please find an original and ten (10) copies of the following:

1. Direct Testimony of W. Alan Homyk, PE, CHP on behalf of Capital Advocacy, LLC d/b/a Contact Voltage Information Center.

Please note that an electronic copy of this filing has been provided to the service list.

Sincerely,



Joseph A. Keough Jr.

JAK/kf
Enclosures
cc: Service List (via electronic mail)

RHODE ISLAND PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DOCKET 4237

PREFILED TESTIMONY

OF

**W. ALAN HOMYK, PE, CHP
HOMYK CONSULTING, LLC**

ON BEHALF OF

**CAPITAL ADVOCACY, LLC
D/B/A CONTACT VOLTAGE INFORMATION CENTER**

SEPTEMBER 7, 2012

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

3 A. W. Alan Homyk, PE, CHP, 13994 Bridgewater Crossings Blvd. Windermere, FL 34786

4

5 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity.**

6 A. I am the President of Homyk Consulting, LLC, a consulting firm specializing in the areas of
7 electric utility and radiological safety.

8

9 **Q. Please describe your educational background and any licenses or certifications you hold.**

10 A. I obtained a BS in Nuclear Engineering from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and a MBA from
11 Eastern Michigan University. I am a Licensed Professional Engineer in the State of New York
12 (#59487-1) and a Certified Health Physicist – Comprehensive & Power Reactor Specialty. This is
13 a nationally recognized certification awarded by the American Board of Health Physics for
14 radiation safety.

15

16 **Q. Please describe your work history in the utility industry.**

17 A. During my career, I served as a senior level utility executive with key strengths in the areas of
18 electrical quality assurance, environmental health and safety, engineering, process innovation,
19 and regulatory relations. From 1981 to 2011, I worked at the Consolidated Edison Company of
20 New York (“Con Edison”). Con Edison provides electric service to approximately 3.3 million
21 customers and gas service to approximately 1.1 million customers in New York City and
22 Westchester County, New York.

23

24 My work at Con Edison included the formation of new company organizations for managing
25 electric operations quality assurance, training, central streetlight operations, overhead
26 planning, and Environmental Health and Safety (“EHS”) compliance. I managed the
27 environmental due diligence evaluations for a number of Con Edison’s acquisitions and

1 divestitures, and served as the overall Corporate EHS Compliance Director. Some of my
2 engineering innovations include the development of patents for radiation shielding, equipment
3 for safely tapping streetlights for temporary power, and a device to obtain two-phase 240 volt
4 service from a single-phase 120 volt supply in the field. In addition, I developed and
5 implemented a program for the use of isolation transformers that eliminates the risk of contact
6 voltage from metallic streetlights.

7

8 **Q. The Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission initiated this Docket to establish a contact**
9 **voltage detection and repair program for electric distribution companies as defined in the**
10 **authorizing legislation. Can you please describe your experience in the area of contact**
11 **voltage?**

12 A. Yes. From August 2004 to December 2011, I served as the Director, Quality Assurance and
13 Operations Services, in the Engineering and Planning Department at Con Edison. In this
14 position, I lead the Quality Assurance and Training Programs for the 4,400 person Electric
15 Distribution Department. This organization was formed in response to the Jodie Lane tragedy
16 in New York City. On January 16, 2004, Jodie Lane, a thirty year-old doctoral candidate at
17 Columbia University, was electrocuted while walking her dogs on East 11th Street in Manhattan
18 after stepping onto an electrified area where exposed electrical wires reacted with snow and
19 other chemicals used to melt snow and ice. The root cause of her death was poor workmanship
20 by a Con Edison work crew that failed to properly insulate electrical connections in an electrical
21 service box, causing contact voltage.

22

23 I also directed all aspects of Con Edison's streetlight, traffic signal, and overhead
24 telecommunications infrastructure programs for the five boroughs of New York City and
25 Westchester County. Streetlights and traffic signals typically represented about 50% of all
26 contact voltage incidents.

1

2 From October 2002 to August 2004, I served as the General Manager, Environment, Health &
3 Safety and Operations Services, Bronx/Westchester Electric Operations for Con Edison. During
4 my tenure in this position, I was responsible for the initial development of Con Edison's Contact
5 Voltage and Streetlight Repair Projects.

