KEOUGH + SWEENEY, LTD. ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW 41 MENDON AVENUE PAWTUCKET, RHODE ISLAND 02861 TELEPHONE (401) 724-3600 FACSIMILE (401) 724-9909 www.keoughsweeney.com

#### JOSEPH A. KEOUGH JR.\* JEROME V. SWEENEY III\*

SEAN P. KEOUGH\*

JEROME V. SWEENEY II OF COUNSEL

\*ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN RHODE ISLAND & MASSACHUSETTS BOSTON OFFICE: 171 MILK STREET SUITE 30 BOSTON, MA 02109 TEL. (617) 574-0054 FAX (617) 451-1914

November 20, 2018

Ms. Luly Massaro, Clerk Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Boulevard Warwick, RI 02888

#### Re: Docket No. 4885- The Narragansett Bay Commission Debt Service Compliance Filing

Dear Ms. Massaro:

Enclosed please find an original and nine copies of the following document:

1. The Narragansett Bay Commission's response to the Rhode Island Public Utility Commission's Data Requests (Set Two).

Please note that an electronic copy of this document has been provided to the service list.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Gruph all ph Jr

Joseph A. Keough, Jr.

JAK/kf

cc: Docket 4885 Service List (via electronic mail)

RAYNHAM OFFICE: 90 NEW STATE HIGHWAY RAYNHAM, MA 02109 TEL. (508) 822-2813 FAX (508) 822-2832

**Comm. 2-1**: Given that the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) recently pre-qualified the Narragansett Bay Commission (NBC) for critical funding, how does this impact the borrowing in the current Docket?

**Response:** NBC is excited to have been invited to apply for a WIFIA loan from the EPA since it could benefit NBC ratepayers significantly if the loan is awarded to NBC. The WIFIA loan would tandem nicely with NBC's borrowing submitted in this Docket, but it does not eliminate the need for NBC to continue undertaking the RIIB loan proposed in this Docket for a number of reasons. First, NBC will not be submitting the loan application until early 2019, and while it hopes to close on the loan by the summer of 2019, there is no guarantee on the timing or whether NBC will even receive the WIFIA funding. For the reasons set forth in this Docket, NBC needs the proceeds from the proposed RIIB loan for the CSO Phase III facilities and other capital projects identified in our filing, and we cannot wait for the WIFIA loan, which is not a certainty. Second, the WIFIA program can only fund up to a maximum of 49% of the CSO Phase III costs, so NBC must finance the remaining portion and proceeds from the proposed RIIB loan can be used towards NBC's share. Third, current conditions are favorable for the issuance of long-term debt since interest rates are low and the yield curve is relatively flat. Fourth, should NBC be successful in securing the WIFIA loan, it is likely that the repayment may be structured around NBC's existing debt, including this new issue, so that future ratepayer impact is minimized. Fifth, NBC needs to take advantage of the Rhode Island Infrastructure Bank's (RIIB) current loan capacity, as prior RIIB capacity constraints required NBC to issue open market revenue bonds.

**Comm. 2-2**: Please provide responses to the following:

- a. When will the NBC apply for the loan?
- b. What are the terms of the loan, including amount borrowed, interest rate, and payment schedule?
- c. Does the NBC plan to seek the approval of the Division of Public Utilities and/or the Public Utilities Commission (PUC) prior to the issuance of the loan?
- d. According to the testimony of Kathryn Kelly, the pre-design estimate of CSO Phase III is \$779.1. The EPA loan request is for \$251 million dollars. Please provide a breakdown of which costs are included in the EPA loan request and which costs are not.
- e. Please explain whether any of the EPA loan request covers expenses that have already been incurred by the NBC or have been approved for funding in rates by the PUC.

# Response:

- a. NBC plans to apply for the loan as soon as possible and anticipates submitting the application early next year.
- b. The interest rate is based on the State and Local Government securities rate using a maturity that is closest to the weighted average loan life plus one basis point. Other loan terms must be negotiated with EPA but some of the attractive elements of the WIFIA program are customized repayment schedules and a long repayment period with a length of up to 35 years after substantial completion.
- c. Yes.
- d. The total estimated cost for CSO Phase III Facilities is \$779.1 million. The CSO Phase III Facilities have been further broken down into four sub-phases A, B, C and D to be completed by 2041. The WIFIA program requires project readiness and therefore NBC requested funding for CSO Phase III Phase A and B design and Phase A construction. The current cost estimate for these components in 2018 dollars is \$487 million. Maximum project funding through the WIFIA program is 49%, so NBC applied for a loan for 49% of \$487 million or \$239 million. NBC recently learned that the loan amount was increased to \$251 million by EPA based on their prior experience with other projects funded through this program. NBC must finance the other 51% of the project cost. The final loan amount

will reflect updated cost estimates based on additional design work and will be determined prior to closing.

e. No, the request does not cover expenses already incurred by NBC or approved for funding by the PUC.

Prepared by: Karen Giebink and Kathryn Kelly

Comm. 2-3: Are there any restrictions on the use of the EPA loan?

Response: Yes

**Comm. 2-4**: Please provide a copy of all documents submitted to the EPA relating to the loan request.

**Response**: See attached Letter of Interest. Attachments B-3 and B-4 were previously provided in response to the Commission's First Set of Data Requests in response to question one. The other attachments to the LOI are provided on the thumb drive and include:

- A-1 Richard Bernier-Resume
- A-2 David Bowen Resume
- A-3 Kathryn Kelly Resume
- A-4 Stantec Searles Bio
- A-5 Stantec Feeney Bio
- A-6 Stantec Bios MMC
- A-7 Organizational Chart
- A-8 Combined Sewer Overflow Phase I and II
- B-1 Project Map
- B-2 CSO Phase III Project Schedule
- B-3 CSO Control Facilities Phase III Reevaluation Report Volume 1 (previously provided)
- B-4 CSO Control Facilities Phase III Reevaluation Report Appendices Volume 2 (previously provided)
- B-5 NBC Master Plan owned facilities\_2018
- B-6 EnvAssessment\_Oct2017
- C-1 Financial Sustainability Plan Policy 2018
- D-1 Eligible Costs by Major Activity
- D-2 RatingsDirect\_Analysis\_1669540\_Jul-06-2016\_13\_08
- D-3 NBC WIFIA Financial Proforma
- D-4 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report FY 2015
- D-4 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report FY 2016
- D-4 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report FY 2017
- G -1 Certification Signature Page NBC
- H-1 State Notification Signature Page NBC

Prepared by: Karen Giebink and Kathryn Kelly

Comm. 2-5: When will a determination be made by the EPA as to the approval of the loan?

**Response**: We would like to close on the loan in the summer of 2019 and anticipate that feedback regarding potential credit assistance approval will be received from EPA as we continue with the application process.

**Comm. 2-6**: Has the NBC applied for any other loans or grants from the EPA in the past and if so, what was the result of those applications.

**Response**: No. The NBC has not applied for any loans or grants of this type form the EPA.

**Comm. 2-7**: Are there any expenses in this request that are not a part of the EPA loan request? What portion of the \$37.8 million request regarding CSO Phase III is also included in the EPA loan request? Is any portion of the remaining \$7.2 million request also included in the EPA loan request?

**Response**: Yes, the WIFIA loan is limited to the CSO Phase III Facilities project, and NBC seeks to finance other capital improvements through the RIIB loan proposed in this Docket. As mentioned in response to 1-2(d), the WIFIA loan is for a maximum of 49% of the project cost so NBC must finance \$248 million. Proceeds from the RIIB loan will be applied to NBC's match.

**Comm. 2-8**: Has the NBC conducted any analysis on the effect on rates of the non-CSO related requests? Have they conducted any analysis on the effect on rates if the EPA loan is approved?

**Response**: The NBC has not conducted an analysis of how rates would increase if it only sought to finance non-CSO related capital projects in this Docket. For the reasons set forth in response to data requests 2-1 and 2-2, NBC still needs proceeds from the proposed RIIB loan for the CSO Phase III. At this time, NBC has not conducted an analysis on the effect on rates if the EPA loan is approved.

**Comm. 2-9**: Please provide a copy of the all board meeting related to this compliance filing since July 1, 2016, including the minutes of the April 28, 2015 board meeting where Alternative 2 was selected.

Response: Please see attachments

2-9 4-28-15 Board Meeting Minutes 2-9 9-25-18 Board Meeting Minutes

1	STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS
2	NARRAGANSETT BAY COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	
6	IN RE: BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS MEETING
7	
8	
9	DATE: A
10	DATE: April 28, 2015 TIME: 11:00 A.M.
11	PLACE: Narragansett Bay Commission Corporate Office Building One Service Road
12	Providence, RI 02905
13	
14	
15	COMMISSIONERS:
16	Vincent Mesolella, Chairman Raymond Marshall, Executive Director & Secretary
17	Robert Andrade Dr. Richard Burroughs
18	Bruce Campbell Mario Carlino
19	Michelle Deroche Michael Dichiro
20	Jonathan Farnum Seth Handy
21	Joseph Kimball Paul Lemont
22	Ronald Leone
23	John MacQueen Joan Milas Al Montanari
24	Al Montanari Alan Nathan

Angelo Rotella

#### 25 Richard Worrell

2

#### 1 STAFF & GUESTS

- 2 Paul Nordstrom, NBC Rich Bernier, NBC
- 3 Jamie Samons, NBC Jennifer Harrington, NBC
- 4 George Palmisciano, Pare Corp. Laurie Horridge, NBC
- 5 Tom Brueckner, MWH Karen Musumeci, NBC
- 6 Rich Raiche, MWH Thomas Uva, NBC
- 7 Robert Otoski, CDM Smith Karen Giebink, NBC
- 8 Dan Berger, PFM Alex Kuffner, Providence Journal
- 9 David Bowen, Wright-Pierce Ambar Espinoza, RI Public Radio
- 10 Mike Stuard, NBC Gail Degnan, NBC
- 11 Sherri Arnold, NBC Sean Searles, MWH
- 12 Leah Foster, NBC Robert Otoski, CDM Smith
- 13 Melissa Carter, MWH Al Mancini, DPUC
- 14 Jean Lynch, CAC John Zuba, NBC
- 15 Christine Cooper, NBC Steve Maceroni, PFM
- 16 Jamie Samons, NBC John Motta, NBC
- 17 Harold Gadon, CAC Topher Hamblett, Save the Bay
- 18 Mark Thomas, NBC Sandor Bodo, Providence Journal
- 19 Pamela Bhatia, NBC

- 21
- 22
- \_
- 23

1	(MEETING COMMENCED AT 11:09 A.M.)
2	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Good morning,
3	everyone. We'll call the meeting to order of
4	the Narragansett Board of Commissioners at
5	11:00, April 28th, 2015.
6	The first order of business is the
7	approval of the previous. Have all of our
8	members had an opportunity to review the
9	previous minutes?
10	COMMISSIONER MONTANARI: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a
12	motion to approve the previous minutes by
13	Commissioner Montanari. Discussion?
14	Commissioner Farnum. Previous discussion on the
15	previous as presented. Hearing none. All of
16	those that are in favor will say aye. Are there
17	any opposed? There are none opposed, and that
18	motion carries.
19	(UNANIMOUS VOTE)
20	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Moving along
21	to Item Number 3, which is the Election of
22	Officers. At this time the Chairman will turn
23	the gavel over to the Executive Director for

<b>A</b> 4	• •
24	nominations.
<u> </u>	nonnauons.

25	MR.	MARSHALL:	Mr.	Chairman.	Ι
	111111			Cinali man,	-

1	would like to open the floor up to nominations
2	for the positions of Chairman, Vice Chairman and
3	Treasurer. Commissioner MacQueen?
4	COMMISSIONER MACQUEEN: I make a
5	motion that the secretary cast one vote for the
6	position of officers Mr. Mesolella for Chairman,
7	Mr. Chairman, Rotella for Vice Chairman and Mr.
8	Andrade for treasurer.
9	MR. MARSHALL: Is there a second?
10	COMMISSIONER BURROUGHS: Second.
11	MR. MARSHALL: Okay, a motion's
12	been made and seconded. Are there any other
13	nominations? Is there any discussion? Hearing
14	none. We'll close the floor to nominations and
15	the secretary will cast as directed by the
16	motion. One vote for the existing slate, and
17	congratulations.
18	(APPLAUSE)
19	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: The first
20	thing, of course, I'd like to say is thank you
21	for your vote of confidence. I truly appreciate
22	it. And as I've always done in the last 36

file:///Z|/...% 202015/Board% 20 Meeting-Minutes% 204-28-2015/Board% 20 of% 20 Commissioners% 20 Meeting% 20 Minutes% 204-28-2015.txt [5/19/2015 12:56:55 PM]

23 years I've been a member of this Commission, I

24 offer my one thousand percent support for this

25 commission and commissioners and so thank you

1	all very much. We have a very exciting year
2	coming up. And, of course, I appreciate your
3	participation and your contributions. Thank
4	you, very much. And thank you Mr. Vice Chair
5	for your support and treasurer, Commissioner
6	Andrade. Thank you.
7	Okay. Moving right along. You
8	probably noticed that there's a little bit of a
9	different order on the agenda today. The first
10	order of business would be the Chairman's
11	Report. The Chairman does not have much of a
12	report except to say that earlier in the course
13	of the day there was a construction engineering,
14	an operations committee meeting. The Chairman
15	offered to the committee that we will be putting
16	out to the public a request for
17	proposal/qualifications for Renewable Energy
18	proposals.
19	The Commission will be soliciting
20	these proposals pursuant to a statute that was
21	passed by the general assembly allowing us to
22	net meter and to buy or purchase energy from

23 outside sources off site. So this is available

24 for viewing. Any of the commissioners can take

a look at it. If not, Tom Uva and our staff is

1	going to making some tweaks to it, nothing
2	substantial right now. Minor changes. And then
3	prior to our next meeting, this will be out to
4	the public. That's the first thing. The second
5	thing is something that's been in the paper
6	almost everyday for the last month which is the
7	Pawtucket Red Sox issue. Perhaps some of our
8	members heard I was a guest on the Buddy Cianci
9	show with regard Pawtucket proposed, the
10	original Pawtucket proposed stadium, or Prov
11	Sox. I'm not sure what it will be, but it will
12	be something.
13	So it appears, it doesn't appear,
14	as a matter of fact we have several large
15	structures on that site which take up most of
16	left field and perhaps some part of center
17	field. We were approached by the owners of Paw
18	Sox with regard to our cooperation of regard to
19	relocating some of those structures. We
20	originally thought that they were going to ask
21	us to absorb the cost associated with that. As

it turned out, they did not ask us to absorb the

23 cost. They said that they would absorb the

24 cost, and they were really soliciting our

25 corporation with regard to testing the hydraulic

1	and make sure it worked as a system, and that is
2	exactly what I said on the radio with Buddy
3	Cianci. We consider ourselves to be part of the
4	solution, not a part of the problem. We hadn't
5	heard from the general assembly or the
6	Governor's Office regarding the issue, but in
7	terms of our cooperation, they could expect a
8	hundred percent cooperation from us as long as
9	our ratepayers were not asked to bear the cost.
10	As we know today, in fact, there's an article in
11	the paper. I don't know what the status of it
12	is. It may very well be that they have found
13	another site.
14	So we're all just sitting waiting
15	for the next shoe to drop. And as things
16	develop, we'll make absolutely certain that we
17	keep the Board apprised except to say that I
18	told the owners as far as I and the Board was
19	concerned that our ratepayers had no interest in
20	picking up any of the costs associated with
21	those improvements. Okay. So having said that,

22 Mr. Chairman --

23 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Anybody have

- 24 any questions with regard to that? I mean, I
- 25 don't think anyone really knows what's going to

1	happen in the next several weeks, but it will be
2	interesting. So other than that, the Chairman
3	has no further report. The next order of
4	business is the Executive Director's Report
5	which is Item Number 5. Mr. Secretary, do you
6	have a report for us today?
7	MR. MARSHALL: Yes, sir, I do.
8	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Please
9	proceed.
10	MR. MARSHALL: Field's Point and
11	Bucklin Point Wastewater Treatment Plants are
12	gearing up for the nitrogen removal season which
13	starts May 1st. Actually, Bucklin Point has
14	done a really good job maintaining the system.
15	It's just the nature of what we designed and
16	installed over there. So they're already up to
17	speed. And Field's Point is coming into
18	compliance as we speak. We've been coordinating
19	on a regular basis with Rhode Island Resource
20	Recovery. Their discharge is now coming to

21 Field's Point. It has been since November.

Their pretreatment system has been starting up
in the last six weeks. We work closely with
them and DEM to try to make this transition as
smooth as possible. We had a problem during the

1	month with the tunnel odor control system, and
2	we're working to resolve those problems. Some
3	of the filters or strainers needed to be
4	replaced, so we're in the process of doing that.
5	As far as the turbines go, we
6	generated 62 percent of the Field's Point
7	Wastewater Treatment Facility power needs in
8	March. And lastly, at Field's Point, we
9	continue to work on the problem that we have
10	with the blowers. Going through the
11	manufacturer who has replaced a number of the
12	different components of those systems. And the
13	good news is we now have 8 of 9 blowers
14	available. With the 9th one about ready to come
15	on-line in the next week or two. So we'll be
16	fully operational for the start of the season.
17	Then we'll see what happens from there. We also
18	have other contingency plans in case we start
19	having additional difficulties.
20	In interceptor maintenance we had

21 no dry weather overflows in the month of March.

22 That was good news. And engineering spent a lot

23 of their time this month preparing for last

24 night's presentation on CSO Phase III. In the

25 construction area, the new lab building is

#### 10

1 really making progress. I don't know if you 2 were able to take a look at what's going on 3 across the street, but all the structural 4 steelwork is done or essentially done. And 5 other then that, we've been working on Phase II 6 of the CSO Program we're doing cleanup and 7 paving and landscaping to try to tidy the areas 8 up so that we can pretty much disappear from 9 people's lives, and I'm sure they'll be very 10 pleased with that. 11 In Administration and Finance, the 12 FY-'15 Budget is in good shape. We're running 13 about 8.9 percent below the year-to-date target, 14 and that's attributable to a lower personnel 15 cost, a lower O&M cost, primarily to through bio-solids and chemical use. And debt service 16 17 is about 5 percent below projections. The 18 FY-'16 Budget has reached the point where we can 19 schedule a Finance Committee meeting on the

- 20 preliminary budget. And we agree to do that at
- 21 the May board meeting on the May board meeting
- 22 day. So they'll probably be about an hour
- allocated for the Finance Committee at the May
- 24 -- I'll give you the exact date. I think it's
- the 27th, but let me be certain of that. May

1	27th and then the full board will vote on the
2	final budget in June. We went through with the
3	2007 Series A Revenue Bonds refinancing. They
4	were priced on March 31st and they achieved a
5	net present value savings of 2.5 million
6	dollars. And we continue to work with the Clean
7	Water Finance Agency on the next borrowing.
8	We need a debt service schedule
9	from them so we can go to the PUC and file for a
10	rate increase not to exceed 4 percent for debt
11	service. And they also approved working with
12	DEM that we can go for a 30-year issue rather
13	than a 20-year issue than much of what we're
14	financing is really long-term facilities. And
15	in the month of March customer service billed
16	6.9 million dollars and collected 9 million
17	dollars.
18	So that was a good month for us.

19 PP&R Policy, Planning and Regulation, as the

- Chairman pointed out, put together this RFP, so
  we can go out for net metering opportunities as
  well as they put together an excellent
  application to EPA that allowed us receive the
  EPA's Region 1 annual excellence award. And
- that was actually given to us on April 22nd.

1	Tom Uva and Laurie Horridge went up to receive
2	the award. And it's really based upon the
3	entire spectrum of our accomplishments here at
4	NBC, which you have all supported strongly over
5	the years, so we'll have a little award
6	photograph session probably at the next meeting.
7	And in Executive we viewed about
8	150 pieces of proposed legislation. Joanne will
9	have a legislative report for you a little
10	later. We attended a House Finance Committee
11	Meeting and testified on the Governor's budget
12	item involving 2.8 million dollars being taken
13	from NBC in order to pay debt service on state
14	debt associated with the Old Bay Bonds. So we
15	have a meeting scheduled with the
16	administration, May 9th, I believe it is, to go
17	over that in more detail with them and to let
18	them know based upon our reading of the trust

- 19 indenture, as well as a PUC ruling that that's
- 20 not a viable option for them to do that.
- 21 As the Chairman indicated, we met
- 22 with Pawtucket Red Sox owners, and we staff have
- 23 met with their consultants regarding the
- 24 proposed location of the ballpark, and the
- 25 consultants are very in tune with what we have

1	on that site and what needs to be moved. And
2	finally, we had a lien sale. It was
3	successfully completed about a week ago and we
4	collected \$526,000 thousand dollars as a result
5	of that effort. And that is my report, Mr.
6	Chairman.
7	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay.
8	Commissioner Carlino?
9	MR. CARLINO: Do we know what
10	was the final estimate, or we don't have a final
10 11	was the final estimate, or we don't have a final estimate to relocate our facility where the ball
11	estimate to relocate our facility where the ball
11 12	estimate to relocate our facility where the ball park is going. Is it 5 million, 7 million, or
11 12 13	estimate to relocate our facility where the ball park is going. Is it 5 million, 7 million, or we don't know that?
11 12 13 14	estimate to relocate our facility where the ball park is going. Is it 5 million, 7 million, or we don't know that? MR. MARSHALL: Our estimate was \$7
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> </ol>	estimate to relocate our facility where the ball park is going. Is it 5 million, 7 million, or we don't know that? MR. MARSHALL: Our estimate was \$7 million. But we tend to be very conservative in

19	million or whatever number they threw out. It's
20	not really any different than any time we come
21	to you with a bid that we want to award if you
22	look at the range of the bids. There's always a
23	certain spread there and this is a similar type
24	thing.

25 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: So at the

1	meeting, actually, it was Commissioner Rotella
2	who pressed him on that very same issue. And he
3	indicated that they would be taking it as a
4	private function. So he indicated that their
5	cost would probably be less than ours because
6	they didn't have the bureaucracy that we have to
7	deal with, and well, he
8	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: The bidding
9	process, and the
10	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: You know, the
11	bidding process and all of the kinds of
12	associated costs that we have. So what was his
13	number, \$5 million?
14	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: Five
15	million.
16	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: He said he had
17	heard me on the radio saying 7 or 7 and a half

10	.11.	1 * 1	•	1 .		•		
18	million	which	10	what	Our	engineers,	Our	aroun
10	mmmon.	wmen	10	what	our	Ungineers.	our	Eloup
	- ,							0

19 had determined. And they said way too much. I

- 20 don't know what it is, but he said it's five.
- 21 COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: If I may,
- 22 Mr. Chairman, he also concluded in that five
- 23 million the cost of the moving of the

24 Narragansett Grid gas line. It was included in

25 that \$5 million dollar price. So what he

1	thought would be 5 million for all of the
2	movement necessary.
3	Again, their plan, I asked them
4	what he thought the total cost of the investment
5	would be of the stadium. He said about 70
6	million and then another 10 million for the
7	garage, right?
8	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Yes.
9	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: So I was
10	trying to establish what percent. It's not a
11	giant percentage, but obviously, some percentage
12	of the total cost.
13	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Not including
14	National Grid, which, of course, you'd know more
15	about that than we would. And he said he could
16	get that approval in two weeks. Two weeks,
17	done.

18	COMMISSIONER WORRELL: Is that two
19	years?
20	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Two weeks, he
21	said. Did you tell him about the turbines.
22	I told him that. I said, you know,
23	I have to tell, I can't we'll cooperate with
24	you, but in terms of, you know, that gas line, I
25	have no idea how long it's going to take you to

1	do that. He wants to throw the first pitch out
2	for the 2017 season. I don't know if time is
3	going to allow that to happen. By the way, we
4	were very, very diligent in explaining to him
5	that there is a shaft that cannot be moved. And
6	that we had encountered odor control issues the
7	last shaft we did on Calvary Street, you may
8	recall.
9	We had to put a structure, build a
9 10	We had to put a structure, build a structure on top of the Calvary Street shaft.
	<b>1</b>
10	structure on top of the Calvary Street shaft.
10 11	structure on top of the Calvary Street shaft. And I told him, and I made certain that he knew
10 11 12	structure on top of the Calvary Street shaft. And I told him, and I made certain that he knew that we did not grow roses here. And that he
10 11 12 13	structure on top of the Calvary Street shaft. And I told him, and I made certain that he knew that we did not grow roses here. And that he could expect that at certain times during the

17 of some kind.

18	MR. MARSHALL: By the way, I think
19	he was listening to the Chairman because if you
20	look at the rendering now. If you look directly
21	out in center field, what you see is like a
22	lighthouse-type structure. That would shield an
23	odor controlled system like we have at the
24	Foundry complex.
25	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: I certainly

1	didn't want, you know, in the effort to
2	cooperate at the end of the day it gets built
3	and there's an odor issue, of course, the finger
4	will be pointed to the NBC. So we wanted to
5	make certain that everyone knew that right out
6	in front. Karen, did you take minutes at that
7	meeting?
8	MS. MUSUMECI: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: So we're on
10	the record for that. So, all right. Any other
11	questions regarding the Executive Director's
12	Report. Hearing none. Moving right along.
13	Harold, you're up. Citizens Advisory Committee.
14	MR. GADON: Thank you, Mr.
15	Chairman, a very short report. At a
16	well-attended meeting held on last Wednesday,

17 Tom Brueckner gave an understandable

18 presentation on the topic of the day, Phase III

19 Alternatives.

20 I'll now be able to report at our

21 next meeting on May 20 that Alternative 2 is

22 being chosen so that NBC will now proceed to

discuss a review with DEM. Thank you.

24 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: So, for the

25 record, Alternative 2 had been proposed and

#### 18

- 1 offered. The committee hasn't chosen it yet.
- 2 But we will take a vote on it soon.
- 3 MR. GADON: Getting ahead.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay, moving
- 5 forward. And speaking of Alternative 2, the
- 6 next committee reporting is the Long-Range

7 Planning Committee. Commissioner Carlino, do

8 you have a report for us today?

- 9 COMMISSIONER CARLINO: Yes.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Please

11 proceed.

- 12 COMMISSIONER CARLINO: We met this
- 13 morning the board and we accepted and approved

14 Resolution 2015-09-2, which is Alternative

15 Number 2 for CSO Phase III. Five were in favor,

16	one was against. And if I could just say before
17	we go to a vote. We've spent the last eight
18	months on this since September, numerous
19	presentations, a lot of work by the staff, and
20	Tom Brueckner, Ray Marshall, and other staff
21	members, consultants MWH, PFM spent a lot of
22	time answering our questions, our concerns. I
23	think the Chairman and the Executive Director
24	have made it very clear that we have an
25	obligation. We signed an agreement. We have to

1	move forward. I know there's been a lot of due
2	diligence by all the commissioners. All of us
3	spent numerous extra time; two workshops within
4	those eight months, numerous hours probably
5	offsite talking about it. We all have concerns.
6	We all have concerns about the cost, about the
7	benefit, about the water quality, the median
8	household income. But we felt as a committee
9	that we have to move forward. What's critical
10	is the next steps as the director had pointed
11	out.
12	We're going to do an evaluation
13	report. We then have to submit to Rhode Island
14	DEM our plan what we've chosen, and I think at
15	that point we have to really sit down and hold

- 16 their feet to the fire and say, you know, this
- 17 is how much money we're going to spend, \$800
- 18 million dollars approximately.
- 19 And what type of water quality are
- 20 we really getting and what effects is it going
- 21 to have on low income, and so forth. So I know
- that's a lot of the concerns of the
- 23 Commissioners. And we voted in favor to approve
- Alternative Number 2 in a 5 to 1 vote.

#### 25 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: So

- 1 Commissioner, are you now making a motion to
- 2 approve Resolution 2015-09-2?
- 3 COMMISSIONER CARLINO: Correct.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: I have a
- 5 motion from Commissioner Carlino to approve
- 6 Resolution 2015-09-2, option 2, Phase III of the
- 7 CSO program. Do we have a second? Seconded by
- 8 Commissioner Montanari, Commissioner Leone,
- 9 Commissioner MacQueen, Commissioner Lemont.
- 10 Okay. Further discussion on the motion?
- 11 Commissioner Burroughs.
- 12 COMMISSIONER BURROUGHS: Yes, thank
- 13 you, Mr. Chairman. I was the one negative
- 14 vote on the committee. And I wanted to briefly

15	indicate my reservations about the proposal.
16	For those of you who don't have it, there's a
17	short sheet on trying to pull all of this
18	together.
19	I first want to thank the staff and
20	consultants for really moving very, very quickly
21	to bring this to this point, given the
22	complexity of the decision. How big and how
23	complex is this decision? We're asked in a few
24	minutes now to vote on bonding that will be two

25 or three times the size of the statewide bonding

1	of last fall. But this bonding is targeted on
2	118,000 households, so it's a large burden on a
3	smaller population.
4	Secondly, because the Narragansett
5	Bay Commission has been out in front on many of
6	these issues, we're also, through the actions
7	that we take today, beginning to set some
8	national precedent about how consent decrees are
9	likely to be handled. And the area of
10	contention for me in that regard is that our
11	aspirations for water quality under the Water
12	Quality Act are beyond what engineering or
13	prudent finance can deliver.
14	So the question is, how do we

15	redirect the discussion to water quality? And
16	in the table that I handed out, I looked
17	specifically now at Alternative 2, the cost of
18	that alternative and the benefits.
19	And one way of thinking about the
20	benefits is to say how many days does it take us
21	to get back into compliance with the EPA
22	regulations after a rainfall event? And if we
23	look at the Narragansett boating center, we find
24	that it takes about two and a half days, whether
25	or not we spend the billion dollars for the

1	investment. So in that part of the estuary,
2	we're not seeing an immediate benefit, although
3	we are in compliance with the regulations
4	spending a lot of money. When we move down to
5	Conimicut, that period that takes us to get back
6	into compliance is 5.3 days. If we spend the
7	money, the billion dollars.
8	If we just stop at the end of Phase
9	II, it takes us 9.3 days. So as some have
10	observed, we are spending in this part of the
11	system a billion dollars, more or less, to get
12	an improvement in water quality for a four-day
13	period.

14	Now, obviously, there are lots of
15	other things going on, but I mention this just
16	to indicate that, because the way the system is
17	set up, we're asked to make improvements even
18	when the cost of the improvement is very high,
19	we need to recognize that going forward.
20	And finally, I think it's important
21	to recognize that the cost of this, which is
22	another way of managing it or designing for it,
23	is very high. And that in what we learned last
23 24	is very high. And that in what we learned last night in the workshop by year 2026, 54 percent

1	over the 2 percent median household income. So
2	we've got two sets of rules here. One set of
3	rules is make the estuary as clean as possible.
4	The other set of rules is don't overspend. And
5	I guess I'm going to come down on the side of
6	the don't overspend, and we'll have to vote nay
7	as this matter comes to fruition. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Thank you, Dr.
9	Burroughs. Comments, further comments?
10	Commissioner Worrell.
11	COMMISSIONER WORRELL: I will vote
12	in favor of Alternative 2 today, but I do so
13	with some great reservations in that the cost of

14	option 2 that we were planning on voting for, as
15	Commissioner Burroughs has said, drives the
16	household cost per family to over the 2 percent
17	guideline from EPA for over 50 percent of the
18	families in our service area within a period of
19	10 or 12 years after we get going on this thing.
20	I would love to see when we go into our
21	negotiations with DEM and EPA that we say to
22	them, look, here's our option 2 which we have
23	recommended and which we are prepared to go
24	along with, but we insist that you back down on
25	forcing us to do the entire option, give us the

1	opportunity to prove to you that we can do an
2	excellent job and stay within the less than 2
3	percent for a large portion of our service area
4	without going the full route.
5	In other words, we're being asked
6	today to approve 7 or 8 hundred-million dollars
7	of expenses on this option 2. It may well be as
8	Commissioner Burroughs points out that that
9	really isn't going to amount to an awful lot,
10	but if EPA and DEM are going to force us into
11	that, okay, we will go ahead and do it because
12	we're a responsible organization.

13	But I do not want to go before EPA
14	and DEM without a reservation that we are going
15	to fight to keep those rates for these
16	ratepayers down below that 2 percent. That 50
17	something percentage of the households above the
18	2 percent, that includes the fact that we've got
19	to pay some attention to the lateral sewer
20	connections throughout the City of Providence,
21	which are in deplorable conditions which have
22	not been maintained, and those need upgrading.
23	That cost has got to be passed down to those
24	ratepayers. It hasn't even addressed the issue
25	that throughout the rate paying area, you've got

1	septic systems which are in deplorable
2	condition. They haven't been fixed up. The
3	owners of the properties will not fix them up.
4	The enforcement people within the cities and
5	towns shy away from this issue because they
6	don't want to be the ones to inform a homeowner,
7	look, you've got a septic system which doesn't
8	work and it's only going to cost you 12,000
9	bucks and you must get it done within 90 days.
10	You can imagine how popular that would make all
11	the local politicians.
12	And they duck that issue like they

13 were ducking the plaque, and they will continue

14 to do that unless somebody brings pressure on

15 them to do it.

16 If they're forced to start 17 addressing the issue of all of these broken 18 septic systems, not to mention the sewer lines 19 that go directly from a lot of the homes into 20 the sewer system, the stormwater system, not to 21 mention that fact which is another huge cost, 22 because it's a lot cheaper to connect your 23 toilet to the storm system when the plumber --24 when you wink at the plumber on the price of it, 25 than it is to do the job right. So there are a

- 1 lot of costs that nobody wants to talk about,
- 2 which are going to raise that number of
- 3 percentage of households over the 2 percent
- 4 guidelines of DEM. I want to get -- and we will
- 5 be negotiating with DEM and EPA. And I want us
- 6 to push those issues as hard as we can. So that
- 7 at the end of the day, we're going to be beyond
- 8 criticism when the homeowners point out, look,
- 9 I'm paying a lot more than 2 percent.
- 10 And they're going to be a lot of
- 11 those people doing that. So that's a point that

12	I wanted to make. The other point that I wanted
13	to make is, we talk about green infrastructure
14	as being part of option 2, and that's great.
15	But I think we talk about green infrastructure
16	being looked at well down the stream of this
17	construction project.
18	I think we ought to be starting to
19	talk more and push more on green infrastructure
20	right from the beginning. But that's a
21	relatively unimportant details. But with those
22	reservations, I will vote in favor of this
23	because I think it's an excellent move on our
24	part. Thank you.
25	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Commissioner

1	Nathan, you have a comment?
2	COMMISSIONER NATHAN: The problem
3	with pushing back expenses is the project
4	doesn't get started until build a tunnel to
5	begin with and that is the major piece of
6	expenditure, so no matter how you do this, that
7	comes first, you can't prolong the cost of that.
8	The other thing is, I agree with Dr. Burroughs,
9	I am going to vote for this, as well, but I
10	think your argument should be in an addendum to

11 what we send to DEM and EPA. Because I don't

12 think you're wrong. These couple of day	ays cost a
--	------------

13 fortune.