6

7 I also authored two presentations on contact voltage (otherwise referred to as "stray voltage").

8

- 9 • "Technological Solutions to Stray Voltage Mitigation", W. Alan Homyk and Don Lucia,
10 EPRI National Conference for Stray Voltage Detection, Mitigation, and Prevention, June
11 6, 2007.
- 12
- 13 • "What is Being Done to Stop Stray Voltage on Streetlights", W. Alan Homyk and John
14 Mazzani, EPRI Event: 2006 Jodie Lane National Conference for Stray Voltage Detection,
15 Mitigation, and Prevention, June 7-8, 2006.
- 16

17 **Q. Have you previously testified before any regulatory agencies?**

18 A. Yes, I prepared written testimony for Con Edison in rate cases before the New York State
19 Public Service Commission.

20

21 **Q Please describe your role in this proceeding?**

22 A. I reviewed National Grid's Proposed Electric Contact Voltage Program ("Program") on behalf
23 of Capital Advocacy, LLC d/b/a Contact Voltage Information Center, and I am providing
24 recommendations for improvements to the Program.

25

1 **Q. Can you please provide a summary of the findings from your review?**

2 A. Yes. While National Grid’s Program offers a good start, there are several improvements that
3 can, and should, be made. Specifically, improvements should be made in the following areas of
4 the Program:

- 5 1. Wherever practical, mobile automated scanning should be performed at a threshold
6 testing voltage level of 1 volt.
- 7 2. The contract voltage areas should be expanded.
- 8 3. Testing findings should be made public in an easily accessible database.
- 9 4. Net mobile testing cost savings should be recognized.
- 10 5. Manual testing equipment should detect voltage at the levels set by the Commission.
- 11 6. Wooden poles with metal objects should be included.
- 12 7. The scan schedule should be more rigorous.

13
14

15 **II. CONTACT VOLTAGE - BACKGROUND**

16 **Q. What is contact voltage?**

17 A. Contact voltage is defined by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (“IEEE”),
18 Draft Standard IEEE-P-1695, as:

19

20 “voltage resulting from abnormal power system conditions that may be present
21 between two conductive surfaces that can be simultaneously contacted by members of
22 the general public and/or their animals. Contact voltage is caused by power system fault
23 current as it flows through the impedance of available fault current pathways. Contact
24 voltage is not related to normal system operation and can exist at levels that may be
25 hazardous. Note: “Conductive surfaces” as used in this definition are intended to include
26 the earth and/or extensions of the earth such as concrete sidewalks and metal floor
27 drains.”

28

29 Contact voltage is dangerous as it can be a source of shocks and electrocutions.

30

31 **Q. Where does contact voltage occur, and what are its causes?**

32 A. Contact voltage can occur any place where the electric system breaks down from
33 mechanical, thermal, chemical or electrical stress. Experience has shown that any conductive

1 structure in the vicinity of an electrical fault may become energized and pose a hazard to the
2 public.

3
4 According to Draft Standard IEEE-P-1695, the root cause of contact failure can be attributed to
5 a number of factors:

6
7 “a) Infrastructure Decay – As an installation ages, electrical insulation on cables and
8 connectors tends to become brittle and may begin to crack, exposing energized
9 components. Corrosion also reduces the strength of conduits, supports, cable jackets,
10 and mechanical connections, all of which can initiate damage to insulation or neutral
11 return paths. This effect is accelerated by the presence of moisture and other
12 contaminants (road salt, for example) and by exposure to freeze/thaw cycles, especially
13 around the edges of cable supports or duct edges.

14
15 b) Inadequate Design – Damaged wires can occur due to insufficient protection,
16 mechanical support, insulation, or weatherproofing. There may also be inadequate
17 grounding and bonding. Components installed in below-grade enclosures that can fill
18 with water or ice may also not be rated accordingly, leading to damaged wires and
19 connections.

20
21 c) Improper Installation – Poor workmanship can directly result in a hazard to the public.
22 Specific examples include substandard installation of hand taped insulation, reversed
23 polarity, and insufficient mechanical protection of wires around stress points. Any
24 component must be installed in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Such
25 components are tested and qualified based on the assumption that they are installed
26 properly; meaning that if they are not the product is not guaranteed to perform as
27 designed.

28
29 d) Accidental Damage – Third parties may dig-in to a cable without knowing it, or
30 compromise the integrity of a structure when working nearby. For example, concrete
31 work on a sidewalk can leak into adjacent below grade hand wells, leading to damaged
32 cables. Outdoor construction without a “dig safe” survey can lead to direct contact with
33 electrical infrastructure by fence posts, road signs, guy wire supports, etc. Vibration and
34 mechanical stress from traffic, ice heaving, and tree root penetration also cause
35 inadvertent damage to underground infrastructure over time.