14	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA:	So
----	---------------------	----

15 Commissioner Milas.

16 COMMISSIONER MILAS: Yes, I agree

17 with everything everyone has said. I will vote

18 for it, but with great reluctance for all of the

19 reasons stated. And I hope that that reluctance

20 will be communicated strongly to both DEM and

EPA that we know we have to comply, but we're

22 not happy with the compliance.

23 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay. Anyone

24 else? Commissioner Burroughs.

25 COMMISSIONER BURROUGHS: Following

- 1 up on Commissioner Nathan's thought, what is the
- 2 procedure, should this plan go forward, in terms
- 3 of when it would be reviewed again?
- 4 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Commissioner
- 5 Burroughs, I know that Commissioner Carlino
- 6 addressed that at the subcommittee meeting, but
- 7 you want to address that?
- 8 COMMISSIONER CARLINO: So according
- 9 to the presentation last night during the
- 10 workshop, hopefully we will approve the

- 11 alternative today, then there's going to be a
- 12 reevaluation report that's going to be due in
- 13 June according to the schedule. We submit to
- 14 Rhode Island DEM/EPA in July of 2015. They will
- 15 review. It looks like we said from July to
- 16 November. And then we'll negotiate revisions to
- 17 the Consent Agreement and schedule. That's

18 going to occur in November/December time frame

- 19 2015. And then in January of 2016, we will sign
- 20 the revised Consent Agreement. That's the
- 21 schedule.

1

- 22 COMMISSIONER BURROUGHS: So what we
- end up signing in January of 2016, then locks us
- in for what time period before we review the
- 25 consent agreement?

### 29

2 COMMISSIONER BURROUGHS: So we 3 would either have to resolve the issues that he 4 raised before January, or the next time we could 5 do that would be five years after January of 2016. 6 7 MR. MARSHALL: We can certainly 8 have the conversation with them as soon as we 9 submit the report to them, that expresses the 10 concern, you know, that the board members have

MR. MARSHALL: Five years.

voiced, and to let them know that we want to try
to sit down and work something out that is
reasonable and affordable that is some type of
a, who knows, it could end up being a variation
of phases.
And then five years from now, when
we do the reaffirmation, they call it, then

18 we'll have another opportunity to reevaluate

again, because that's what you need to do, is

20 look at what your game plan is five years out,

21 then you continue to look at the rest of the

22 plate that you have in place if you want to make

23 modifications. That's when you can formally do

it, but hopefully we can get some understanding

25 in these initial negotiations over the next six

### 30

1 or seven months.

2	COMMISSIONER BURROUGHS: So I guess
3	my bottom-line question which I'm afraid of the
4	answer too is under Alternative 2, does the
5	tunnel machine go into the ground before or
6	after that five-year review period?

- 7 MR. MARSHALL: I think according to
- 8 the schedule that we laid out for you, the plans
- 9 and specs would be completed and approved. And

10	it's, I would say, cuts it close as to whether
11	or not we actually begin construction or award a
12	bid prior to that reaffirmation. It runs that
13	close.
14	Now, remember, we only allocated
15	six-months review. I think it's six months DEM
16	reviewed at a couple of different points. So
17	sometimes it takes a little longer, and once in
18	a while it is shorter. So it's going to be
19	right on the edge.
20	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Commissioner
21	Handy.
22	COMMISSIONER HANDY: Yeah, I will
23	vote for Option 2. I did in the Committee
24	meeting and I will again as well, reservations
25	as well. And the only thing I would add is, I

1 think it's important to note to EPA and the DEM

- 2 that we have other priorities. I assume that
- 3 the agency has other priorities. This is a huge
- 4 investment. It probably will impact our
- 5 capacity to deliver on other priorities in terms

6 of spending.

- 7 And I think we just need to be
- 8 clear. The impact of those, that decision on
- 9 the ratepayers and also on our capacity to

10	address things like operating cost in the
11	capacity to operate well. So I think they need
12	to look at this decision not in a vacuum, but in
13	association with all of our spending priorities.
14	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay.
15	Commissioner Nathan.
16	COMMISSIONER NATHAN: I know this
17	baseball field gets a lot of press. We are
18	talking about a project that is at least ten
19	times more expensive. If we don't watch the PR
20	for ourselves related to this, we're going to
21	end up in trouble.
22	So it is we have to make sure
23	that we control what we say and what we do to
24	the public, because some people know about it,
25	but not everybody knows about it. It's never in

1	the papers, and it's ten times bigger than the
2	ballpark. So I think we have to watch and
3	control this. So that we don't end up looking
4	like a bunch of anti the people, something.
5	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Yes. And you
6	know, that is a good point, Commissioner. And I
7	would just simply say that the decisions that we
8	make, and I'm not talking solely about this

9	decision, but I think everyone will agree that
10	when we are confronted with major decisions we
11	go through a very protracted process.
12	This process alone, this process to
13	which we conclude, hopefully we'll conclude
14	today. I shouldn't say conclude, in other
15	words, it's not going to be concluded, but at
16	least we're moving forward with begin today.
17	We're going to begin to conclude. This is the
18	beginning of the conclusion.
19	We went through a process, and the
20	Stakeholder process which was very public, a
21	very public process. All the individuals, all
22	the agencies, all of the environmental groups
23	and anyone else who felt that they had a stake
24	and the decisions that this Board's going to
25	make today, had an opportunity to come forward

- 1 and speak.
- It was a very public, very public
  process. And then what was concluded that
  perhaps we're confronted with these options,
  which, of course any time you are spending
  public money is difficult decisions to make.
  But sometimes we have to make difficult
- 8 decisions, and we have to make the decisions

9	that are in the best interest of the
10	environment, the economy, and in the best
11	interest of the society at-large. And I think
12	we're being very prudent here today.
13	The option that we're selecting
14	gives us the opportunity to revisit it, as we
15	submit to the various agencies, and the Board
16	will have an opportunity to deliberate at some
17	point on it again. Commissioner Lemont.
18	COMMISSIONER LEMONT: Mr. Chairman,
19	we've had discussion on this for months. I move
20	the question.
21	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Thank you. Is
22	there a motion to move the question? And
23	according to Robert's Rules, once a question has
24	been moved and seconded and passed. Counselor,
25	is it five minutes, seven minute-discussion?

1

MR. DEANGELIS: Probably 10

2 minutes.

3 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: The General

4 Assembly, it is 10 minutes. I don't know if

5 there's any other comments, but we do have a

6 formal motion to move the question and seconded

7 the motion by Commissioner MacQueen,

9	the motion will say aye. Anybody opposed?
10	There are none opposed. That question is moved.
11	(UNANIMOUS)
12	We are going to debate and discuss
13	this matter, according to Counsel, 10 minutes.
14	That's what the General Assembly does. I don't
15	know if there's any other comments to be made
16	anyway. Does anyone have any comments to make
17	with regard or questions with regard to the
18	motion that's before us? Commissioner Milas.
19	COMMISSIONER MILAS: Does this work
20	like a regular reg process? Is there a public
21	comment period for this? Does the public have
22	an opportunity to present in front of DEM or ask
23	questions?
24	MR. MARSHALL: No, there's no

Commissioner Farnum. All in favor of seconding

8

25 public hearing-type process for this. I don't

- 1 know if there is any type of review that it
- 2 undergoes, Tom, do you know?
- 3 MR. BRUECKNER: I'm not sure. I
- 4 don't know if we have to have a hearing or not.
- 5 I will find that out from DEM.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Commission
- 7 Rotella, you had some issues you wanted to --

8	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: Yeah. I'm
9	just trying quickly draw some attention to those
10	who were here last night. We have this report
11	that was given to us. And if you look on page
12	5, then on page 9. Page 5 talks about economic
13	impact analysis of the alternatives. And 9
14	talks about the economic impact analysis of
15	Alternative 2, specifically.
16	In no way I'm trying to sugar coat
17	of what we're doing or possibly going to do, but
18	this is a very labor intensive project. And it
19	will create a bunch of jobs, a lot of jobs.
20	Alternative 2 talks about over 4,000 jobs, and
21	also and quite a bit of tax additional
22	state and tax dollars. And my question is, is
23	there any way that we can I know the state is
24	in fiscal trouble today, but who knows, this
25	project is going to go for a long time,

- 1 recapture or going back, as they like to say,
- 2 some of the additional tax money that would be
- 3 generated back to the commission to help pay for
- 4 part of this project, and again, reduce the cost

5 to the ratepayers.

6 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Yeah. And so

7	pursuant to that question, I thought I reported
8	to the Board that I've already had a meeting
9	with the governor's office, and I met with the
10	speaker of the house, and I think on Friday I'm
11	meeting with the senate president. And I have
12	already indicated to them that this project is
13	an enormous economic generator for the State of
14	Rhode Island.
15	It's going to be generating
16	significant income tax dollars, and that I
17	thought that we should have a very meaningful
18	discussion about getting some appropriation in
19	the state budget, specifically, to support the
20	ratepayers who are going to shoulder the burden
21	for this particular project.
22	I pointed out that some of the
23	poorer communities in our service area are
24	footing the bill for the improvements which are
25	more recognized downstream we're they're not

- 1 participating in the cost at all.
- 2 Unfortunately, it was not an argument that was
- 3 lost on the governor or the speaker. And I'm
- 4 certain it will not be lost on the senate
- 5 president.
- 6 So while I didn't get any

7	commitments and I know this is a very difficult
8	fiscal year for the state, it seems to me that
9	once this project is actually in motion and
10	actually generating income tax dollars, that
11	that discussion can get much more serious. So I
12	hope that answers your question. Further
13	discussion on the matter? Further discussion?
14	Comments? Okay.
15	As such, you've heard the motion.
16	It has been seconded. There is no further
17	comment or discussion. All those that are in
18	favor of passage of Resolution 2015:09-2 will
19	say aye. Are there any opposed? Let the record
20	reflect that Commissioner Burroughs has cast a
21	vote in opposition to approval. Okay. Moving
22	right along.
23	Next committee reporting. Thank
24	you, very much. Next committee reporting is the
25	CEO Committee. Commisioner Macqueen, do you

1 have a report for us today?

2 COMMISSIONER MACQUEEN	: I have one,
-------------------------	---------------

- 3 Mr. Chairman. Review and Approval of Resolution
- 4 2015:10; Award of Contract 304.59C Improvements
- 5 to the Interceptor Fiscal Year 2015

6 Recommendation for Award.

7	MR. MARSHALL: Yes. This is a
8	contract that we do on an annual basis. We make
9	improvements to our interceptor system based
10	upon the cleaning and inspection contracts that
11	are entered into. And this year we had three
12	bidders for the contract. The lowest responsive
13	and responsible builder is Insituform
14	Technologies at \$811,289.
15	The contract involves cleaning,
16	televising and lining of approximately 4,600
17	feet of interceptors ranging from 8 inch to 56
18	inch rehabilitating 213 vertical feet of
19	manhole. Replacement of 30 feet of interceptor
20	and modifications to two existing regulators.
21	This is a company that's known to us. They've
22	done work for us before.
23	They do a very good job. There
24	will be some ancillary cost including police
25	details, soil and material testing, as well as

- 1 staff time associated with the inspection and
- 2 administration.
- 3 So we request your approval of
- 4 Resolution 2015:10 to Award a Contract to
- 5 Insituform Technologies for an amount not to

6	exceed \$81	1,289 tha	t is sub	ject to	the approval
---	-------------	-----------	----------	---------	--------------

7 of the Contractor's MBE/WBE plan and EEO

8 requirements by the Rhode Island Department of

9 Administration.

10 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay,

11 Commissioner MacQueen moves passage of

12 Resolution 2015:10, seconded by Commissioner

13 Montanari. Further discussion on Resolution

14 2015:10? Hearing none. All of those that are

15 in favor will say aye? Are there any opposed?

16 There are none opposed, and that motion carries.

17 Further report?

18 COMMISSIONER MACQUEEN: That's all

19 I have, Mr. Chairman.

20 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: No further

21 report. Moving forward. Finance Committee.

22 Commissioner Andrade, do you have a report for

us today?

24 COMMISSIONER ANDRADE: Yes, I do,

25 Mr. Chairman. The Committee approved Resolution

#### 40

- 1 2015:11, Authorization to Enter into a Contract
- 2 for Pension Auditing Services for fiscal years
- 3 2014, 2015 and 2016. I move approval of that

4 resolution.

5	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Thank you. I
6	have a motion to approve Resolution 2015:11
7	which is Authorization to Enter into a Contract
8	for Pension Auditing Services. Hagan Sahady?
9	MR. MARSHALL: Hagan Sahady.
10	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Hagan Sahady.
11	All right. Do we have a second? Second from
12	Commissioner Kimball and Commissioner Lemont.
13	Discussion on the matter? Hearing none. All of
14	those that are in favor will say aye. Are there
15	any opposed? There are none opposed and that
16	motion carries.
17	(UNANIMOUS)
18	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Commissioner
19	Campbell?
20	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: No meeting.
21	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: No meeting on
22	Personnel. Rules & Regs. We heard from Rules &
23	Regs today. Ad Hoc Committee, no report.
24	Executive Committee did not meet. There is no
25	report. Compensation Committee. Commissioner

- 1 Kimball.
- 2 COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: Mr.
- 3 Chairman, I'd like to recommend that the Board
- 4 enter into Executive Session pursuant to Section

5	42-46-5A1 to discuss the job performance of
6	employee who has been notified in advance of
7	this meeting in accordance with the statute.
8	Okay. We have a motion to go into
9	Executive Session from Commissioner Kimball,
10	seconded by Commissioner Rotella. All in favor
11	of moving into Executive Session will say aye.
12	Any opposed? There are none opposed, and the
13	motion carries.
14	And I apologize ladies and
15	gentlemen, but you're going to have to vacate
16	the premises for about 10 minutes or 15 minutes.
17	So I would just ask our guests, including our
18	stenographer, to take vacate the premises.
19	(OFF THE RECORD)
20	(EXECUTIVE SESSION AT 12:20 P.M.)
21	(EXECUTIVE SESSION CONCLUDED AT 12:55
22	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: All right.
23	Thank you, ladies and gentlemen for your
24	patience. We're back into open session. We are
25	now at the Compensation Committee Report.

- 1 Commissioner Kimball, do you care to proceed
- 2 further with your report?
- 3 COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: Mr.

P.M.)

4	Chairman, now that we're back into open session,
5	I'd like to recommend that the minutes for the
6	closed session will be sealed, and we'll have a
7	motion to seal them.
8	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: I'll move.
9	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: Do I have a
10	second?
11	COMMISSIONER DICHIRO: Second.
12	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: All those in
13	favor? Any opposed? The motion carries.
14	(UNANIMOUS)
15	Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move to
16	approve Resolution 2015:06 Approval of the Final
17	Report, Results & Recommendations by Employers
18	Association of the Northeast Regarding their
19	Market Analysis for Positioning of Executive
20	Director & Senior Management.
21	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: I have a
22	motion to approve Resolution 2015:06 Approval of
23	the Final Report, Results & Recommendations by
24	Employers Association. We have a second,
25	Commissioner Milas, Commissioner Montanari,

- 1 Commissioner Leone, Commissioner Carlino,
- 2 Commissioner Andrade and Commissioner Farnum.
- 3 Further discussion? Hearing none. All of those

4	in favor will say aye. Are there any opposed?
5	There are none opposed and the motion carries.
6	Further report. Commissioner Kimball.
7	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: Mr.
8	Chairman, I'd like to move approval of
9	Resolution 2015:07, the Approval of Salary
10	Ranges for the Executive Director and Senior
11	Management as recommended by the Employers
12	Association of the Northeast.
13	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay. We have
14	a motion to approve Resolution 2015:07 for
15	Executive Director and Senior Management.
16	Second. Second by Commissioner Montanari, Leone
17	and MacQueen. Discussion on the resolution?
18	Hearing none. All of those that are in favor
19	will say aye. Are there any opposed? There are
20	none opposed, and that motion carries.
21	Commissioner Kimball.
22	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: Mr.
23	Chairman, I'd like to move approval of
24	Resolution 2015:08, the Approval of the
25	Executive Director's Employment Contract.