36

1 e) Rodent Damage – Rodents such as squirrels, mice and raccoons have been known to
2 chew through wire or connector insulation.

3
4 f) Vandalism/Copper Theft/Theft of Power – Vandals can damage or remove access
5 covers, resulting in exposed wires and the introduction of debris into electrical
6 structures or streetlight bases. Thieves create hazards by removing neutral and ground
7 conductors. Unauthorized connections to supply circuits may be made using
8 unapproved components or work practices.

9
10 g) Workmanship – Insulation can be damaged during normal maintenance; pinched
11 wires, loosened connections, reversed polarity have all been documented. Workers may
12 pierce or remove insulation to test for voltage on an underground supply cable. If not
13 properly re-insulated, this can leave a path for current leakage to cable supports, duct
14 work, and other normally non-energized surfaces.

15
16 h) Temporary Connections – Temporary power connected for emergency restoration or
17 seasonal lighting can, if not adequately safeguarded from the elements and from
18 unauthorized access, create electrical hazards.

19
20 Compromised conductor insulation results in a high-impedance fault which can go
21 unnoticed by a member of the public or identified through some kind of testing
22 process. “
23

24 **Q. Why is it important for a utility such as National Grid to have a contact voltage detection**
25 **program?**

26 A. It is important to have a program in place to detect and repair degraded insulation and
27 conductors to prevent harm to workers, the public and animals. In metropolitan areas, contact
28 voltage issues have become a major concern. Many of these areas have large numbers of aging
29 underground and above ground electrical distribution equipment in crowded public spaces.
30 Even a low rate of insulation failure or current leakage can result in hazardous exposure to the
31 general public. Con Edison had numerous incidents of contact voltage in New York City,
32 including the electrocution death of Jodie Lane in 2004. Contact voltage has caused harm,
33 including death, to children and animals in other areas of the United States and Canada as well.

1 **III. NATIONAL GRID CONTACT VOLTAGE PROGRAM – RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS**

2 **1. Threshold Testing Voltage Level**

3 **Q. What is your recommendation for improvements to National Grid’s Contact Voltage**
4 **Program regarding threshold testing voltage levels?**

5 A. Wherever practical, mobile automated scanning should be performed at a level of 1 volt
6 confirmed with a multimeter equipped with a 500 ohm shunt resistor. Although National Grid is
7 correct when they mention thresholds for perception by people and animals, they do not
8 address the fact that a confirmed 1 volt measurement is indicative of degraded equipment that
9 will only get worse with time.

10

11 A 1 volt measurement can rapidly degrade to the point where an individual could be exposed to
12 full line voltage due to changes in environmental conditions such as moisture, temperature, or
13 road salt. This becomes especially significant when coupled with a scan frequency where the
14 next scheduled assessment could be more than four years in the future. Con Edison has used a
15 1 volt threshold since pioneering the use of mobile scanning and has found through experience
16 that it provides an additional safety margin for finding and fixing degraded conditions quicker,
17 before any harm occurs. Mobile scanning is also nine times more efficient than pen scanning in
18 finding energized objects based on Con Edison’s experience in New York City. In addition,
19 mobile scanning will find any energized object in the scanned area, not just the ones listed in a
20 utility asset database.

21

22 **2. Contact Voltage Areas**

23 **Q. What is your recommendation regarding the contact voltage areas in National Grid’s**
24 **Program?**

25 A. The proposed contact voltage areas are generally comprehensive with one exception.
26 Premises served by underground residential distribution systems should be included. Many of

1 these systems are constructed using direct buried aluminum wiring that is particularly
2 susceptible to contact voltage when the neutral and/or phase conductors fail due to corrosion
3 and insulation degradation. Adding these areas should not significantly expand the program
4 cost or scope, and is a sound practice based on my experience at Con Edison.

5

6 **3. Testing Findings**

7 **Q. What do you recommend National Grid do with its testing findings?**

8 A. National Grid has agreed to submit an annual report to the Rhode Island Public Utilities
9 Commission. In addition, the test program data should be published and shared in an easily
10 accessible and searchable database. This would provide for greater transparency and enable
11 interested parties to gain additional program insights. An example of this is the easily accessible
12 website created by the Jodie S. Lane Public Safety Foundation that includes maps showing
13 thousands of reported contact voltage locations in New York City (<http://strayvoltage NYC.org/>).