# 1 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a

2 motion approved. Resolution 2015:08, seconded

3	by Commissioner Lemont, Commissioner Leone,
4	Commissioner MacQueen. Actually, it's
5	everybody. The entire Board of directors
6	seconds that motion. Discussion on the matter?
7	Hearing none. All of those that are in favor
8	will say aye. Are there any opposed? There are
9	none opposed, and that motion carries.
10	(UNANIMOUS)
11	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Further
12	report. Commission Kimball.
13	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: Mr.
14	Chairman, we have no other business to come
15	before the Compensation Committee.
16	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Excellent.
17	Okay, moving right along. Where's Joanne.
18	Joanne, do you have a report today?
19	MS. MACERONI: Mr. Chairman, you
20	all have a copy of my report. As you'll see, I
21	added ten bills since the March report. They
22	range in topics from condemnation to open
23	meetings. And you will also see there were
24	three bills where we are proposing some
25	technical amendments. We're not proposing to

- 1 change the intent of the legislation. We're
- 2 trying to make it clearer. I'd be happy to go

3 through each one. I know it's a late hour, so

4	if you'd	like me to	do that,	if not
---	----------	------------	----------	--------

5	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: I don't think
6	that's necessary, Joanne. We've got the
7	eggplant parm waiting. All right. Okay.
8	Anyone have any questions for Joanne? I don't
9	think so. All right. Thank you, very much.
10	Moving right along. New business. Any new
11	business to come before the commission today?
12	New business. None to speak of. Other business
13	of any kind, old business, new business, other
14	business? None?
15	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: Move
16	adjournment.
17	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a
18	motion to adjourn, seconded by, I think the
19	entire Board today. I think so. Thank you,
20	very much. All in favor of adjournment will say
21	aye. Any opposed? None opposed. We are
22	adjourned. And once again, I'd like to thank
23	all of you for your vote of confidence selecting
24	me Chairman again. Thank you.
25	MR. MARSHALL: And I'd like to

46

1 thank all of you for your vote of confidence.

2	(APPLAUSE)
3	(HEARING CONCLUDED AT 1:00 P.M.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

# 1 C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-E

3	I, PAULA J. CAMPAGNA, CSR, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a
4	true, accurate, and complete transcript of my notes taken at the above-entitled hearing.
5	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my
6	hand this 18th day of May, 2015.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	PAULA J. CAMPAGNA, CSR, NOTARY PUBLIC/CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
21	MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: April 25, 2018
22	MT COMMONION LA INLE. April 25, 2010
23	IN RE: NBC Monthly Board Meeting
24	DATE: April 28, 2015
25	

```
1
   STATE OF RHODE ISLAND AND PROVIDENCE PLANTATIONS
2
       NARRAGANSETT BAY COMMISSION
3
4
IN RE:
              *
            *
6
 MONTHLY BOARD MEETING *
7 OF THE COMMITTEE
 *******************
8
9 DATE: SEPTEMBER 25, 2018
  TIME: 11:00 A.M.
10 PLACE: NARRAGANSETT BAY COMMISSION
     CORPORATE OFFICE BUILDING
11
     ONE SERVICE ROAD
     PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND 02905
12
13
14 COMMISSIONERS:
15 VINCENT J. MESOLELLA, CHAIRMAN
 ROBERT P. ANDRADE, TREASURER
16 BRUCE D. CAMPBELL
 MICHELLE DeROCHE
17 JONATHAN FARNUM
 JOSEPH KIMBALL
18 JOHN MacQUEEN
 JOAN MILAS
19 AL MONTANARI
 ALAN NATHAN
20 JINA N. PETRARCA, ESQUIRE
 CHARLES RUGGERIO, ESQUIRE
21 ANGELO ROTELLA, ESQUIRE
22
```

23 ALSO ATTENDING:

24 RAYMOND MARSHALL, NBC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JOSEPH DeANGELIS, ESQUIRE - LEGAL COUNSEL TO THE BOARD 25

- **1 TITLE PAGE CONTINUED:**
- 2
- 3 STAFF AND OTHER ATTENDEES:

**4 PAUL NORDSTROM. NBC** SEAN SEARLES, STANTEC **5 LAURIE HORRIDGE, ESQUIRE, NBC** TOM UVA, NBC **6 JOANNE MACERONI, NBC** KATHRYN KELLY, NBC 7 HOLLY IALONGO, NBC DAVID BOWEN, NBC 8 KAREN GIEBINK, NBC CASSIE BALZANO, NBC 9 JENNIFER HARRINGTON, NBC LEAH FOSTER, NBC **10 CECILLE ANTONELLI, NBC** JOE MONIZ, NBC 11 KERRY BRITT, NBC CHRIS FEENEY. STANTEC 12 MELISSA CARTER, STANTEC BRANDON BLANCHARD, PARE CORP. 13 MIKE COOK, NBC DAN WOTRING, MOTT MACDONALD 14 DEBORAH SAMSON, NBC RICH BERNIER, NBC 15 JAMIE SAMONS, NBC MATT TRAVERS, STANTEC **16 DIANE BUERGER, NBC** MEG GOULET, NBC 17 KAREN MUSUMECI, NBC MARC PARISEAULT, NBC 18 MOLLY WELSH, NBC GEORGE PALMISCIANO, PARE CORP. 19 DAVID PLEAU, HAZEN PAT HUGES, CDM SMITH 20 MARCELO TAVEIRA, NBC FRED DIEZ. NBC 21 KYLE CONNERS, HAGUE, SAHADY BILL McCONNELL, CDM SMITH 22 JOHN MOTTA, NBC TJ HARRINGTON, NBC 23 MIKE CARUOLO, NBC LINDA GIESINGER, NBC

# 25

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

3

1	(MEETING COMMENCED AT 11:01 A.M.)
2	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay. Good
3	morning, everyone.
4	(ALL RESPOND)
5	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Recognizing a
6	quorum, we will call to order the meeting of the
7	Narragansett Bay Commission of September 25, 2018
8	at 11:05. First order of business is the
9	approval of the previous minutes.
10	Has everyone had an opportunity to review
11	the previous minutes? And, if so, are there any
12	comments or corrections with regard to the
13	previous minutes of June 19, 2018?
14	(NO RESPONSE)
15	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Comments on the
16	previous minutes.
17	(NO RESPONSE)
18	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Hearing none
19	COMMISSIONER McQUEEN: So moved.
20	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: we have a
21	motion to approve by Commissioner McQueen,

22 seconded by Commissioner Farnum and Commissioner

- 23 Milas. Discussion on the previous minutes. Is
- 24 there discussion on the previous minutes?
- 25 (NO RESPONSE)

1	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Hearing none, all			
2	of those that are in favor will say aye.			
3	(VOICE VOTE)			
4	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Are there any			
5	opposed?			
6	(NO RESPONSE)			
7	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: There are none			
8	opposed and the motion carries. Next orders of			
9	business is acknowledgment of awards.			
10	Mr. Secretary.			
11	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Yes. We have			
12	several awards here today. As you know, we are			
13	long time members of the National Association of			
14	Clean Water Agencies, and they have an awards			
15	program that we participate in or apply for.			
16				
	The two that we're going to be presenting			
17	The two that we're going to be presenting today are strictly based on the performance of			
17	today are strictly based on the performance of			

- 21 highest, is the Gold Award. And in order to get
- 22 the Gold Award, you have to have zero violations
- 23 of your permit over the course of the calendar
- 24 year.
- 25 And there are probably -- I mean, there are

1	daily, weekly, monthly parameters that you have
2	to measure for or monitor, and it totals almost
3	4,000 parameters per year. So it's like taking a
4	test where you have that many questions and you
5	can't get any wrong if you want a Gold Award. I
6	was never in that category, by the way, when I
7	was in school, but some of you might have been.
8	So I'm proud to announce that the Fields
9	Point Wastewater Treatment Facility staff has won
10	the award for this past year. And I'm going to
11	ask the following individuals to come up to
12	accept the award on their behalf. Nathan
13	Burrows, the assistant manager; George Dolan,
14	process monitor; and Norm Rodolewicz, one of our
15	mechanics. So can those three folks come up
16	here, please.
17	(APPLAUSE)
18	(WHEREUPON PICTURES WERE TAKEN)
19	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Thank you a lot.

20	Thank you for all of your work. We appreciate
21	it.
22	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Thank you very
23	much.
24	(APPLAUSE)
25	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: I also want to

1	point out that it's not just our staff at Fields	
2	Point, but also Bucklin Point and we have other	
3	sections, such as the environmental monitoring	
4	team, laboratory staff. I mean, these folks work	
5	weekends, they work evenings, they get called in	
6	during bad weather. Our interceptor maintenance	
7	group is another one that also falls into that	
8	category.	
9	So while we're all at home enjoying	
10	Thanksgiving dinner or hunkering down in a big	
11	rain or snowstorm, we have folks out here working	
12	to keep the facilities in operation. So that's	
13	something I always like to bring to everyone's	
14	attention.	
15	Now, the Silver Award means that you can	
16	only have five excursions from your permit	
17	requirements over those thousands of tests that	

18 have	e to be done.	So, again,	another	great
---------	---------------	------------	---------	-------

- 19 accomplishment. Sometimes it only takes the
- 20 slightest thing, one bad day, and you can move
- 21 from gold and slide down to silver.
- 22 But we're really proud of the folks at
- 23 Bucklin Point, as well. And I'm going to ask
- 24 Marcello Taveira, who is an operation supervisor,
- and Fred Diez, our electrical foreman to come up

1	and accept the award on behalf of the staff.
2	(APPLAUSE)
3	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: These guys do not
4	normally wear ties to work, but they look good.
5	They look good.
6	(WHEREUPON PICTURES WERE TAKEN)
7	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: All right, guys.
8	Thank you.
9	(APPLAUSE)
10	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: The next award is
11	given by the Providence Business News. We have
12	won this several years running now. It's the
13	Best Places to Work award. You submit an
14	application to the Providence Business News and
15	you explain to them all things you do for your
16	employees and why you're such great employer.

17 And then what they require is that your employees

18 go online and tell them the real story. And if

- 19 it jives with yours, then they give you the
- 20 award. And if not, they tell you to try again
- 21 next year.
- 22 So, fortunately, our employees enjoy working
- 23 here and have verified that what we do for them
- 24 is actually something that they enjoy and
- 25 appreciate.

### ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

### 8

1	So some of the key people I'm going to have
2	come up here to accept this award on behalf of
3	the staff are Diane Buerger, our relations
4	manager, Cecile Antonelli, our human resources
5	manager. Cecile is here; right? I see Diane but
6	I don't see Cecile. Cecile is in her office.
7	Karen, would you drag Cecile in here, please?
8	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: She's working.
9	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: She does not like
10	attention, but she deserves it so we're going to
11	give it to her. And Laurie Horridge, to whom
12	both of those individuals now report. So you can
13	come on up here.

14 (APPLAUSE)

15 (	(WHEREUPON	PICTURES	WERE	TAKEN)
------	------------	----------	------	--------

- 16 DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Thank you very
- 17 much. We appreciate it.
- 18 (APPLAUSE)

19 DIRECTOR MARSHALL: We have one more

- 20 item on the agenda. It's a little vague here.
- 21 It says Staff Recognition. And what this is is
- 22 sometimes there are projects that come along that
- are unique, especially challenging, both from a
- 24 technical and public relations point of view.
- 25 And the way we get through these projects is

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	not just from doing the project correctly from a			
2	technical perspective, but also handling all of			
3	the calls and complaints that occur during the			
4	course of the project.			
5	So today we're going to recognize two staff			
6	members that have done a tremendous job in that			
7	regard on the Greenville Avenue project. And I'd			
8	like those two people to come up here right now.			
9	Jamie Samons and Joe Moniz.			
10	(APPLAUSE)			
11	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: I know they knew			
12	nothing about this, so I'm going to stand as far			
13	away from them as I can, once they actually get			

- 14 up here, and our Vice Chairman is going to read
- 15 the resolutions that we have created for them.

16	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA:	Okay.	This

- 17 resolution of appreciation is as follows: In
- 18 furtherance of NBC's revenue expansion goals in
- 19 economic development in the State of
- 20 Rhode Island, the NBC partnered with the State,
- 21 Town of Johnston, and Citizens Bank on a project
- 22 which involved the installation of sewers along
- 23 Greenville Avenue.
- 24 And whereas the tight deadlines set for the
- 25 project required almost constant construction

- 1 work in the Greenville Avenue neighborhood for 16
- 2 months.
- 3 And whereas the project included almost
- 4 daily detours and traffic disruptions that
- 5 inconvenienced and infuriated many local
- 6 residences and businesses in the area.
- 7 And where as NBC's Manager of Public
- 8 Affairs, Jamie Samons, was the voice of NBC
- 9 during the construction phase of the project and
- 10 communicated with the Greenville Avenue residents
- 11 and businesses on a daily basis.

12	And while Jamie served as NBC's liaison to
13	the Mayor's office, the general public, DOT, and
14	Providence Water Supply Board.
15	And where Jamie sincerely listened and
16	addressed the complaints of each resident and
17	business owner in a professional and
18	compassionate manner.
19	And whereas Jamie kept local residents and
20	businesses appraised of construction impacts
21	through daily updates through social media, text
22	messages, mailings, and phone calls.
23	And whereas Jamie specifically worked with
24	local businesses such as Wicked Tulips and Stamp
25	Egg Farm to minimize impacts on their daily

- 1 operations.
- 2 And whereas an example of Jamie's sincerity
- 3 and dedication a disabled resident called to
- 4 report that Jamie proactively assisted by calling
- 5 her in advance whenever certain banks and markets
- 6 would be inaccessible to her so that she could
- 7 plan accordingly.
- 8 And whereas Jamie epitomizes the
- 9 professionalism in humanity that NBC prides
- 10 itself on.

11	And whereas for the project, we watched
12	as
13	MS. SAMONS: I'm sorry.
14	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: (inaudible)
15	Jamie sustained herself on a steady diet of
16	caffeine and Skiddles, ironically while calming
17	frazzled nerves of our residents and business
18	owners.
19	Now, therefore, be resolved that we, the
20	Board of Commissioners, of the Narragansett Bay
21	Commission express our sincere appreciation to
22	Jamie Samons for outstanding work on the
23	Greenville Avenue project. Congratulations on a
24	job well done.

```
25 (APPLAUSE)
```

- 1 MS. SAMONS: Thank you.
- 2 (APPLAUSE)
- 3 COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: This one says:
- 4 Resolution of appreciation. Whereas in the
- 5 furtherance of NBC's revenue expansion goals and
- 6 economic development in the State of
- 7 Rhode Island, the NBC partnered with the State,
- 8 Town of Johnston, and Citizens Bank on a project

9	which involved the installation of sewers along
10	Greenville Avenue.
11	And who has the tight deadlines for the
12	project required almost constant construction
13	work in the Greenville Avenue neighborhood for 16
14	months and required Joe to work 10 to 12 hours a
15	day six days per week.
16	Whereas the project included almost daily
17	detours and traffic interruptions that
18	inconvenienced and infuriated many local
19	residents and businesses in the area.
20	Whereas NBC's construction residents'
21	representative Joe Moniz, the face of NBC during
22	the construction phase of the project, interacted
23	daily with Greenville Avenue residents and
24	businesses.
25	And whereas Joe calmly and patiently

## 13

- 1 explained the need for the project and apologized
- 2 for the interruption to the residents and
- 3 business owners that he challenged them day after

4 day.

- 5 And while Joe's charismatic personality
- 6 endeared him to those who would otherwise
- 7 confront him, reflecting positively on NBC.

8	And whereas Joe collaborated and coordinated					
9	with the construction companies, DOT, Providence					
10	Water Supply Board, National Grid to assist the					
11	concerns of residents and businesses while					
12	keeping the project moving.					
13	And whereas, as an example of Joe's					
14	dedication with the residents, with small					
15	children who was upset that the tractor trailer					
16	trucks were using neighborhood streets to avoid					
17	construction detours, he calmed the residents'					
18	fears and then went through the area himself to					
19	make the necessary changes to signage to ensure					
20	that this would not happen.					
21	Whereas Joe exemplifies the professionalism					
22	and dedication that NBC prides itself on.					
23	Whereas Joe gained many gray hairs during					
24	the project, NBC considers it a testament of					
25	Joe's restraint as his reputation as a diplomat					

- 1 grew throughout the course of the construction.
- 2 Now, therefore, it be resolved that we, the
- 3 Board of Commissioners of the Narragansett Bay
- 4 Commission, express our sincere appreciation to
- 5 Joe Moniz for his exemplary work on the

- 6 Greenville Avenue project. Congratulations on a
- 7 job well done.
- 8 (APPLAUSE)
- 9 MR. MONIZ: Thank you.
- 10 (WHEREUPON PICTURES WERE TAKEN)
- 11 MR. MONIZ: They forgot one thing. I
- 12 actually spoke to Jamie more than my wife during
- 13 this project.
- 14 MS. SAMONS: Joe is my work husband for
- 15 16 months. I miss you now. But let's not go
- 16 back to Johnston.
- 17 (APPLAUSE)
- 18 DIRECTOR MARSHALL: These resolutions
- 19 really are an understatement of the abuse that
- 20 the two of them took over the course of that time
- 21 period, and we really appreciate how they
- 22 performed. So thank you, guys.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: This was a very
- 24 difficult project. Deep dig, narrow streets,
- 25 Mayor, which was -- what can I use -- what word

- 1 can I use?
- 2 COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: Involved.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Involved.
- 4 Council-involvement. Ray and I spent nights

5	there from in the afternoon until when it got					
6	dark, constantly surveilling the area. At the					
7	end of the day, drive down Greenville Avenue					
8	today, it's a beautiful road.					
9	And all the consternation that was caused to					
10	that project is forgotten, which is usually the					
11	case in a infrastructure project. It was a					
12	tremendous success, and we're going to see the					
13	payoff on that for a long time in the future.					
14	Thank you very much Jamie and Joe.					
15	Okay. Moving along. Executive Director's					
16	report. Mr. Secretary, do you have a report for					
17	us today?					
18	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Yes. While I know					
19	we have a very busy agenda, I just want to hit a					
20	few of the highlights. And as a wrap up to the					
21	item we just finished, we had a cake made for Joe					
22	and Jamie, so here it is. You might want to come					
23	up and take a look at it later. I believe there					
24	are two little figures on it which are meant to					
25	represent Joe and Jamie. It's really well done.					
	ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500					

- 1 I don't know if that was part the Cake Wars
- 2 shows, but it's really cute. So you guys come up

3	and take a look at that. You can even do it					
4	during my report.					
5	Okay. So good news is that the RIPDES					
6	permit has been finalized, and both parties,					
7	meaning NBC and DEM, have signed, and that's					
8	after many months, I think maybe even years, of					
9	negotiations, and along with that comes the					
10	consent agreement. You'll hear a little more					
11	about the consent agreement as it applies to					
12	Phase III in a few minutes.					
13	It's a great team effort. I want to thank					
14	many individuals on our staff and entire sections					
15	of our staff from operations, engineering,					
16	environmental science, and legal for making this					
17	all happen. It was quite an undertaking.					
18	And, you know, we did manage to walk out of					
19	this with, I think, a really good permit that					
20	will probably last it's supposed to only last					
21	five years, but they usually go 10 or 15 or more.					
22	So we're going to live with the results of this					
23	for a long time.					
24	And I have to say, DEM was accommodating.					
25	We went back and forth a number of times, and I					

## 17

# 1 want to thank you them, too, publicly for their

2 open mindedness.

3	And over the course of the summer, since we					
4	last got together, the wastewater treatment					
5	facilities have been running really, really well.					
6	We're still in the nitrogen removal season. I					
7	mean, the effluent is just spectacular. There's					
8	no other word for it.					
9	Interceptor maintenance is doing a good job					
10	making sure that all the flows get to the plant.					
11	We had no dry weather overflows in the past few					
12	months.					
13	And construction and engineering, there are					
14	projects that we have just wrapped up. One is					
15	Greenville Avenue. We just talked about that.					
16	As well as Hartford Avenue. So we're done with					
17	those projects.					
18	And we are moving forward with a few others					
19	that you already approved, which is our study of					
20	the impact of climate change on our facilities					
21	and upgrading the UV, the ultraviolet					
22	disinfection system at Bucklin Point.					
23	We are going to finally begin construction					
24	on some projects that have been in the works for					
25	a long time. Moshassuck Valley Interceptor					

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	replacement, which is on the Central					
2	Falls/Lincoln line, as well as improvements to					
3	the 78-inch interceptor just down the stream from					
4	the Providence River Siphon, which, if you drive					
5	up Allens Avenue, which is essentially underneath					
6	195 where it crosses Allens Avenue.					
7	Those are two big projects that will keep us					
8	really busy for the next several months, at					
9	least. And you will be hearing more about those					
10	in future reports.					
11	CSO Phase III continues to make excellent					
12	progress. As I just mentioned, we now have a					
13	consent agreement with DEM. We'll go through the					
14	details in the CEO report. And we have been					
15	doing qualification selection and proposals and					
16	identifying a design team to work under Stantec					
17	for all the projects that will be part of Phase					
18	IIIA and IIIB.					
19	The finance group this summer has been busy					
20	working on pulling the audits together. You'll					
21	hear a presentation on those during finance, and					
22	it's all good news. And we are also beginning a					
23	new audit process in accordance with 2016					
24	legislation that the General Assembly passed.					
25	RI Law 42-155-6, which is the Quasi Public					

18

## ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

Accountability and Transparency Act. It will be
 conducted by or through the State OMB. It will
 start in the next couple of weeks. We've already
 begun preparation for it. It's focused on bond
 issuance costs, purchasing and capital asset
 management.

7 Environmental science and compliance. In 8 that division they've spent a lot of time out 9 there sampling this summer, both at the plants 10 and in the receiving waters, the upper bay, the 11 Providence River. And we continue to see great 12 improvements in water quality as a result of all 13 the investments that this organization and others 14 have made. 15 Now that the new permit and the consent 16 agreement has been signed, their next task will 17 be to generate our updated strategic plan, which 18 will then come before you for final approval. 19 In executive, IT is now in executive among 20 many other projects they've been spending some 21 time adapting some of our vehicles installing 22 hands-free capability in them. Because, as you

know, the new state law requires hands-free

24 operation at all times. So we have to

25 communicate back and forth between the vehicles

1	and home base a lot, and we need to make that					
2	change so that our employees don't get themselves					
3	in a situation they shouldn't be in.					
4	HR has been creating statistics for annual					
5	EEO report. And in working with the employees as					
6	we transition from United Health Care to Blue					
7	Cross healthcare coverage, labor relations has a					
8	meeting with the union members to go over the new					
9	features to the Collective Bargaining Agreement,					
10	which went into effect July 1.					
11	And in legal, they spent a significant					
12	amount of time and effort wrapping up that permit					
13	and the consent agreement negotiation process,					
14	there was a lot of back and forth over the last					
15	few months crossing the Ts, dotting the Is,					
16	adding a word, deleting a word. But that has all					
17	worked out really well.					
18	Also, in legal, you probably remember that					
19	as a result of this new fiscal year and the new					
20	budget, Laurie Horridge's title was changed to					
21	Director of Administration, and she is no longer					
22	our general counsel. That is now Jen Harrington.					
23	I'm sure you know that, as well.					

- 24 So what we did is we hired a chief legal
- 25 counsel to replace Jen in her old role, and I

1	want to introduce you to that person, Holly				
2	Ialongo. Holly, will you stand up, please.				
3	(APPLAUSE)				
4	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Some of you might				
5	remember Holly. She used to work here many years				
6	ago. Fifteen, Holly?				
7	HOLLY: Eighteen.				
8	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Eighteen years ago				
9	she went off and had her family. She has a				
10	beautiful family now, and we finally convinced				
11	her to come back and work for us full time. And				
12	we're lucky to have her, and she's going to be a				
13	great asset to the staff and to the Board.				
14	HOLLY: Thank you, Ray.				
15	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: You're welcome,				
16	Holly. That's true. Over those 18 years, as Vin				
17	just pointed out, we utilized Holly on several				
18	occasions to help with different items for				
19	situations that she was familiar with, you know,				
20	before she left. So she's had a continuity of a				
21	relationship with us. And we tried a few times				
22	to get her back here, and we were finally				

- 23 successful. I'm proud of that fact.
- Let's see. We had one item under public
- 25 relations. The Chairman will talk about that in

1	his report. That's the land trust thing.					
2	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: The what?					
3	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: The land trust.					
4	I'll let you do that under your report. That's					
5	it, Mr. Chairman. I'll be quiet now.					
6	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay. All right.					
7	Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Next item, item number					
8	five, Committee Reports and Action Items					
9	Resulting. First committee reporting is					
10	Construction Engineering and Operations					
11	Committee. Commissioner McQueen, you have a					
12	report for us today?					
13	COMMISSIONER McQUEEN: Yes, I do. We					
14	have four items for action. The first one is					
15	Review and Approval of Resolution 2018,					
16	authorization to amend project 201.00C, Fiscal					
17	Year '17, Fields Point Waste Water Facility					

- 18 Improvements, Mr. Chairman, I make a motion.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a motion
- 20 to move Resolution 2018:24, seconded by

- 21 Commissioner Montanari. Discussion on the
- 22 motion, Secretary.
- 23 DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Yes. Both this
- item and the next item, I'll set the stage here.
- 25 Every fiscal year we try to come up with a series

1	of projects both within the collection system and				
2	in the treatment plants that we've identified				
3	from our asset management program so we can make				
4	repairs and upgrades and stay ahead of things so				
5	they don't get out of hand and grow to an even				
6	bigger problem.				
7	Back in 2017 you gave us authorization to do				
8	a number of projects. We have done several of				
9	the items included in that resolution. We've				
10	moved a couple out. And what we're asking for				
11	here is an additional \$130,000 to install some of				
12	the equipment you gave us authorization to				
13	purchase back in 2017.				
14	So we analyzed the problem, we acquired the				
15	equipment under the original authorization, and				
16	now we're asking for money, \$130,000, to hire a				
17	contractor to actually install these air-handling				
18	units that are associated with the tunnel pump				
19	station and the tunnel pump station screening				

20	facility.
----	-----------

- 21 So it's as simple as that, and that will
- 22 bring the total authorization for this work up to
- 23 \$650,000 from \$520,000. In a nutshell that's it.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a motion
- and a second to approve Resolution 2018:24.

1	Further discussion on the resolution? Is there				
2	further discussion on the resolution?				
3	(NO RESPONSE)				
4	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Hearing none, all				
5	those that are in favor will say aye.				
6	(VOICE VOTE)				
7	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Are there any				
8	opposed?				
9	(NO RESPONSE)				
10	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: There are none				
11	opposed and the motion carries. Further report,				
12	Mr. Chairman.				
13	COMMISSIONER McQUEEN: Review and				
14	approve Resolution 2018:25; Authorization to				
15	negotiate a contract for engineering services for				
16	project 202.00D, fiscal year '19, Fields Point				
17	Waste Water Treatment Facility Improvement. And				

18	8	]	[ move	to	approve.
----	---	---	--------	----	----------

19	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a motion
20	by Commissioner McQueen, seconded by Commissioner
21	Montanari to approve Resolution 2018:25.
22	Discussion on the matter.
23	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Yes. We have
24	identified 15 tasks that we need further

25 evaluated at Field's Point plant. This is for

ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	this current fiscal year, FY 2019. In order to
2	hire a firm to look into these matters in more
3	detail for us and come up with solutions, we had
4	a request for proposals. We got four
5	submissions. They were evaluated by in-house
6	committee. They've identified Wright Pierce as
7	the best firm to provide the services for this
8	work.
9	The total we're asking for is \$336,691. And
10	Wright Pierce will evaluate all the needs,
11	develop the solutions to address the problems
12	we've identified, and to report the findings to
13	us in some type of priority ranking so we can
14	then determine which ones we're going to move
15	forward with and which ones can maybe wait
16	awhile.

- 17 And any further work beyond this we will
- 18 come back to you for additional authorization.
- 19 That is what is contained in Resolution 2018:25.
- 20 We ask for your approval.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a motion,
- 22 we have a second, you've heard the explanation.
- 23 Are there any comments or questions with regard
- 24 to Resolution 2018:25?
- 25 (NO RESPONSE)

- 1 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Comments,
- 2 questions regarding Resolution 25?
- 3 (NO RESPONSE)
- 4 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Hearing none, all
- 5 of those that are in favor will say aye.
- 6 (VOICE VOTE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Are there any
- 8 opposed?
- 9 (NO RESPONSE)
- 10 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: There are none
- 11 opposed, and that motion carries. Further
- 12 report, Mr. Chairman.
- 13 COMMISSIONER McQUEEN: Review and
- 14 approval of Resolution 2018:26; authorization to

- 15 acquire property and/or temporary and permanent
- 16 easements through condemnation or negotiation for
- 17 Phase III CSO projects, and I move approval.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a motion
- 19 to approve Resolution 2018:26 seconded by
- 20 Commissioner Montanari and Commissioner Farnum.
- 21 Explanation from the Executive Director.
- 22 DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Yes. This is a
- 23 request to allow the Executive Director and the
- 24 Chairman to move forward with acquiring property
- and/or temporary and permanent easements through

1	either condemnation or the negotiation process
2	for Phase III of the CSO project.
3	You gave that permission, for Phase II, that
4	was in Resolution 2011:04, and it worked out
5	really well where we were able to acquire the
6	properties we needed without having to come back
7	to the Board.
8	We will, of course, comply with all state
9	laws and applicable NBC rules and regulations in
10	acquiring the property rights, which includes,
11	but is not limited to, offering fair compensation
12	for said acquisitions as established by
13	appraisals obtained for that purpose.

- 14 And this authority would only pertain to
- 15 Phase III CSO projects. This is not for all the
- 16 other projects that we need easements and the
- 17 takings on when we come back to you for those at
- 18 the appropriate time.
- 19 Anything we do under this authorization
- 20 strictly for Phase III CSO will be reported to
- 21 you during each Executive Director's report to
- 22 the Board. So that is what is contained in
- resolution 2018:26, and we request your approval.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay. So you have
- 25 we have a motion and a second to approve

- 1 Resolution 2018:26. You've heard the Executive
- 2 Director's explanation. Are there any comments
- 3 or concerns with regard to Resolution 2018:26?
- 4 (NO RESPONSE)
- 5 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Hearing none, all
- 6 of those that are in favor will say aye.
- 7 (VOICE VOTE)
- 8 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Are there any
- 9 opposed?
- 10 (NO RESPONSE)
- 11 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: There are none

- 12 opposed, and the motion carries. Further report,
- 13 Commissioner McQueen.
- 14 COMMISSIONER McQUEEN: Last one.
- 15 Review and approval of resolution 2018:27;
- 16 authorization of engineering services for CSO
- 17 program Contract 308.00D-design service for
- 18 Phase 3 near service facilities, tunnel and
- 19 tunnel pump station. And I move approval.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a motion
- to approve Resolution 2018:27 seconded by
- 22 Commissioner Montanari and Commissioner Farnum.
- 23 Okay. So before we vote on this particular item,
- 24 we're going to take -- because of the magnitude
- 25 of this resolution, this involves a

- 1 \$35 million --
- 2 DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Request.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: I'm sorry.
- 4 DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Request.
- 5 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Yes, request. It
- 6 involves a \$35 million request in furtherance of
- 7 CSO Phase 3. We'd like to have a presentation
- 8 made by Stantec Pare Group so the Commissioners
- 9 understand exactly where these monies are
- 10 intended to be spend.

11	So, Sean, are you going to give the
12	presentation?
13	MR. SEARLES: Yes, sir.
14	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Do you want to
15	bring down the screen? Rich, where are you?
16	You're going to bring the screen down, Rich?
17	MR. SEARLES: Good morning, everybody.
18	I'm Sean Searles. I'm a Stantec program manager
19	for the Phase 3 program. I last briefed the
20	Board back in April 2017. I'm here today to give
21	you an update on the progress that's been made on
22	the project over the last 18 months.
23	I just want to take a quick look at looking
24	back at some key dates. As you will recall, back
25	in the Spring of 2017, the Board gave approval to

1 1

a

**C** . . . .

### ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

- 1 NBC to proceed with the conceptual design for the
- 2 Phase 3A facilities. Subsequent to that
- 3 decision, NBC agreed with DEM to also advance the
- 4 design to the Phase 3B facilities. I'll talk a
- 5 little bit about that when I get to the schedule
- 6 and what the impact of that is.
- 7 For of the last 18 months, the consulting
- 8 team and NBC staff have been working on the

9	design for both the Phase 3A and B facilities.
10	Just to remind the Board, the Phase 3
11	program is broken up into four subphases. That
12	was a recommendation that came out of the
13	reevaluation phase, and it was based on
14	mitigating repair impacts to basically phase out
15	and spread out the compliance time line and the
16	schedule for completing the work under Phase 3.
17	Just a couple of key dates to take a look at
18	here. Ray mentioned, I think, already to the
19	Board that one of the big things that started
20	this year, we had informational session back in
21	January of this year to introduce the work ahead
22	to the engineering community. Over the last
23	several months, we've been going through
24	qualifications and a proposal process to bring on
25	board design consultants that will be responsible

- 1 for design of all those Phase 3A and B
- 2 facilities.
- 3 We anticipate giving notice of award in the
- 4 next few days to those selected design
- 5 consultants, moving ahead, getting them under
- 6 contract by the end of the year and getting the
- 7 work underway.

8	A couple of other big things here. Back in
9	November of 2017, RIDEM did approve the
10	reevaluation report, which was the plan that I
11	briefed you on back in the Spring of 2017. As
12	Ray indicated, very successful negotiation that
13	NBC had with DEM to revise the consent agreement
14	and protecting those dates that the Board had
15	been most interested in in terms of the
16	compliance time line. So we had a very favorable
17	outcome from that process.
18	Just a reminder, our contract has been
19	amended now eight times since the reevaluation
20	phase. The work that we've been doing over the
21	last 18 months was being done under Amendments 7
22	and 8. That will result in a design criteria for
23	a conceptual design for the Phase 3A and 3B
24	programs.
25	A lot of work underneath our contract in

- 1 this phase; just a few highlights there. One of
- 2 the things that we have spent a great deal of
- 3 time on is the Geological Exploration Program. A
- 4 lot of underground work in this program,
- 5 including the Pawtucket tunnel, the construction

6	of a number of shafts associated with that, and
7	the pump station. So we want to be very certain
8	that we understand the geological conditions and
9	that we give those folks who will be bidding on
10	this work the best possible information we can to
11	reduce the risk to NBC in progressing those
12	projects.
13	As I said, the key dates in the schedule,
14	RIDEM did approve the reevaluation plan. We got
15	approval for the consent agreement modifications
16	back in August. And the design criteria part
17	which will be a culmination over the last 18
18	months of work will be delivered in November.
19	Total contract value to date for NBC Pare
20	has been 17.8 million.
21	So a few highlights. Again, the regulatory
22	approval of the Phase 3 plan was a big one. The
23	consent agreement modifications, the extensive
24	geological exploration program. We did in this
25	next phase of design, this conceptual design,

- 1 advance and refine many of the program elements
- 2 that you've been previously briefed on and came
- 3 up with some good options and alternatives as we
- 4 get more into the details of the design work.