14

15 **4. Mobile Testing Cost Savings**

16 **Q. Do you have any recommendations regarding mobile testing cost savings?**

17 A. National Grid already conducts a manual test program for contact voltage that is recovered
18 in rates. For areas covered by mobile automated scanning equipment, there will be no need to
19 continue manual testing. The savings from the avoided manual testing should be netted out
20 against the cost of the automated testing. Con Edison's practice in New York is to only include
21 actual incremental costs associated with work performed.

22

23

24

25

26

1 **5. Manual Testing Equipment**

2 **Q. What recommendations do you have regarding Manual Testing Equipment?**

3 A. As previously mentioned, automated scanning to a level of 1 volt should be performed and
4 confirmed with a voltmeter. Pen detectors capable of measuring from 5 volts to 600 volts
5 should only be used in areas not capable of being scanned with an automated mobile detector.
6 Any indication with a pen detector should be confirmed with a multimeter and 500 ohm shunt
7 resistor. This confirmation will also pick up voltages lower than 5 volts and is the standard
8 practice followed by Con Edison and many other utilities.

9

10 **6. Wooden Poles With Metal Objects**

11 **Q. Do you have any recommendations for testing wooden poles with metal objects?**

12 A. Metal objects embedded or connected to wood poles may manifest contact voltage. This is
13 typically caused by degraded primary insulators. These metal objects should be included in the
14 test program. This is the practice followed by Con Edison.

15

16 **7. Scan Schedule**

17 **Q. What recommendations do you have regarding National Grid's scan schedule?**

18 A. At a minimum, all areas should be scanned at least once per year preferably by mobile
19 automated scanning equipment. It is my understanding that National Grid is in the process of
20 soliciting bids for this type of equipment. In areas where use of this equipment is not practical
21 due to the presence of overhead conductors or accessibility, annual manual measurements
22 should be performed with pen detectors supplemented by multimeters. If National Grid's
23 testing discovers contact voltage in a particular area, it should adjust its automated scan
24 schedule based on the number of energized objects detected during each successive scan. Con
25 Edison determined their scanning schedule by performing them more frequently in areas where
26 it detected contact voltage until the number of energized objects trended down.

1 **IV. CONCLUSION**

2 **Does this conclude your testimony?**

3 Yes.

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that on September 7, 2012, I sent a copy of the within to all parties set forth on the attached Service List by electronic mail and copies to Luly Massaro, Commission Clerk, by electronic mail and regular mail.

Name	E-mail Distribution List	Phone	
Thomas Teehan, Esq. Celia B. O'Brien, Esq. National Grid 280 Melrose Street Providence, RI 02907-1438	Thomas.teehan@us.ngrid.com	401-784-7667	
	Celia.obrien@us.ngrid.com		
	Joanne.scanlon@us.ngrid.com		
Michael R. Kirkwood, General Mgr./CEO Pascoag Utility District 253 Pascoag Main St. PO Box 107 Pascoag, RI 02859	mirkwood@pud-ri.org	401-568-6222	
	Jallaire@pud-ri.org		
William L. Bernstein, Esq. 627 Putnam Pike Greenville, RI 02828	wblaw@verizon.net	401-949-2228	
Michael McElroy, Esq. 21 Dryden Lane PO Box 6721 Providence, RI 02940-6721	Michael@McElroyLawOffice.com	401-351-4100	
Dr. Albert Cassaza	albertc@optimum.net		
Cliff McGinnes	ifrtruck35@mac.com		
Leo Wold, Esq. Dept. of Attorney General 150 South Main St. Providence, RI 02903	lwold@riag.state.ri.us	401-222-2424 ext. 2299	
	Sscialabba@ripuc.state.ri.us		
	Dstearns@ripuc.state.ri.us		
	Acontente@ripuc.state.ri.us		
	Tkogut@ripuc.state.ri.us		
	dmacrae@riag.ri.gov		
Original & 10 copies file w/: Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Boulevard Warwick, RI 02888	Lmassaro@puc.state.ri.us	401-780-2107	
	Cwilson@puc.state.ri.us		
	Adalessandro@puc.state.ri.us		
	dshah@puc.state.ri.us		
	anault@puc.state.ri.us		
	nucci@puc.state.ri.us		

Christopher Kearns, Governor's Policy Office	Christopher.Kearns@governor.ri.gov	
---	--	--



Joseph A. Keough, Jr., Esquire # 4925
KEOUGH & SWEENEY, LTD.
41 Mendon Avenue
Pawtucket, RI 02861
(401) 724-3600 (phone)
(401) 724-9909 (fax)
jkeoughjr@keoughsweeney.com