5	So this plan here did all the
6	Commissioners get a copy? All the
7	Commissioners this is a very hard slide to
8	read. But the handout was given previously.
9	It's a little bit clearer in terms of what the
10	program elements are.
11	Just to highlight, as you look, the blue
12	boxes are the Phase A facilities, and then the
13	magenta or pink box highlights the Phase B
14	facilities that we're working on right now.
15	The big thing is, obviously, is the
16	Pawtucket tunnel. This is that line running
17	here, the blue and white checkered line running
18	north/south here. The pump station location is
19	located right here. That will be the large shaft
20	for the tunnel and then the receiving shaft up
21	here at the end of the tunnel.
22	You can see the four red dots there. They
23	indicate locations of the drop shafts for the
24	tunnel. And the yellow dots represent the launch
25	and receiving shafts.

- 1 This is the stub tunnel here. That's a
- 2 Phase 3, a sub Phase 3 project, and then you see

3	another little thing that looks like a tunnel
4	here. That's actually part that's an addit
5	tunnel to convey flow from one of the outfalls
6	here into the Pawtucket tunnel.

7	Nothing fundamentally has changed in terms
8	of the program components here. Some of the
9	things that have changed, one of the big things
10	is we straightened out the alignment of the
11	tunnel. The tunnel was previously aligned along
12	public rights-of-way, and with some feedback that
13	we had gotten from our engineering, our tunneling
14	engineers, whatnot, we wanted to straighten that
15	tunnel alignment out a little bit.
16	Also, what is changed is some of the
17	locations for the near surface facilities. We
18	looked for optimal locations where we can site
19	these facilities, where we will minimize impact
20	to local residents and businesses, particularly
21	during the construction phase for the program.
22	So the Pawtucket tunnel, this is the big
23	signature piece of the Phase 3A program. As I
24	indicated, the tunnel alignment has been refined,
25	the launch and receiving shafts have been located

# 35

# 1 to minimize disruption during construction.

2 One of the good things that happened is 3 we're able to actually raise, during this last 4 phase of the design, raise the tunnel elevation 5 by 50 feet. That's provided us with some other 6 benefits that we'll talk about when I discuss the 7 pump station. 8 We basically made the tunnel shorter and 9 fatter. Now, the regulatory requirements on this 10 program we had to store a specific storage volume 11 of water during wet weather events. In order to 12 maintain that storage volume, when we shortened 13 the length of the tunnel, we had to make it 14 fatter to hold that volume. That's one of the 15 changes from the last time that I briefed. 16 So this is a nice aerial view of the main 17 components of the program. Oops. Sorry about 18 that. You can see here, here's the Bucklin Point 19 treatment plant. This is the site where we're 20 locating the launch shaft for the Pawtucket 21 tunnel and the pump station. The purple line 22 going up here, that's the Pawtucket tunnel. 23 That's the addit tunnel that I described 24 previously. There's the stub tunnel coming in 25 here. And this will be a force main that will

### ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	convey the flow from the pump station down to the
2	treatment plant for the treatment of the flows.
3	COMMISSIONER NATHAN: Where is the Apex
4	store.
5	MR. SEARLES: Excuse me?
6	COMMISSIONER NATHAN: Where is the Apex
7	store?
8	MR. SEARLES: It's right up here.
9	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: That would be a
10	good location for the shaft, I mean for a
11	ballpark.
12	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: They already did
13	the shaft there.
14	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Somebody got the
15	shaft. I don't know who got the shaft, but
16	somebody got it.
17	MR. SEARLES: So a couple of important
18	points about the revised launch shaft site.
19	Again, the tunnel depth has been reduced. And
20	the good news about that is there's a number of
21	reasons for siting the tunnel pump station the
22	site indicated here on this diagram.
23	But being able to raise the actual height of
24	the tunnel in the pump station has allowed us now
25	to eliminate, actually, one floor of the pump

1 station and associated pumping equipment. So 2 that's a net benefit and that's savings to the 3 program, the total impact of which will come out 4 when we revise the construction cost estimate of 5 the program next month. 6 But what it does is gives us at the site 7 here one contiguous facility here for the outfall 8 of 218 facilities as well as the pump station. 9 So this will greatly reduce the impact to Bucklin 10 Point during construction. And then I'm going to 11 tell you about a project that wasn't part of this 12 plan the last time we briefed it and now is part 13 of the plan, and it has to do with improvements 14 of the treatment plant. 15 It would have been a very challenging 16 construction period at Bucklin Point if we had to 17 locate the pump station there. So being able to 18 put it just north of the plant is a good thing. 19 One of the key decisions that NBC made in 20 the last 18 months is what style of pump station 21 do we have. The Providence tunnel has a cabin 22 style pump station. The staff was happy with 23 that; there's no real reason to move away from 24 that. But one of the things we're able to do is

show that, through a life cycle cost analysis,

1	and because, again, we're able to raise the
2	height of the tunnel by 50 feet, we're able to
3	put in a shaft styled pump station.
4	And this overall is a net benefit because
5	it's a dryer enclosure than the cabin style,
6	there will be less corrosion on equipment, so it
7	has a better life cycle cost associated with it
8	than the cabin style.
9	The NBC staff was also able to go on some
10	tours down to Atlanta to view three of these
11	styles of pump stations just to make sure that
12	they were comfortable with how they were
13	configured and how they would operate. So that
14	was an important decision. It's different than
15	what was under consideration last time.
16	Now, this is a project that's been added.
17	One of the things that NBC had to do, there was a
18	notice of violation that required them to take a
19	look at the plant's capacity to meet its
20	treatment requirements, particularly during
21	periods of enhanced flow during wet weather time.
22	So once the pump station and tunnel is
23	online, there's going to be an increased flow to

- 24 the plant at times, and there were some concerns
- that they would be able to meet their permit

1	limits. So this project has been added. There
2	was a stress test done that led to some
3	alternatives that were considered; NBC made the
4	decision to move ahead with this particular
5	alternative which includes the addition of two
6	final clarifiers. They would be located up here.
7	Right in this area.
8	This will improve flow distribution, the
9	final clarifiers. But this project also gives
10	NBC the ability to take a look at some systematic
11	issues that they've been having at Bucklin plant
12	and address them as part of this project. This
13	is an addition and this is a project that
14	we'll get going on fairly soon.
15	We're already talking to the design
16	consultant about getting this project underway.
17	Because we want to try to get these clarifiers on
18	line before the tunnel and pump station comes
19	online.
20	I don't have an update to the cost estimate
21	that was given. This is a slide that I shared in
22	the spring of 2017. I can tell you that we're on

- 23 plan, we're on budget from what we briefed you on
- 24 previously. We will be doing an update as part
- 25 of the issuance of the design criteria port next

### 40

1 month, and we will be able to come back to the 2 Board and give you an update on what costs are 3 looking like at that point. 4 So just looking ahead, where are we going 5 next? Well, this is a schedule. As I said, one 6 of the biggest things that we're going to be 7 focusing on in the next 27 months is advancing 8 the designs for all the near surface facilities 9 for Phases A and B. And we'll also take the 10 tunnel and pump station to 30 percent design. 11 And then we'll go through a procurement process 12 to move those forward. 13 One of the key decisions that has been made 14 is NBC has decided to use the design build 15 delivery method for the Pawtucket tunnel. And 16 that's a risk management decision. We felt that 17 that was an important consideration here to put 18 the management of that underground risk in the 19 hands of the entity that's best able to control 20 it, and that would be a design builded.

- 21 So all the other projects will go
- traditional design bid build. That one will go

23 design build.

- 24 COMMISSIONER MILAS: Could you simplify
- this for me?

#### ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

- 1 MR. SEARLES: Sure.
- 2 COMMISSIONER MILAS: So can you just --
- 3 I'm an old Rhode Island person, so tell me if
- 4 it's near Almacs or something but. Can you tell
- 5 me where it's starting? Like, it's starting
- 6 at -- a visual. Like, is it going down School
- 7 Street and then it's going to go by the Boys Club
- 8 and it's going to end up -- like, by Phase.
- 9 MR. SEARLES: Okay.
- 10 COMMISSIONER MILAS: Just to give it
- 11 more context for me.
- 12 MR. SEARLES: Let me go back to this.
- 13 COMMISSIONER MILAS: I can't read maps.
- 14 MR. SEARLES: Okay. So let me just
- 15 tell you where we are here. So let's see. So
- 16 this is the Bucklin Point treatment plant here.
- 17 Right. So right here is where the tunnel will
- 18 start. This is just north of the Bucklin Point
- 19 treatment plant. There's a landfill here that's

- 20 part of the NBC property, and NBC owns property
- 21 up here at the outfall 218 facility.
- 22 So the tunnel will start here, and go up the
- 23 Seekonk River, up here, and continue on up just
- 24 under almost to Central Falls here, just
- 25 underneath -- just above the Slater Mill falls up

1	there. So that's where the tunnel goes. So is
2	that what your question was?
3	COMMISSIONER MILAS: Um-hum. Yeah. So
4	it's not going School Street it's not going to
5	abut, like, the Boys and Girls Clubs or the new
6	boat launches on the Blackstone, like right
7	MR. SEARLES: It will be underground
8	there.
9	COMMISSIONER MILAS: Right. But will
10	it disrupt their activities.
11	MR. SEARLES: No. They won't know
12	anything is going on. That will be underground
13	there. There are other places along the
14	locations of the drop shafts where the near
15	surface facilities are sited. Those are being
16	finalized.
17	Ray mentioned in one of the items before the

18 Board was to give him and the Chairman the

19 authority to acquire certain sites, facilities,

20 and get easements. So, you know, once those are

21 finally determined, you know -- and we've taken a

22 hard look at the impacts.

23 One of the things the team has done a lot of

24 work on is trying to site these facilities in

25 ways that will minimize impact to businesses and

#### ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	local communities. It's hard to do on big
2	construction projects. But the good news is most
3	of this stuff is underground. It's that
4	near-surface stuff that will cause the disruption
5	and will be visible to the citizens.
6	So this is what the schedule looks like. As
7	I indicated, the revised consent agreement
8	basically keeps the total compliance time frame
9	intact with the Phase 3 program finishing in
10	2041. We'll be advancing the design here.
11	You can see some construction activity
12	starting in 2021. We have some projects, like
13	some green infrastructure projects that will go
14	out early that we will begin. So there will be
15	contracts that will come before the Board for
16	approval for those.

17	You see these red boxes here? These reflect
18	what's in the revised consent agreement. It
19	basically puts NBC in control of its own destiny.
20	It allows them to, basically, reevaluate the
21	impact of the improvements that are being made in
22	Phase A, take a look at the financial condition
23	of the rate payers, and then make an assessment
24	determination on whether or not they want to
25	accelerate construction for Phase 3B and move it

## 44

1 back.

2	Ray has indicated that there's, you know, we
3	want to have some construction-ready projects
4	ready. That's one of the reasons for advancing
5	the Phase 3B design. If it's financially
6	beneficial for NBC to do that work sooner rather
7	than according to this current compliance time
8	line, then they could make that decision and
9	recommendation to DEM can move that construction
10	forward.
11	This is what the activity schedule looks
12	like for Phase 3A and B. Going up you can see
13	the black indicates design phases, the darker
14	blue is the bid phase, and then the light blue is

15 the construction phase.

16	You can see down here on the left side
17	there's a lot of projects underway. These are
18	all the projects associated with the tunnel pump
19	station and the near surface facilities. There's
20	green infrastructure projects in here, as well.
21	Up here you see, this one looks a little
22	funky with the bid and the design still
23	overlapping. That's reflective of the design
24	build process. During the bidding phase, the
25	design builders will be advancing the designs so

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	that they can give the Commission a good
2	guaranteed maximum prize.
3	And then once they're awarded the contract,
4	there will be some design some residual design
5	left to be completed before they actually begin
6	construction.
7	This is the period that would be covered
8	under the amendment that's before you today, the
9	next 27 months worth of work. And as you can
10	see, there's a lot of activity; a lot to get
11	done.
12	One of the things that I am most vigilant
13	about is schedule. We have a very tight

14	schedule. And the critical path of the schedule
15	runs through the design construction of the
16	tunnel pump station. So we've got to be on our
17	game here and do the very best work we can, you
18	know, to meet this schedule. I'm confident that
19	we can, it's just that it is a tight schedule at
20	this point.
20 21	this point. So I mentioned the delivery method. Design
	-
21	So I mentioned the delivery method. Design
21 22	So I mentioned the delivery method. Design build, it will be a lump sum prescriptive design

1	issue an RFQ, we'll develop a short list from
2	that. We don't want a short list of more than
3	three, or we will probably limit the number of
4	interested design builders. And then we will
5	issue an RFP.
6	Those short-listed firms will respond to
7	RFP, they'll have proprietary meetings with NBC
8	staff during the bidding phase where NBC staff
9	can give them input and specifications that are
10	important to them, as well as solicit ideas from
11	them on how best to progress the project.

12	And once that process runs its course, NBC
13	will make its decision on who to award the
14	contract to, and we'll move on from there.
15	What we'll be responsible for is the
16	development of the geotechnical baseline report,
17	which is sort of the culmination of all the
18	information that's coming out of our geological
19	exploration program.
20	We'll also put together the bridging
21	documents. That will be documents used by the
22	design builders in terms of the bidding phase.
23	This is what the procurement schedule looks
24	like for the tunnel. Early next year, probably
25	end of January, early February we'll have an

#### 47

1	information session to give a detailed briefing
2	to interested parties on what's coming up and the
3	criteria for selection.
4	An RFQ will come out in the second quarter
5	for next year. We'll have a short list completed
6	by the third quarter, issue the RFQP in the first
7	quarter of 2020, and we anticipate a notice of
8	award in the second quarter of 2020.
9	There's a lot to get done here. I won't do

10 into the details here. But these are the major

11	components of the work that the consultant team
12	will take on in the next 27 months. Coming out
13	of that, as I indicated, will be 100 percent
14	design for the Phase III A and B facilities, as
15	well as 30 percent design for the tunnel and pump
16	station.
17	These are the key regulatory milestones that
18	are now memorialized in the revised consent
19	agreement. It shows you when designs need to be
20	completed for the Phase 3A and B facilities, as
21	well as the construction complete dates for each
22	of the subsequent phases, and that's B, C, and D.
23	With that, I'd be happy to take any questions
24	that you have.
25	COMMISSIONER MONTANARI: You keep

1 mentioning 50 feet.	How many feet (inaudible).
-----------------------	----------------------------

- 2 From what?
- 3 MR. SEARLES: From what? Chris, what's
- 4 the total --
- 5 MR. FENNEY: About 100.
- 6 MR. SEARLES: About 100?
- 7 MR. FENNEY: 150 feet deep.
- 8 MR. SEARLES: So it would be at

9 150-foot depth.

10	COMMISSIONER MONTANARI: My next
11	question would be, in the future, are there any
12	other possibilities that you have (inaudible)?
13	MR. SEARLES: Is there a possibility to
14	go back to where we were?
15	COMMISSIONER MONTANARI: No. If you
16	could go in the future just down 50 feet?
17	MR. SEARLES: Well, you can't do that.
18	We have to go into this is a deep rock storage
19	tunnel, so we've got to get down into the deep
20	rock where the conditions are most favorable for
21	the tunnel. That's why we're at the depth that
22	we're at.
23	COMMISSIONER MONTANARI: I know that,
24	but is there any possibility that you could go

25 50 feet down again in the future?

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

49

1	MR. SEARLES: Do you want to take that
2	on?
3	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: You mean we may
4	have to go deeper? Is that what you're talking
5	about?
6	COMMISSIONER MONTANARI: Whatever you

7 have to do. I mean, we save money by going with

8	the change. Is there any possibility in the
9	future we could save money again by going
10	MR. SEARLES: Going shallower?
11	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: I don't think so.
12	MR. SEARLES: Not at this point. We're
13	really atop of rock. So we've got to yeah.
14	The good news is we know a lot about the
15	geological conditions because of the Providence
16	tunnel experience, and now we've had a pretty
17	extensive geological program to date. So I'm
18	pretty confident in terms of where we are now for
19	depth of the tunnel.
20	COMMISSIONER MONTANARI: Thank you.
21	COMMISSIONER NATHAN: Are there a lot
22	of companies in the tunnel building business?
23	Are they those that are in that specialized
24	kind of business, are they busy around the United
25	States so that we may have a problem where we
	ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

- can't keep to our schedule because they're that 1
- 2 busy?
- 3 MR. SEARLES: Here's the good news.
- We've entertained a lot of interest already from 4
- 5 interested design -- this is a project that in

6	the industry it's on a lot of people's target
7	list. And we've entertained six or eight firms
8	so far that came in wanting to know more details
9	about it. So we anticipate very good response
10	here.
11	To answer your question though, there is a
12	lot of work going on around the same time that
13	this project would go out to bid, but certain
14	firms like to do certain kinds of tunnel, some
15	can distinguish themselves in soft tunneling
16	conditions. This is a deep rock tunnel. I don't
17	anticipate that we would be delayed in any way by
18	not having enough interest in this project.
19	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Any other
20	questions?
21	(NO RESPONSE)
22	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Okay. So what
23	resolution 2018:27 does is it refers back to a
24	prior resolution by the Board, 2017:15, and that
25	was back on April 20 of 2017. When you gave us

### 51

- 1 that authorization, we indicated that we would be
- 2 back somewhere in mid 2018 asking for an
- 3 additional \$36.8 million to complete design work

4 for a 3A facility.

5	Today we're asking you for \$35 million, and
6	that will include to design both Phases 3A and
7	3B, the Bucklin Point upgrades, to advance the
8	design of the tunnel and the tunnel pump station
9	to a preliminary level of design, and to provide
10	procurement services for the design build tunnel
11	team, as well as the tunnel pump station design
12	consultant.
13	So just to link it back to what we told you
14	we'd be asking for. We're on target and just
15	about the schedule that we said we'd be here. So
16	we respectfully request your approval of
17	resolution 2018:27.
18	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: All right. So we
19	have a motion and a second to approve Resolution
20	2018:27, further presentation, a couple of
21	questions. Are there anymore comments or
22	questions or discussion with regard to Resolution
23	2018:27? Commissioner Milas.
24	COMMISSIONER MILAS: As this
25	progresses, is there a way to let the
	ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

- 1 Commissioners know what the financial impact of
- 2 our rate payers are as we're going forward?

CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Well, yes. I'm

4	glad you asked that question. One of the things
5	that I wanted to make clear that came out during
6	the CEO committee meeting was that all the costs
7	associated with this project as displayed on the
8	presentation are all present dollars, present day
9	dollars. We don't know what the cost is going to
10	do. We also have we haven't heard from our
11	the bidders in this particular project.
12	But I know that we do have and have done
13	some financial analysis. Where's Karen? Karen,
14	we have done some projections on this already,
15	haven't we?
16	MS. GIEBINK: Right. We've done some
17	preliminary projections based upon the cash flows
18	at the time, and the cash flows are modified
19	based upon changes to the program. We can update
20	the modeling so you have an idea of what the rate
21	payers' impacts are.
22	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: So with respect
23	your question, you want as the program gets
24	more defined and more developed
25	COMMISSIONER MILAS: Right.

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

## 53

# 1 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: -- you want a

to

2 report on the impacts?

3	COMMISSIONER MILAS: I mean, that is
4	our ultimate responsibility. We got a
5	presentation that it's going up 3 percent,
6	whatever, to the rate payers, you know, Karen.
7	So as the RFPs go out and then all of a sudden we
8	realize, you know, the burden gets bigger and
9	bigger on the rate payers, well, that could
10	evaluate how we want to go forward and which RFP
11	we want to approve and whatever. Because the
12	ultimate responsibility is to the rate payers,
13	and these are all the poorest communities that
14	are bearing this.
15	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: All right. So,
16	yeah, I think we can prepare as this gets a
17	little more defined as the design progresses, I
18	think the cost element will become clearer to us
19	and we'll able to do some projections and give
20	that to you as we get the information.
21	COMMISSIONER MILAS: Thank you.
22	MS. GIEBINK: I would like to add, too,
23	that we did file a letter of intent, a letter of
24	interest whether the EPA for the WIFIA program,
25	which would provide substantially subsidized

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	funding for this program and that will also
2	impact projections. When we have additional
3	information, we can update the model.
4	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: I think you heard
5	what the Executive Director said about having
6	shovel-ready programs for any of the
7	infrastructure funds that might become available
8	through the Federal Infrastructure Program. I
9	mean, all of these things will come clearer as we
10	move closer to construction, and we will update
11	you on and give you continuous projections on the
12	impacts of rates.
13	COMMISSIONER MILAS: Thank you.
14	COMMISSIONER NATHAN: Mr. Chairman, did
15	you get any answers back from the legislature as
16	far as their being helpful funding wise with us
17	on this.
18	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: You mean getting
19	state contributions to the program?
20	COMMISSIONER NATHAN: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Well, we haven't
22	been very successful, as you probably know, in
23	those requests. I ask every year. I always felt
24	strongly that the state has an obligation. This
25	is a statewide resource. People way beyond our

ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	service area benefit from the good work that the
2	Narragansett Bay Commission does, and so I've
3	always felt that the state should bear some
4	responsibility. And, of course, I have not been
5	successful through the years. I will ask again
6	this year, as well. Further discussion?
7	(NO RESPONSE)
8	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Hearing none, all
9	of those that are in favor of passage of
10	resolution 2018:27 will say aye.
11	(VOICE VOTE)
12	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Are there any
13	opposed?
14	(NO RESPONSE)
15	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: There are none
16	opposed and that motion carries. Is there
17	further report?
18	COMMISSIONER McQUEEN: That's all I
19	have, Chairman.
20	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Before we move on
21	the next committee reporting, I'd like to have
22	the attendance of Commissioner Ruggerio and
23	Commissioner DeRoche recorded as being present.
24	Okay. Next committee reporting is the Finance
25	Committee. Commissioner Andrade, do you have a

56

1 report for us today	1	report	for	us	today'
-----------------------	---	--------	-----	----	--------

- 2 COMMISSIONER ANDRADE: Yes, I do,
- 3 Mr. Chairman.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: You may proceed.
- 5 COMMISSIONER ANDRADE: We have five
- 6 items for action. First item is review and
- 7 approval of Resolution 2018:28, which is the
- 8 approval and acceptance of the Narragansett Bay
- 9 Commission comprehensive annual financial report
- 10 for the fiscal year ending 2018. It was a clean
- 11 audit, and I move approval of that Resolution.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a motion
- 13 to approve Resolution 2018:28, seconded by
- 14 Commissioner Kimball and Commissioner Rotella.
- 15 Discussion on the audit report.
- 16 (NO RESPONSE)
- 17 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Is there any
- 18 discussion? Are there any questions?
- 19 (NO RESPONSE)
- 20 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Hearing none, all
- 21 those that approve of Resolution 2018:28 will say
- 22 aye.

23 (VOICE VOTE)

#### CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Are there any

opposed?

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	(NO RESPONSE)
2	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: None opposed. The
3	motion carries.
4	COMMISSIONER ANDRADE: The next
5	Resolution is 2018:29, approval and acceptance of
6	the Narragansett Bay Commission single audit
7	report for fiscal year ending June 30th, 2018, a
8	short report. I move approval of that
9	Resolution.
10	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have motion to
11	approve Resolution 2018:29 which is approval of
12	the single audit report seconded by Commissioner
13	Farnum and Commissioner Kimball. Any questions
14	regarding the single audit report? Do we need
15	explanation of this, anyone?
16	(NO RESPONSE)
17	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: All of those that
18	are in favor will say aye.
19	(VOICE VOTE)
20	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Are there any
21	opposed?
22	(NO RESPONSE)

#### 23 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: None opposed, and

24 the motion carries.

25 COMMISSIONER ANDRADE: Next resolution,

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	Mr. Chairman, is Resolution 2018:30, approval and
2	acceptance of the Narragansett Bay Commission
3	nonunion defined benefit plan financial report of
4	fiscal year-ending June 30, 2018 and that report
5	I move approval of the resolution.
6	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a motion
7	to approve Resolution 2018:30 which is the
8	Narragansett Bay nonunion benefit plan report.
9	Are there any comments or questions regarding
10	this report? I do think it would be prudent to
11	talk a little bit about our position with regard
12	to the report.
13	COMMISSIONER ANDRADE: Does or auditor
14	want to say a couple of words about our position?
15	MS. GIEBINK: This is Kyle Connors.
16	He's from Hague, Sahady & Company.
17	MR. CONNORS: Good morning, everybody.
18	So this report in question is your nonunion
19	applied benefit plan report. Just to give you an
20	idea of where you were at last year versus where

- 21 you are at this year.
- Last year you were at 93 percent funding
- 23 level. This year you're up to 100.95 percent
- 24 funding, so you actually have a net pension asset
- as opposed to a net pension liability.

### 59

1	That's due to a couple of things. Last year
2	you had invested income of about 1.4 million.
3	This year you had investment income of about
4	1.3 million, so two very good years in a row for
5	your assets.
6	And, also, you were required to make a
7	contribution to your plan this year based on what
8	your actuary provided to you, and you overfunded
9	that by \$955,000, which the combination those two
10	things has led you to have a net payment asset.
11	There's a couple of important areas to bring
12	to your attention. Starting on page bear with
13	me page 13. So on page 13 at the bottom, you
14	can see that you have a net pension asset of
15	\$184,381. That's based on your assets working at
16	an investment rate of 6 percent.
17	States plan uses 7 percent, so you're using
18	a more conservative approach. So to still have a

net pension asset using a more conservative

approach that the state, is pretty impressive.
And just to give you some context about that
6 percent. Two years ago your assets worked at
10 percent. This past year your assets worked at
about 7.8 percent. So the last two years your
assets have done better than you projected they

## ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

#### 60

1 would.

2	Also, the majority of your assumptions. your
3	key assumptions that drive your total liability
4	are up to date. They're the most up to date
5	we've seen with our clients. The biggest one
6	being your mortality tables using the tables from
7	2017, which is the most recent ones available. I
8	mean, you're not going to see a big jump in your
9	liability based off changing your assumptions
10	because you're using the most up to date ones.
11	Any questions, I'd be happy to answer.
12	COMMISSIONER RUGGERIO: Actually, I
13	have a question. So the return that you said for
14	the last year, was that a net return exclusive of
15	fees.
16	MR. CONNORS: Yes. You can see that,

17 too, on page 19, the last four years, five

18	actually.
----	-----------

- 19 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Is there further
- 20 discussion with regard to the nonunion defined
- 21 benefit plan?
- 22 (NO RESPONSE)
- 23 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay. We have a
- 24 motion and a second to approve Resolution
- 25 2018:30. Further discussion?

- 1 (NO RESPONSE)
- 2 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Hearing none, all
- 3 of those that are in favor will say aye.
- 4 (VOICE VOTE)
- 5 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Are there any
- 6 opposed?
- 7 (NO RESPONSE)
- 8 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: There are none
- 9 opposed. That motion carries. Further new
- 10 business.
- 11 COMMISSIONER ANDRADE: The next
- 12 resolution is the approval of 2018:31, which is
- 13 the authorization to file a compliance filing for
- 14 rate relief related to debt service with Rhode
- 15 Island Public Utilities Commission. And I move
- 16 approval.

- 17 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a motion
- 18 to approve Resolution 2018:31 seconded by
- 19 Commissioner Kimball. By way of a brief
- 20 explanation, do you want me to make the
- 21 explanation? We're going to borrowing
- 22 \$45 million, Karen?
- 23 MS. GIEBINK: That's correct.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: About \$45 million.
- 25 That borrowing is going to impact the rate to the

- 1 extent of about 3.6 percent.
- 2 MS. GIEBINK: Correct again.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: That's going to be
- 4 our request. We're going to be soliciting the
- 5 Public Utilities Commission for a rate increase
- 6 of 3.6 percent for the purpose of debt service
- 7 only. Anything else I need to explain? Anything
- 8 else I should add, Karen?
- 9 MS. GIEBINK: No. You covered it.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: That's it in a
- 11 nutshell. That's it. Further discuss on the
- 12 matter? Further discussion on Resolution
- 13 2018:31?
- 14 (NO RESPONSE)

15	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: In such case, all
16	of those that are in favor of 2018:31 will say
17	aye.
18	(VOICE VOTE)
19	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Are there any
20	opposed?
21	(NO RESPONSE)
22	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: There are none
23	opposed and the motion carries. Further new
24	business.
25	COMMISSIONER ANDRADE: Yes. The final

1	resolution	for the	Finance	Commission	is the
---	------------	---------	---------	------------	--------

- 2 authorization to file a general filing for rate
- 3 relief and other related matters with the
- 4 Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission, and I
- 5 move approval of that.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a motion
- 7 to approve Resolution 2018:32 seconded by
- 8 Commissioners Kimball, Rotella, and Farnum.
- 9 Right?
- 10 COMMISSIONER FARNUM: Right.
- 11 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Do you want to
- 12 explain the difference in the two filings, Karen,
- 13 for us?

14 MS. GIEBINK: Sure. This is a full

15 rate case that will be addressing things other	r
---	---

16 than just debt service and debt service coverage.

17 We'll be looking at updating our rate base, we'll

- 18 be looking at some of the miscellaneous revenues,
- 19 we'll be making a -- we will be proposing a
- 20 slight tweak in the way that -- well, basically
- 21 it would be the elimination of the pretreatment
- 22 permit fees, incorporating that into the
- 23 consumption rate for the commercial and
- 24 industrial users, and a few other adjustments
- 25 overall for our cost of services.

ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

#### 64

#### 1 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: So Karen and I and

- 2 some staff have been discussing rate
- 3 restructuring. I think that's the word. Will
- 4 that be included in this filing --
- 5 MS. GIEBINK: Yes.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: -- when we come up
- 7 with a final plan?
- 8 MS. GIEBINK: Yes. That would be
- 9 included, as well. I don't believe that we are
- 10 looking at significant modifications to the rate
- 11 structure in terms of the recovery of costs

12	through the fixed and variable components.
13	Really, the single largest modification that
14	I think we're looking at is the rolling in of the
15	pretreatment permit fees into the consumption
16	based rate for nonresidential users.
17	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Right.
18	Commissioner Rotella.
19	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: Do you have any
20	idea how much the proposed rate increase will
21	generate or is scheduled to generate.
22	MS. GIEBINK: The actual rate increase
23	that we're looking at now, right now, the order
24	of magnitude is approximately 7 percent above
25	the on top of the debt service filing.

1	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: You figured in
2	debt service or is it separate from that?
3	MS. GIEBINK: I don't have my numbers
4	with me, but
5	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: Round numbers,
6	anybody.
7	MS. GIEBINK: The overall revenue
8	requirement, I can get you that figure. Probably
9	around there, if you do the math. Right?
10	Probably around 8 million. But it's combination

11 of factors. It's not just increasing the revenue

12 requirement. We've also had changes to our rate

13 base, so it's --

14	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: I'm just curious
15	how I know what's done is done, but I'm just
16	curious, if the state didn't take 5 million,
17	would we need the rate increase right now?
18	MS. GIEBINK: Yes.
19	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: I think the answer
20	to that is yes.
21	MS. GIEBINK: So that's in a different
22	bucket. That was in a restricted account. That
23	was cash in a restricted account. What we're
24	looking for here really is what we would generate

annually from user charges and miscellaneous

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

66

2	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA:	Okay.	Thank
	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA.	Окау.	папк

- 3 you.
- 4 MS. GIEBINK: Yup.
- 5 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay. Further
- 6 discussion, Resolution 2018:32. Further
- 7 discussion.

8 (NO RESPONSE)

9	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Hearing none, all
10	of those that are in favor will say aye.
11	(VOICE VOTE)
12	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Are there any
13	opposed?
14	(NO RESPONSE)
15	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: There are none
16	opposed, and that motion carries. Does that
17	conclude your report?
18	COMMISSIONER ANDRADE: It does conclude
19	my report.
20	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Thank you,
21	Chairman Andrade. Commissioner Campbell.
22	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: The Personnel
23	Committee met and approved a change to
24	engineering personnel a grade, which actually
25	saves money. So I don't think it requires any
	ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

- 1 Board approval.
- 2 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: So, basically, we
- 3 had a downgrading of one of the positions in our
- 4 engineering department from grade nine to grade
- 5 eight?
- 6 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Right.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: I don't think we

8	need the Board approval on that. So that
9	concludes your report?
10	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: That's it.
11	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Thank you very
12	much. Long Range Planning did not meet, nor did
13	Rules and Regulations, nor did the Ad Hoc
14	Committee on Ethics. Executive Committee has not
15	met this month over the summer.
16	Ad hoc Committee to study the acquisition of
17	Providence Water Supply Board. Chairman Carlino
18	is not with us today. He wanted to schedule a
19	meeting where's Laurie?
20	Laurie, he wanted to schedule a meeting of
21	the Ad Hoc Committee. Did he give you a date?
22	MS. HORRIDGE: No, not yet. Karen will
23	coordinate that.
24	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: So full
25	disclosure. Director of Administration for the
	ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

- 1 City of Providence contacted Laurie Horridge to
- 2 have a meeting about how we should proceed to
- 3 continue on with this discussion. There was a
- 4 meeting. Commissioner Carlino was present at the
- 5 meeting. There was really nothing to report,

6	just a discussion of how we might proceed. And
7	then he's going to call a meeting of the Ad Hoc
8	Committee to study the acquisition of PWSB and
9	have that discussion.
10	COMMISSIONER ROTELLA: Ad hoc.
11	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: The Ad Hoc
12	Committee. So that concludes that report.
13	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Just one
14	question. There was something before the
15	legislature
16	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: relative to
18	this. What happened with that?
19	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Yes. So, Laurie,
20	do you want to explain the Sheehan bill?
21	MS. HORRIDGE: You mean the Water
22	Supply Board?
23	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: The Water
24	Supply Board.
25	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: The Water Supply
	ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500
	69

- 1 Board. Sorry. Wrong item.
- 2 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Wasn't there
- 3 something before the legislature?
- 4 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Yes. We had a

5	couple of bills. We had our own bill.
6	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Right.
7	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: And the city had a
8	bill.
9	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: And the city had a
10	big. Our bill was very generic. Our bill just
11	said that they gave us authority to merge with
12	the required water agencies. It didn't say
13	anything about Providence or anyone else. It was
14	just very generic.
15	The city had a bill in that did a number of
16	different things. It was very unclear. Right?
17	I mean, I don't know what else to say. And I
18	don't think
19	MS. HORRIDGE: Well put.
20	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: I don't think it
21	got any consideration at all. Did it
22	MS. HORRIDGE: No. But neither of them
23	passed. That's the answer.
24	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: But there was
25	that was submitted all right. Compensation
	ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

- 1 Committee, Commissioner Kimball.
- 2 COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: The Compensation

3	Committee met on Tuesday, Sontamber 19 Put at
3	Committee met on Tuesday, September 18. But at
4	this time I'd like to recommend the Board enter
5	into Executive Session pursuant to Section
6	42-46-5 (A)(1) to discuss the job performance of
7	an employee. This employee has been notified in
8	advance of this meeting in accordance with the
9	statute. Do I hear a motion?
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So moved.
11	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: A motion moved to
12	Executive Section and seconded by Commissioner
13	Ruggerio and Commissioner Farnum.
14	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: All those in
15	favor will say aye.
16	(VOICE VOTE)
17	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: Any opposed?
18	(NO RESPONSE)
19	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: There are none
20	opposed and the motion carries. At this point I
21	would ask that the members of the public please
22	excuse themselves.
23	(COMMITTEE GOES INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION
24	AT 12:16 P.M.)
25	(REGULAR MEETING CONTINUED AT 12:46

71

1 P.M.)

2	(OPEN MEETING CONTINUED AT 12:46 P.M.)
3	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We're back now in
4	full session.
5	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: I recommend that
6	the minutes of the closed session will be sealed.
7	COMMISSIONER DICHIRO: So moved.
8	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: Do I have a
9	second?
10	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: Second.
11	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: All those in
12	favor.
13	(VOICE VOTE)
14	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: Any opposed?
15	(NO RESPONSE)
16	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: There are none
17	opposed. The motion carries.
18	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Okay. Does the
19	is there any further report?
20	COMMISSIONER KIMBALL: There is no
21	further report.
22	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Thank you. Moving
23	right along. Item number six is the legislative
24	report.
25	(ALL SPEAKING AT ONCE)
	ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: She retired about
2	two months ago.
3	MS. MACERONI: I need my report.
4	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Are you ready now?
5	MS. MACERONI: I'm ready now. Good
6	afternoon.
7	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Item number six,
8	the Legislative Report.
9	MS. MACERONI: Yes. I have one,
10	Mr. Commissioner.
11	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Proceed.
12	MS. MACERONI: Mr. Chairman, let me go
13	out and come back. Wait a minute. Okay. All
14	right. As you know, the General Assembly ended
15	in June, and by July, we were aware of what bills
16	had made it through, not only the General
17	Assembly, but the review of the Governor. And my
18	list is very brief.
19	But happy to say, Jen and I I'm out of
20	breath Jen and I Jen and I had a successful
21	session. No scoops. So we're happy about that.
22	And the first bill on your report is a bill
23	that the General Assembly approved to put a bond
24	referendum on the ballot in November for money
25	for clean water. So we're happy about that.

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1 There are two bills -- the next two bills 2 were bills that we worked on with the sponsors of 3 the bills, and we were successful in having our 4 concerns addressed. 5 Bill number two has to do with contractor 6 retainage, requiring all contractors -- not all 7 contractors -- requiring all entities to only 8 hold up to 5 percent contained. A lot of 9 agencies hold a lot more than that. We hold 10 5 percent, so we're already in compliance. But 11 we did have some concerns about the payment 12 schedule. And, also, we wanted to have the 13 ability to hold the final half a percent for a 14 year after the project was substantially complete 15 in case anything goes wrong. We were successful 16 in working with the sponsors and getting that 17 language in. 18 Bill number three has to do with tax sales. 19 This bill has been on my report for the past, I 20 think three years, Jen. And basically what it 21 calls for is expedited foreclosure procedures if 22 the home is vacant or under -- in disrepair. We 23 have never taken a stand on the bill. 24 Our only concern was that if the bill were

to pass, we wanted to make sure that we were

1	notified if this expedited foreclosure procedure
2	took place. Again, we were able to get an
3	amendment in which addressed that concern.
4	The other three bills, I call them
5	housekeeping bills. Nothing that really affects
6	the NBC, but things that we should know about,
7	like the changes to the notary statute allowing
8	town clerks to accept electronic documents.
9	And then the last two are a couple of study
10	commissions. One in particular is to study the
11	affect of wind turbines on all marine life. So I
12	think that will be kind of interesting.
13	So this is my last report. As some of you
14	know, I'm retiring on Friday, and I will be
15	autographing any copies. I will be at the back
16	of the room after the Board meeting and give you
17	my little Joanne Hancock, if you'd like.
18	But my last report, and I want you to know
19	that over the last couple of years, Jen
20	Harrington has been up there with me by my side
21	participating in the lobbying for the
22	Narragansett Bay Commission, and she's done a
23	great job.

And I think it's going to be a very smooth

25 transition coming from me to her. And like I

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	guess a mother with her child, I'm pushing her
2	out and handing over the lobbyist baton to her.
3	And I know she's going to do a great job she's
4	been doing a great job up there for the last
5	couple of years. So I know it will be a smooth
6	transition for everyone.
7	But I'd also just like to take a moment to
8	thank Chairman Mesolella, the Board, Ray
9	Marshall, and Laurie Horridge for all of your
10	support over the years that I've been here. It's
11	been 33 years. Some of you I don't think were
12	even born when I started here.
13	Thank you all for your support, and it's
14	been a pleasure working for the Narragansett Bay
15	Commission. I'm so proud to be affiliated with
16	an organization like this. I mean, it's a dream
17	job, it really is, and I appreciate the
18	opportunities that I've had here and just wanted
19	to thank you all. That's it.
20	(APPLAUSE)
21	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Thank you for your
22	report. As tardy as it was, it was still very

- 23 good. I'm going to have more to say tomorrow
- 24 night, but Joe, I know I'm going to express my
- 25 own feelings while the Board is sitting here and

1	if some people are here that may not be there
2	tomorrow night. You know I love you. I'm going
3	to be, how shall I say, exposed for the fraud
4	that I am because you're the real boss and you've
5	always been and I've been taking the credit. So
6	you know I love you and we're going to miss you
7	so much, I can't even express. At least from the
8	bottom of my heart, you know we're going to miss
9	you.
10	All right. Moving right along, Citizens
11	Advisory Committee. Do we even have one anymore?
12	Are they still in business? Is Jamie here?
13	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: No.
14	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: My understanding
15	of the Citizens Advisory Committee is that the
16	leader Laurie, am I saying something?
17	MS. HORRIDGE: No. I didn't realize
18	Jamie wasn't here. I don't think they met this
19	last month so
20	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Well, I know they

- 21 haven't met, but are they still organized? I
- thought they were --
- 23 MS. HORRIDGE: They are, Mr. Chairman.
- 24 We have not sent out any official notice
- 25 otherwise.

#### ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

# 77

1	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: So I think Jamie
2	was of the mind that because they're kind of
3	MS. HORRIDGE: Now that we have the
4	permit resolved and now that we have Phase III
5	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: the issue is
6	resolved, there's probably no need for them to be
7	part of this process. I think that's right. Is
8	that right, Jen?
9	MS. HARRINGTON: Yes.
10	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: I don't think this
11	will be an agenda item going forward. Okay,
12	Karen?
13	MS. GIEBINK: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Chairman's report.
15	Just a couple of things. Earlier we talked a
16	little bit about Greenville Avenue and what a
17	success that was, gave out a couple of awards to
18	some of our staff. That's going to be a

phenomenal program. Karen is going to determine

- 20 how the revenue -- what the revenue picture is
- 21 going to look like, the additional revenue in
- 22 addition to our bottom line revenue, and I think
- 23 it's going to look really good.
- 24 I'm excited about the fact that the Hartford
- 25 Avenue project is now complete. Similar to what

#### 78

1	we did on Greenville Avenue, I've been working
2	with Karen about trying to figure out how we're
3	going to assess all the people, all the
4	individuals that will be connecting to our line
5	on Hartford Avenue. You know we're going to be
6	opening up, what, 180 acres?
7	DIRECTOR MARSHALL: Yup.
8	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Approximately
9	180 acres of potential commercial developable
10	property as a result of this operation. We're
11	going to be working on a program for 100 percent
12	capital and administrative costs recovery. And
13	then everything that ties into that line goes
14	right to the bottom line. I'm extremely excited
15	about the impacts of that project, as well.
16	We had a little discussion about we

17 passed a resolution allowing us and the Executive

18	Director and the Chairman to work out some land		
19	condemnation, land acquisition issues. We did		
20	condemn some property during Phase II on Valley		
21	Street. I did an interview with Go Local two		
22	weeks ago.		
23	We had this piece of property which the City		
24	of Providence did not want. We tried to get them		

to create -- maybe make a park for the community.

#### 79

1	It's a beautiful	piece of	property	of about

- 2 25,000 square feet. It's fenced in, it's
- 3 secured, it's on the river. Had a discussion
- 4 with Rich Bernier several weeks ago about the
- 5 potential use, how we could put that property
- 6 back into service. It resulted in a meeting with
- 7 local Councilwoman Sabina Mattos. As a result of
- 8 that meeting, several potential uses were
- 9 discussed.

- 10 What was concluded is that through
- 11 Councilwoman Mattos' efforts and Narragansett Bay
- 12 Commission staff's efforts, we're going to be
- 13 entering into a lease, long-term lease with the
- 14 Southside Land Trust. They'll insure the
- 15 property and they will have the proper liability
- 16 insurance. They're going to develop a community

17	garden, and we're going to put that property back
18	into service, and it's going to be a wonderful
19	addition to that community. We'll have proper
20	signage for the Narragansett Bay Commission.
21	And I know the community, the people in that
22	community are ecstatic that we were finally able
23	to put a piece of surplus property back into
24	service. And it's going to be great public
25	relations. What else.

#### 80

1	DIRECTOR MARSHALL:	That was it as far,

2 as I can remember.

3 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: I think that's it.

- 4 Nothing else to report. I know we're here a
- 5 little later than we usually are. But we have
- 6 new business -- is there any new business to

7 discuss? Any new business?

- 8 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: I just have a
- 9 question.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Commissioner
- 11 Campbell.
- 12 COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: The solar panel
- 13 farms, what is the status of those?
- 14 DIRECTOR MARSHALL: We'll let Tom

answer that one.

16	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: All right, so
17	MS. HORRIDGE: There's legal issues and
18	there's a lot of stuff going on with it.
19	Essentially, the solar project that was supposed
20	to go up in Coventry, which would actually be
21	under one of our turbines, is sort of mixed up in
22	litigation. But we have been working with the
23	developer who has agreed to compensate us for
24	what monies we should have been getting. So
25	we're working on that right now. But he's been

# ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

#### 81

1	very amenable so far with that.
2	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: And on a
3	going-forward basis, while this issue is working
4	its way through potential litigation, for
5	purposes of getting the energy that we contracted
6	for, we may get it from a different source.
7	MS. HORRIDGE: Yes. We're going to get
8	a combination of money, credits, and there's
9	another project he has where's the other one?
10	MR. UVA: He's got one in Portsmouth,
11	he's got something going up in Johnston, so we're
12	going to be meeting in the next few weeks to

13 hammer that out. But there are two of the solar

14	projects that are already online.
15	COMMISSIONER CAMPBELL: This is all
16	with
17	MR. UVA: So there's three sites all
18	together. Two of them have been operating fine
19	and one has held up with permitting issues in
20	Coventry.
21	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: So just to be
22	clear, bottom line, Narragansett Bay Commission
23	is not suffering any diminution of value that we
24	agreed to and contracted for?

25 MS. HORRIDGE: That's correct.

ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500

1	CHAIRMAN	MESOLELLA:	All right.	I want

- 2 to make that clear.
- 3 MS. HORRIDGE: Yes.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: All right. Good.
- 5 Further new business? Further new business?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE)
- 7 CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Other business of
- 8 any kind?
- 9 (NO RESPONSE)
- 10 COMMISSIONER MONTANARI: Motion to
- 11 adjourn.

12	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: We have a motion
13	to adjourn from Commissioner Montanari, seconded
14	by Commissioner McQueen. Further discussion on
15	the issue?
16	(NO RESPONSE)
17	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Hearing none, all
18	of those that are in favor will say aye.
19	(VOICE VOTE)
20	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: Any opposed?
21	(NO RESPONSE)
22	CHAIRMAN MESOLELLA: None opposed. The
23	motion carries. Thank you for staying so late.
24	I really appreciate it.
25	(MEETING ADJOURNED AT 1:01 P.M.)
	ALLIED COURT REPORTERS, INC. (401) 946-5500
	83
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	

- 4 I, Denise A. Webb, Notary Public, do
- 5 hereby certify that the foregoing is a true,
- 6 accurate, and complete transcription of my
- 7 stenographic notes taken at the time of the
- 8 aforementioned meeting.
- 9
- 10 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my

11	hand and seal this 4th day of October, 2018.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	DENISE A. WEBB, CSR/RPR/NOTARY PUBLIC MY COMMISSION EXPIRES APRIL 7, 2022
22	
23	
24	DATE: September 25, 2018
25	IN RE: Monthly Board Meeting of the Committee

STATE OF RHODE ISLAND PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION DOCKET NO. 4885 Response Of The Narragansett Bay Commission To The Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission's Data Requests Set 2

**Comm. 2-10**: Has the NBC calculated the amount of fines it would be subjected to by the EPA had the NBC not complied with the third and final phase of the Combined Sewer Overflow project?

**Response**: The NBC has not calculated the amount of EPA fines it would be subject to if it does not comply with the third and final phase of the Combined Sewer Overflow project (Phase III). Bear in mind that the issuance of fines would not eliminate the NBC's obligation to comply with the underlying requirements relating to Phase III. In that scenario the NBC would have to pay the fines, as well as the costs associated with Phase III. That said, note that both the EPA and RIDEM have statutory authority to impose significant penalties against the NBC for failure to comply with any permit, order, statutory and/or regulatory requirement. The EPA is authorized to impose civil penalties up to \$53,484 per day per violation, and administrative penalties up to \$267,415 per day per violation. Various factors would be considered when assessing such penalties, including the seriousness of the violation, the economic benefit resulting from the violation, and the degree of culpability, among other considerations. As required by statute, said maximum penalty caps are increased each year to account for inflation. RIDEM is authorized to impose penalties up to \$25,000 per day per violation.

The NBC is subject to numerous target deadlines relating to Phase III of the Combined Sewer Overflow project. Missing each target could subject the NBC to separate penalties for each target which could increase with each continued day of non-compliance. The NBC could also be subject to penalties for discharges from each of its combined sewer overflows during wet weather events. The total potential fines could be exorbitant, and as mentioned, the NBC would still be required to comply with its regulatory requirements.

Prepared by: Holly lalongo

#### CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that on November 20, 2018, I sent a copy of the within to all parties set forth on the attached Service List by electronic mail and copies to Luly Massaro, Commission Clerk, by electronic mail and regular mail.

Parties/Address	E-mail Distribution	Phone
Joseph A. Keough, Jr., Esq.	jkeoughjr@keoughsweeney.com;	401-724-3600
Keough & Sweeney		
41 Mendon Ave.		
Pawtucket, RI 02861		
Karen L. Giebink, Director of A&F	Kgiebink@narrabay.com;	401-461-8848
Narragansett Bay Commission	<u>Sarnold@narrabay.com</u> ;	
One Service Road	Gdegnan@narrabay.com;	
Providence, RI 02905		
Christy Hetherington, Esq.	Chetherington@riag.ri.gov;	401-222-2424
Dept. of Attorney General	Al.mancini@dpuc.ri.gov;	
150 South Main St.	dmacrae@riag.ri.gov;	
Providence, RI 02903	jmunoz@riag.ri.gov;	
	pat.smith@dpuc.ri.gov;	
	John.bell@dpuc.ri.gov;	
Original and nine (9) copies w/:	Luly.massaro@puc.ri.gov;	401-780-2107
Luly E. Massaro, Commission Clerk	Cynthia.WilsonFrias@puc.ri.gov;	
John Harrington, Commission Counsel	Sharon.ColbyCamara@puc.ri.gov;	
Public Utilities Commission 89 Jefferson Blvd.	John.Harrington@puc.ri.gov;	
Warwick, RI 02888		

Jough all ph Jr

Joseph A. Keough, Jr., Esquire # 4925 KEOUGH & SWEENEY, LTD. 41 Mendon Avenue Pawtucket, RI 02861 (401) 724-3600 (phone) (401) 724-9909 (fax) jkeoughjr@keoughsweeney.com